# CS 173, Spring 2009 Midterm 2 Solutions

# Problem 1: Short answer (10 points)

The following require only short answers. Justification/work is not required, but may increase partial credit if your short answer is wrong.

- (a) Suppose f : Z → Z is defined by f(n) = [n/3]. Is f one-to-one?
  Solution: No. For example, the inputs 0, 1, and 2 all map onto the output value 0.
- (b) In a balanced binary tree of height h, is it true that all the leaves are at level h?
  Solution: No. Some of the leaves may be at level h − 1.
- (c) Is it true that 5n<sup>3</sup> + 17n is Ω(3<sup>n</sup>)?
  Solution: No. 3<sup>n</sup> grows much faster than n<sup>3</sup>.
- (d) Snape's "Unfriendly Algorithms for Wizards" textbook claims the running time of merge sort is  $O(n^4)$ . Is this claim correct?

**Solution:** Yes. This claim is technically correct, because  $O(n^4)$  only gives an upper bound for how long the algorithm takes. However, it's an obnoxiously unhelpful answer, since the tight bound is  $\Theta(n \log n)$ .

(e) Suppose that  $h : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$  is defined by  $h(n) = n^2 - 2$ . Give a counter-example that shows that h is not onto, stating extremely briefly why it is a counter-example.

**Solution:** Consider the integer -327. Since  $n^2$  cannot be negative, h(n) is always at least -2. So there is no input value that maps onto -327.

# Problem 2: Short answer (8 points)

(a) Recall that a full *m-ary* tree is a tree in which every node has either 0 or *m* children. If a full m-ary tree *T* has *i* internal vertices, write down an expression for the number of leaves in *T*. The only variables in the expression should be *m* and *i*. Briefly (in one or two sentences) explain how you arrived at your answer. (*Hint: you can use the formula you saw in lecture for the total number of vertices in a full m-ary tree*.)

**Solution:** A full m-ary tree with *i* internal vertices has a total of mi + 1 vertices. The number of leaves is the total number of vertices minus the number of internal nodes, which is (mi + 1) - i = (m - 1)i + 1

(b) Suppose that f and g are functions whose input and output values are positive real numbers. Define precisely what it means for f(x) to be O(g(x)). (Your definition cannot use the definition of some closely-related concept such as  $\Omega$ .)

**Solution:** f(x) to be O(g(x)) if there are constants C and k such that  $f(x) \leq Cg(x)$  for every x > k. (The textbook's version of the definition takes the absolute value of f(x) and g(x). It doesn't matter whether you used absolute values in your answer this question, because we forced f(x) and g(x) to be positive.)

### Problem 3: Recurrences (10 points)

(a) Solve the following recurrence using unrolling. Specifically, show at least two steps of unrolling, a summation whose value is equal to T(n), and finally a closed-form expression (i.e. containing no recursion or summations) equal to T(n).

$$T(1) = 1$$
  
 $T(n) = 2T(n-1) + 3$ 

Solution: Unrolling, two steps we get

$$T(n) = 2T(n-1) + 3 = 2(2(T(n-2)) + 6 + 3) = 2(2(2(T(n-3)))) + 12 + 6 + 3)$$

In general we have

$$T(n) = 2^{i}T(n-i) + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} 3(2^{k})$$

We reach the base case when i = n - 1 and have

$$T(n) = 2^{n-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} 3(2^k) = 2^{n-1} + 3(2^{n-1} - 1) = 4(2^{n-1}) - 3 = 2^{n+1} - 3$$

(b) Give a closed-form for the summation  $\sum_{k=2}^n \, (\frac{1}{2})^k$ 

**Solution:** This is a finite geometric series starting from k = 2 rather than k = 0. We have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k} - \sum_{i=0}^{1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{i} = 2 \cdot \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n+1}\right) - \frac{3}{2} = \left(2 - \frac{1}{2^{n}}\right) - \frac{3}{2} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{n}}$$

#### Problem 4: Induction (8 points)

Use induction on n to prove the following fact:

For any integer n > 4,  $n^2 < 2^n$ .

#### Solution:

Base case(s): If n = 5, then  $n^2 = 25$  which is smaller than  $2^n = 32$ .

Inductive hypothesis: Suppose that the claim is true for n = k, for some k > 4. That is, suppose that  $k^2 < 2^k$ .

Rest of the inductive step: Consider  $(k + 1)^2$ .  $(k + 1)^2 = k^2 + 2k + 1$ . Since k > 4,  $k^2 \ge 4k \ge 2k + 1$ . So  $(k + 1)^2 = k^2 + 2k + 1 \le k^2 + k^2 = 2 \cdot k^2$ . By the inductive hypothesis,  $k^2 < 2^k$ . So  $2 \cdot k^2 < 2 \cdot 2^k = 2^{k+1}$ . Therefore,  $(k + 1)^2 \le 2 \cdot k^2 < 2^{k+1}$ . So  $(k + 1)^2 < 2^{k+1}$ , which is what we needed to show.

Because you had so little working time, I didn't penalize people who started with the goal  $(k + 1)^2 < 2^{k+1}$  and manipulated both sides of the equation if the comments (etc) made it clear that they understood what was given and what needed to be proved. Just be aware that I would have expected you to get your equations into a more logical order in an exam with more working time.

A common mistake was to have the main structure of the inductive step but get stuck (or have a logic gap in the middle) due to not realizing that you needed to use the fact that  $2k + 1 < k^2$ .

#### Problem 5: Algorithms (8 points)

In statistics, the *mode* is the value that occurs the most frequently in a data set. The following algorithm takes as input an arbitrary list of n integers  $a_1,...,a_n$  and attempts to find the mode of the list. The output is returned in the variable *modeValue*.

```
procedure FindMode(a_1,..., a_n)

modeValue := a_1

modeCount := 1

for i := 1 to n - 1

begin

count := 1

for j := i + 1 to n

begin
```

```
if (a_i = a_j) then
count := count + 1
end
if (count > modeCount) then
begin
modeValue := a_i
modeCount := count
end
end
return modeValue
```

(a) Does the algorithm work correctly if the input list is 3, 3, 3, 1, 1? What does this algorithm report as the mode?

Solution: Yes, it will return the value 3.

(b) Give a big-theta bound on the number of equality tests (i.e. in the line **if**  $(a_i = a_j)$  **then**) performed by this algorithm in the worst-case. Briefly explain how you derived your answer.

**Solution:** Looking at the two loops, it should be clear that the outer loop will execute n-1 times. Each time it executes, the inner loop will execute a diminishing number of times staring with running from 2 to n the first time and running from n to n the last time. So, we get the following sum for the number of times the equality test will execute:  $(n-1) + (n-2) + ... + 2 + 1 = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k = \frac{(n-1)(n)}{2} = \Theta(n^2)$ 

#### Problem 6: Recursive definition (6 points)

Here is a recursive definition of a set S, which contains pairs of integers.

- 1. (4, 2) and (4, 1) are in S.
- 2. If (x, y) is in S, then (x + 1, y 1) is in S.
- 3. If (x, y) is in S, then (x 1, y + 1) is in S.

Give a non-recursive definition for the set S. Explain briefly why your answer is correct.

**Solution:** S contains all pairs whose coordinates add up to 5 or 6.

The two pairs given in the base case (rule 1) have this property. Neither rule 2 nor rule 3 changes the sum of the coordinates. So all pairs in S have coordinates that sum to 5 or 6.

Moreover, if we apply rules 2 and 3 repeatedly, we can change the x coordinate to any integer, positive or negative. So S contains all pairs of integers whose coordinates have the right sum.

Another approach to describing this set is geometrical. If you apply rules 2 and 3 to the point (4, 2), they produce all the points with integer coordinates along the line through (4, 2) with slope -1. Similarly for (4, 1). So S is then the set of all points with integer coordinates along the lines with slope -1 through the points (4, 2) and (4, 1).