CS477 Formal Software Development Methods

Elsa L Gunter 2112 SC, UIUC egunter@illinois.edu http://courses.engr.illinois.edu/cs477

Slides based in part on previous lectures by Mahesh Vishwanathan, and by Gul Agha

April 3, 2013

σ ⊨ οφ iff σ¹ ⊨ φ
σ ⊨ φUψ iff for some k, σ^k ⊨ ψ and for all i < k, σⁱ ⊨ φ
σ ⊨ φVψ iff for some k, σ^k ⊨ φ and for all i ≤ k, σⁱ ⊨ ψ, or for all i, σⁱ ⊨ ψ.
σ ⊨ □φ if for all i, σⁱ ⊨ ψ
σ ⊨ ◊φ if for some i, σⁱ ⊨ ψ

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ …

- $\Box \varphi \Leftrightarrow \varphi \land \circ \Box \varphi$
- $\bullet \ \Diamond \varphi \Leftrightarrow \varphi \lor \circ \Diamond \varphi$
- $\varphi \mathcal{U} \psi \Leftrightarrow \phi \lor (\psi \land \circ (\varphi \mathcal{U} \psi))$
- $\varphi \mathcal{V} \psi \Leftrightarrow (\varphi \land \psi) \lor (\varphi \land \circ (\varphi \mathcal{V} \psi))$
- □, ◊, U, V may all be understood recursively, by what they state about right now, and what they state about the future
- Caution: \Box vs \Diamond , \mathcal{U} vs \mathcal{V} differ in there limit behavior

Basic Behavior:

- $\Box((NSC = Red) \lor (NSC = Green) \lor (NSC = Yellow))$
- $\Box((NSC = Red) \Rightarrow ((NSC \neq Green) \land (NSC \neq Yellow))$
- Similarly for Green and Red
- $\Box(((NCS = Red) \land \circ(NCS \neq Red)) \Rightarrow \circ(NCS = Green))$
- Same as $\Box((NCS = Red) \Rightarrow ((NCS = Red)\mathcal{U}(NCS = Green)))$
- $\Box(((NCS = Green) \land \circ(NCS \neq Green)) \Rightarrow \circ(NCS = Yellow))$
- $\Box(((NCS = Yellow) \land \circ(NCS \neq Yellow)) \Rightarrow \circ(NCS = Red))$
- Same for *EWC*

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Basic Safety

- $\Box((NSC = Red) \lor (EWC = Red)$
- \Box (((NSC = Red) \land (EWC = Red)) \mathcal{V} ((NSC \neq Green) \Rightarrow (\circ (NSC = Green))))

Basic Liveness

- $(\Diamond(NSC = Red)) \land (\Diamond(NSC = Green)) \land (\Diamond(NSC = Yellow))$
- $(\Diamond(EWC = Red)) \land (\Diamond(EWC = Green)) \land (\Diamond(EWC = Yellow))$

Proof System for LTL

- First Step: View $\varphi \mathcal{V} \psi$ as moacro: $\varphi \mathcal{V} \psi = \neg((\neg \varphi)\mathcal{U}(\neg \psi))$
- Second Step: Extend all rules of Prop Logic to LTL
- Third Step: Add one more rule: $\frac{\varphi}{\Box \varphi}$ Gen
- Fourth Step: Add a collection of axioms (a sufficient set of 8 exists)

• A1:
$$\Box \varphi \Leftrightarrow \neg (\Diamond (\neg \varphi))$$

• A2:
$$\Box(\varphi \Rightarrow \psi) \Rightarrow (\Box \varphi \Rightarrow \Box \psi)$$

• A3: $\Box \varphi \Rightarrow (\varphi \land \circ \Box \varphi)$

• A4:
$$\circ \neg \varphi \Leftrightarrow \neg \circ \varphi$$

• A5:
$$\circ(\varphi \Rightarrow \psi) \Rightarrow (\circ\varphi \Rightarrow \circ\psi)$$

• A6:
$$\Box(\varphi \Rightarrow \circ \varphi) \Rightarrow (\varphi \Rightarrow \Box \varphi)$$

- A7: $\varphi \mathcal{U} \psi \Leftrightarrow (\varphi \land \psi) \lor (\varphi \land \circ (\varphi \mathcal{V} \psi)$
- A8: $\varphi \mathcal{U} \psi \Rightarrow \Diamond \psi$
- Result: a sound and relatively complete proof system
- Can implement in Isabelle in much the same way as we did Hoare Logic

- A is sound with respect to B if things that are "true" according to A are things that are "true" according to B.
- A is complete with respect to B if things that are "true" according to B are things that are "true" according to A.
- A is sound if things that are "true" according to A are true.
- A is complete everything that is true (that is in the scope of A) is "true" according to A.
- *A* is relatively complete with repsect to *B* if *A* is complete when *B* is. Think: *A* proof system; *B* mathematical model

・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ト

Most generally Model Checking is

- an automated technique, that given
- a finite-state model *M* of a system
- and a logical property φ ,
- checks whether the property holds of model: $M \models \varphi$?

Model Checking

- Model checkers usually give example of failure if $M \not\models \varphi$.
- This makes them useful for debugging.
- Problem: Can only handle finite models: unbounded or continuous data sets can't be directly handled
- Problem: Nnmber of states grows exponentially in the size of the system.
- Answer: Use abstract model of system
- Problem: Relationship of results on abstract model to real system?

LTL Model Checking

- Model Checking Problem: Given model \mathcal{M} amd logical property *varphi* of \mathcal{M} , does $\mathcal{M} \models \varphi$?
- Given transition system with states Q, transition relation δ and initial state state I, say (Q, δ, I) ⊨ φ for LTL formula φ if every run of (Q, δ, I), σ satisfies σ ⊨ φ.

Theorem

The Model Checking Problem for finite transition systems and LTL formulae is decideable.

- Treat states $q \in Q$ as letters in an alphabet.
- Language of (Q, δ, I) , $\mathcal{L}(Q, \delta, I)$ (or L(Q) for short) is set of runs in Q
- Language of φ , $\mathcal{L}\varphi = \{\sigma | \sigma \models \varphi\}$
- Question: $\mathcal{L}(Q) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\varphi)$?
- Same as: $\mathcal{L}(Q) \cap \mathcal{L}(\neg \varphi) = \emptyset$?