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\[ \text{[coeff, score, latent, tsquared, explained]} = \text{pca}(X) \]

- **X**: input data
  - Matrix with \( n \) rows and \( p \) columns
  - Each row is an observation or sample
  - Each column is a predictor variable
  - All columns must be zero-centered
    \[ X(:,i) = X(:,i) - \text{mean}(X(:,i)) \]
  - pca will zero-center automatically, but any reconstructed output will not match \( X \)
  - Recommended that you scale the variance of columns to 1 by converting \( X \) to Z-scores
    \[ [...] = \text{pca(zscore}(X)) \]
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\[
[\text{coeff, score, latent, tsquared, explained}] = \text{pca}(X)
\]

- **coeff**: coefficients (loadings) for each PC
  - Square \( p \times p \) matrix
  - Each column is a principal component
  - Each entry \( \text{coeff}(i,j) \) -- is the loading of variable \( i \) in principal component \( j \)
  - The matrix is orthonormal and each column is a right singular vector of \( X \); \( \text{coeff} \) is the matrix \( V \) from the SVD of \( X \).
  - The first column explains the most variance. The variance explained by each subsequent column decreases.
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\[ [\text{coeff, score, latent, tsquared, explained}] = \text{pca}(X) \]

- **score**: Data \((X)\) transformed into PC space
  - Rectangular \(nxp\) matrix
  - Each row corresponds to a row in the original data matrix \(X\).
  - Each column corresponds to a principal component.
  - If row \(i\) in \(X\) was decomposed over the principal component vectors, the coefficients would be \(\text{score}(i,j)\):

\[
X(i,:) = \text{score}(i,1) \times \text{coeff}(:,1) + \text{score}(i,2) \times \text{coeff}(:,2) + \ldots + \text{score}(i,p) \times \text{coeff}(:,p)
\]
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\[
[\text{coeff, score, latent, tsquared, explained}] = \text{pca}(X)
\]

- **latent**: Variance explained by each PC
- **explained**: % of total variance explained by each PC
  - Both **latent** and **explained** are vectors of length \( p \) (one entry for each PC)
  - explained = latent/\text{sum(latent)} * 100
  - Variance explained is used when deciding how many PCs to keep.
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\[
\text{[coeff, score, latent, tsquared, explained]} = \text{pca}(X)
\]

- **tsquared**: Hotelling’s T-squared statistic
  - Vector of length \( n \), one entry for every observation in \( X \).
  - Statistic measuring how far each observation is from the “center” of the entire dataset.
  - Useful for identifying outliers.
Standard PCA Workflow

1. Make sure data are rows=observations and columns=variables.
2. Convert columns to Z-scores. (optional, but recommended)
3. Run \([\text{coeff, score, latent, tsquared, explained}] = \text{pca}(X)\)
4. Using the %variance in “explained”, choose \(k = 1, 2, \text{or } 3\) components for visual analysis.
5. Plot \(\text{score}(:,1), \ldots, \text{score}(:,k)\) on a k-dimensional plot to look for clustering along the principal components.
6. If clustering occurs along principal component \(j\), look at the loadings \(\text{coeff}(:,j)\) to determine which variables explain the clustering.
Example: Fluoride effects on the Microbiome

1. Study examined mice given no, low, or high levels of fluoride in drinking water for 12 weeks.

2. Microbiome samples taken from mouth and stool were sequenced to identify changes in microbial composition.

3. Variables are the abundances of species in the samples (called OTUs, or operational taxonomic units). ~10,000-30,000 OTUs are commonly seen in human microbiome samples.

Principal Component 1 (64.81% of variance)

Principal Component 2 (12.17% of variance)

Oral

Stool
Result 2: Fluoride changes oral microbiome composition

1. PCs 1&3 explain $67.3 + 5.3 = 72.3\%$ of the total variance in the dataset.

2. PC1 & PC2 do not separate the samples by fluoride levels.

3. PC3 does, however PC2 explains only $5.3\%$ of the total variation.

4. The variables loaded in PC3 explain differences between fluoride levels, but the total effect is not large; the effects of PC1 must be removed first.

5. The authors confirmed several of the species loaded onto PC3 were affected by fluoride levels.
Result 3: Fluoride changes are limited to the oral cavity

1. Neither PC1 or PC2 separate the stool microbiome samples by fluoride levels.
2. Since these PCs explain $85.1 + 3.6 = 88.7\%$ of the total variation, any effects of fluoride on the stool microbiome must be very small.