

Recursion and Structural Induction Worksheet

Benjamin Cosman, Patrick Lin and Mahesh Viswanathan

Fall 2020

TAKE-AWAYS

- Functions and sets can be defined *recursively*
- Recursive function definitions are especially convenient when the domain is a recursively-defined set
- *Structural induction* is a variant of induction used for recursively-defined sets

Problem 1. Consider a set S defined recursively by $-3 \in S, 2 \in S$, and if x and y are in S then $x + y$ is in S .¹ Answer each of the following, and briefly justify your answers:

- What is the set S ? (Give an equivalent non-recursive description, e.g. in set-builder notation or using sets we've named in the past.)
- More generally, let $R_{a,b}$ be the set defined recursively by $a \in R_{a,b}, b \in R_{a,b}$, and if x and y are in $R_{a,b}$ then $x + y$ is in $R_{a,b}$. (So S is just $R_{-3,2}$.) Find a negative c and positive d other than -3 and 2 such that $R_{c,d} = S$.²
- With $R_{a,b}$ defined as above, find a negative integer e and positive integer f such that $R_{e,f} \neq S$.

Problem 2. Recall that the set of binary strings $\{0,1\}^*$ was defined recursively by $\lambda \in \{0,1\}^*$, and if $w \in \{0,1\}^*$, then $0w \in \{0,1\}^*$ and $1w \in \{0,1\}^*$.

- A *palindrome* is a string that is the same when reversed. For example, 010 , 11 , and λ (the empty string) are palindromes, but 01 is not. Give a recursive definition for the set of palindromic binary strings.³
- Define a function $\text{countZeros} : \{0,1\}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ which uses recursion to count the number of zeros in a (not-necessarily-palindromic) string, e.g. $\text{countZeros}(00101) = 3$.
- Prove by structural induction that $\text{countZeros}(st) = \text{countZeros}(s) + \text{countZeros}(t)$.

Problem 3. We will call a binary tree "slightly balanced" if every vertex has either 0 or 2 children (so no vertex has exactly 1 child).

¹ Note that x and y don't have to be different, so e.g. $2 + 2 = 4 \in S$

² Recall that two sets are the same if they have the same elements, even if their definitions look different.

³ Hint: What are all the "small" palindromes, and then how can you build a bigger palindrome when given a smaller one?

- a) Define the set $SBBT$ of slightly balanced binary trees recursively. ⁴
- b) Define a recursive function $f : SBBT \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ which counts the number of vertices with 0 children ("final" vertices).
- c) Define a recursive function $b : SBBT \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ which counts the number of vertices with 2 children ("branching" vertices). ⁵
- d) Prove by structural induction that for every $T \in SBBT$, $f(T) = b(T) + 1$.

⁴ Hint: Take our definition for *all* binary trees and delete a piece.

⁵ Hint: Every vertex is either "final" or "branching", so if you compute $f(T)$ and $b(T)$ on any example tree T , the sum of the results should equal the total number of vertices in T .

Problem 4. In propositional logic, any proposition can be expressed as an equivalent proposition that uses only "and"s (\wedge) and "not"s (\neg). Problem 7.25 in our MCS textbook (pdf p286, printed page number 278) explores whether the same is true for "xor"s (\oplus) and "and"s. Solve Problem 7.25.