Projective Geometry and Camera Models

Computer Vision

CS 543 / ECE 549

University of Illinois

Derek Hoiem

Administrative Stuff

- Office hours
  - Derek: Wed 4-5pm + drop by
  - Ian: Mon 3-4pm, Thurs 3:30-4:30pm
- HW 1: out Monday
  - Prob1: Geometry, today and Tues
  - Prob2: Lighting, next Thurs
  - Prob3: Filters, following week
- Next Thurs: I’m out, David Forsyth will cover

Last class: intro

- Overview of vision, examples of state of art
- Logistics

Next two classes: Single-view Geometry



Today's class

Mapping between image and world coordinates

- Pinhole camera model
- Projective geometry
  - Vanishing points and lines
- Projection matrix

Image formation



Let's design a camera

- Idea 1: put a piece of film in front of an object
- Do we get a reasonable image?

Slide source: Seitz

Pinhole camera



Idea 2: add a barrier to block off most of the rays

- This reduces blurring
- The opening known as the **aperture**

Slide source: Seitz

Pinhole camera



f = focal length
c = center of the camera

Figure from Forsyth

Camera obscura: the pre-camera

- First idea: Mo-Ti, China (470BC to 390BC)

- First built: Alhacen, Iraq/Egypt (965 to 1039AD)



Illustration of Camera Obscura



Freestanding camera obscura at UNC Chapel Hill

Photo by Seth Ilys

Camera Obscura used for Tracing



Lens Based Camera Obscura, 1568

First Photograph

Oldest surviving photograph

– Took 8 hours on pewter plate

Photograph of the first photograph



Joseph Niepce, 1826

Stored at UT Austin

Niepce later teamed up with Daguerre, who eventually created Daguerrotypes

Dimensionality Reduction Machine (3D to 2D)

3D world *2D image*



Point of observation

Slide source: Seitz

Projection can be tricky…



Slide source: Seitz

Projection can be tricky…

Projective Geometry

What is lost?

- Length



Length is not preserved



Figure by David Forsyth

Projective Geometry

What is lost?

- Length
- Angles



Projective Geometry

What is preserved?

- Straight lines are still straight



Vanishing points and lines

Parallel lines in the world intersect in the image at a "vanishing point"



Vanishing points and lines



Vanishing points and lines



Slide from Efros, Photo from Criminisi

Vanishing points and lines

Photo from online Tate collection

Note on estimating vanishing points



Use multiple lines for better accuracy

… but lines will not intersect at exactly the same point in practice

One solution: take mean of intersecting pairs

… bad idea!

Instead, minimize angular differences

Vanishing objects

Projection: world coordinates→image coordinates



Homogeneous coordinates

Conversion

Converting to *homogeneous* coordinates

$$(x, y) \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

homogeneous image coordinates

$$(x, y, z) \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

homogeneous scene coordinates

Converting *from* homogeneous coordinates

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ w \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow (x/w, y/w)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ w \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow (x/w, y/w, z/w)$$

Homogeneous coordinates

Invariant to scaling

$$k\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} kx \\ ky \\ kw \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \frac{kx}{kw} \\ \frac{ky}{kw} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x}{w} \\ \frac{y}{w} \end{bmatrix}$$

Homogeneous Coordinates

Cartesian Coordinates

Point in Cartesian is ray in Homogeneous

Basic geometry in homogeneous coordinates

- Line equation: ax + by + c = 0

$$line_i = \begin{bmatrix} a_i \\ b_i \\ c_i \end{bmatrix}$$

- Append 1 to pixel coordinate to get homogeneous coordinate

$$p_i = \begin{bmatrix} u_i \\ v_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Line given by cross product of two points

$$line_{ij} = p_i \times p_j$$

- Intersection of two lines given by cross product of the lines

$$q_{ij} = line_i \times line_j$$

Another problem solved by homogeneous coordinates

Intersection of parallel lines

Cartesian: (Inf, Inf)
Homogeneous: (1, 1, 0)

Cartesian: (Inf, Inf)
Homogeneous: (1, 2, 0)



Slide Credit: Saverese

Projection matrix



$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{K}\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{R} & \mathbf{t}\end{bmatrix}\mathbf{X}$$

x: Image Coordinates: (u,v,1)
K: Intrinsic Matrix (3x3)
R: Rotation (3x3)
t: Translation (3x1)
X: World Coordinates: (X,Y,Z,1)

Interlude: when have I used this stuff?

When have I used this stuff?

Object Recognition (CVPR 2006)



When have I used this stuff?

Single-view reconstruction (SIGGRAPH 2005)

When have I used this stuff?

Getting spatial layout in indoor scenes (ICCV 2009)




When have I used this stuff?

Inserting photographed objects into images (SIGGRAPH 2007)

Original

Created

When have I used this stuff?

Inserting synthetic objects into images

Projection matrix



Intrinsic Assumptions

- Unit aspect ratio
- Optical center at (0,0)
- No skew

Extrinsic Assumptions

- No rotation
- Camera at (0,0,0)

K

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{K}\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0}\end{bmatrix}\mathbf{X} \Rightarrow w\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Slide Credit: Saverese

Remove assumption: known optical center

Intrinsic Assumptions

- Unit aspect ratio
- No skew

Extrinsic Assumptions

- No rotation
- Camera at (0,0,0)

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{K}\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0}\end{bmatrix}\mathbf{X} \Rightarrow w\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f & 0 & u_0 & 0 \\ 0 & f & v_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Remove assumption: square pixels

Intrinsic Assumptions
- No skew

Extrinsic Assumptions
- No rotation
- Camera at (0,0,0)

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{K}\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0}\end{bmatrix}\mathbf{X} \Rightarrow w\begin{bmatrix}u\\v\\1\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}\alpha & 0 & u_0 & 0\\0 & \beta & v_0 & 0\\0 & 0 & 1 & 0\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}x\\y\\z\\1\end{bmatrix}$$

Remove assumption: non-skewed pixels

Intrinsic Assumptions

Extrinsic Assumptions

- No rotation
- Camera at (0,0,0)

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{K}\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0}\end{bmatrix}\mathbf{X} \Rightarrow w\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & s & u_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta & v_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note: different books use different notation for parameters

Oriented and Translated Camera



Allow camera translation

Intrinsic Assumptions

Extrinsic Assumptions
- No rotation

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{K}\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{t}\end{bmatrix}\mathbf{X} \Rightarrow w\begin{bmatrix}u\\v\\1\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}\alpha & 0 & u_0\\0 & \beta & v_0\\0 & 0 & 1\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}1 & 0 & 0 & t_x\\0 & 1 & 0 & t_y\\0 & 0 & 1 & t_z\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}x\\y\\z\\1\end{bmatrix}$$

3D Rotation of Points

Rotation around the coordinate axes, counter-clockwise:



$$R_x(\alpha) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\alpha & -\sin\alpha \\ 0 & \sin\alpha & \cos\alpha \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R_y(\beta) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\beta & 0 & \sin\beta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin\beta & 0 & \cos\beta \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R_z(\gamma) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\gamma & -\sin\gamma & 0 \\ \sin\gamma & \cos\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Allow camera rotation

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{K}\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{R} & \mathbf{t}\end{bmatrix}\mathbf{X}$$

$$w\begin{bmatrix}u\\v\\1\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}\alpha & s & u_0\\0 & \beta & v_0\\0 & 0 & 1\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} & t_x\\r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23} & t_y\\r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33} & t_z\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}x\\y\\z\\1\end{bmatrix}$$

Degrees of freedom

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{K}\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{R} & \mathbf{t}\end{bmatrix}\mathbf{X}$$

$$w\begin{bmatrix}u\\ v\\ 1\end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c}5\\ \begin{bmatrix}\alpha & s & u_0\\ 0 & \beta & v_0\\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{bmatrix}\end{array}\begin{array}{c}6\\ \begin{bmatrix}r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} & t_x\\ r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23} & t_y\\ r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33} & t_z\end{bmatrix}\end{array}\begin{bmatrix}x\\ y\\ z\\ 1\end{bmatrix}$$

Vanishing Point = Projection from Infinity

$$\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{K}\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{R} & \mathbf{t}\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{K}\mathbf{R}\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{K}\begin{bmatrix} x_R \\ y_R \\ z_R \end{bmatrix}$$

$$w\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f & 0 & u_0 \\ 0 & f & v_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} x_R \\ y_R \\ z_R \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \quad u = \frac{f x_R}{z_R} + u_0 \qquad v = \frac{f y_R}{z_R} + v_0$$

Orthographic Projection

- Special case of perspective projection
  - Distance from the COP to the image plane is infinite



  - Also called “parallel projection”
  - What’s the projection matrix?

$$w\begin{bmatrix}u\\v\\1\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}1&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0\\0&0&0&1\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}x\\y\\z\\1\end{bmatrix}$$

Slide by Steve Seitz

Scaled Orthographic Projection

- Special case of perspective projection
  - Object dimensions are small compared to distance to camera



  - Also called “weak perspective”
  - What’s the projection matrix?

$$w\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Slide by Steve Seitz

Suppose we have two 3D cubes on the ground facing the viewer, one near, one far.

1. What would they look like in perspective?
2. What would they look like in weak perspective?

Photo credit: GazetteLive.co.uk

Beyond Pinholes: Radial Distortion



No Distortion Barrel Distortion Pincushion Distortion

Corrected Barrel Distortion

Image from Martin Habbecke

Things to remember

- Vanishing points and vanishing lines



- Pinhole camera model and camera projection matrix



$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{K}\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{R} & \mathbf{t}\end{bmatrix}\mathbf{X}$$

- Homogeneous coordinates

$$(x, y) \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Next class

- Applications of camera model and projective geometry
  - Recovering the camera intrinsic and extrinsic parameters from an image
  - Recovering size in the world
  - Projecting from one plane to another

Questions

What about focus, aperture, DOF, FOV, etc?

Adding a lens



- A lens focuses light onto the film
  - There is a specific distance at which objects are “in focus”
    - other points project to a “circle of confusion” in the image
  - Changing the shape of the lens changes this distance

Focal length, aperture, depth of field



A lens focuses parallel rays onto a single focal point

- focal point at a distance *f* beyond the plane of the lens
- Aperture of diameter D restricts the range of rays

Slide source: Seitz

The eye



- The human eye is a camera
  - **Iris** - colored annulus with radial muscles
  - **Pupil** - the hole (aperture) whose size is controlled by the iris
  - What's the "film"?
    - photoreceptor cells (rods and cones) in the **retina**

Slide source: Seitz

Depth of field



f / 5.6



f / 32

Changing the aperture size or focal length affects depth of field

Flower images from Wikipedia http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Depth_of_field

Varying the aperture

Large aperture = small DOF

Small aperture = large DOF

Shrinking the aperture



- Why not make the aperture as small as possible?
  - Less light gets through
  - Diffraction effects

Slide by Steve Seitz

Shrinking the aperture



2 mm 1 mm 0.6mm 0.35 mm 0.15 mm 0.07 mm

Slide by Steve Seitz

Relation between field of view and focal length

Field of view (angle width)

Film/Sensor Width

$$fov = \tan^{-1}\frac{d}{2f}$$

Focal length



Dolly Zoom or "Vertigo Effect"

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Y48R6-iIYHs



How is this done?

Zoom in while moving away

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Focal_length