

# CS477 Formal Software Development Methods

Elsa L Gunter  
 2112 SC, UIUC  
 egunter@illinois.edu  
<http://courses.engr.illinois.edu/cs477>

Slides based in part on previous lectures by Mahesh Vishwanathan, and by Gul Agha  
 April 3, 2013


## Example: Traffic Light

$V = \{Turn, NSC, EWC\}$ ,  $F = \{NS, EW, Red, Yellow, Green\}$  (all arity 0),  
 $R = \{=\}$

$NSG \quad Turn = NS \wedge NSC = Red \rightarrow NSC := Green$   
 $NSY \quad NSC = Green \rightarrow NSC := Yellow$   
 $NSR \quad NSC = Yellow \rightarrow (Turn, NSC) := (EW, Red)$   
 $EWG \quad Turn = EW \wedge EWC = Red \rightarrow EWC := Green$   
 $EWY \quad EWC = Green \rightarrow EWC := Yellow$   
 $EWR \quad EWC = Yellow \rightarrow (Turn, EWC) := (NS, Red)$

$init = (NSC = Red \wedge EWC = Red \wedge (Turn = NS \vee Turn = EW))$

## Example: Traffic Lights



## Examples (cont)


- LTS for traffic light has  $3 \times 3 \times 2 = 18$  possible well typed states
  - Is it possible to reach a state where  $NSC \neq Red \wedge EWC \neq Red$  from an initial state?
  - If so, what sequence of actions allows this?
  - Do all the immediate predecessors of a state where  $NSC = Green \vee EWC = Green$  satisfy  $NSC = Red \wedge EWC = Red$ ?
  - If not, are any of those offend states reachable from an initial state, and if so, how?
- LTS for Mutual Exclusion has  $6 \times 6 \times 2 \times 2 = 144$  possible well-typed states.
  - Is it possible to reach a state where  $pc1 = m5 \wedge pc2 = n5$ ?
- How can we state these questions rigorously, formally?
- Can we find an algorithm to answer these types of questions?

## Linear Temporal Logic - Syntax

$\varphi ::= p \mid (\varphi) \mid \neg\varphi \mid \varphi \wedge \varphi' \mid \varphi \vee \varphi'$   
 $\mid o\varphi \mid \varphi \mathcal{U} \varphi' \mid \varphi \mathcal{V} \varphi' \mid \Box\varphi \mid \Diamond\varphi$

- $p$  – a proposition over state variables
- $o\varphi$  – “next”
- $\varphi \mathcal{U} \varphi'$  – “until”
- $\varphi \mathcal{V} \varphi'$  – “releases”
- $\Box\varphi$  – “box”, “always”, “forever”
- $\Diamond\varphi$  – “diamond”, “eventually”, “sometime”

## LTL Semantics: The Idea



## Formal LTL Semantics

Given:

- $\mathcal{G} = (V, F, af, R, ar)$  signature expressing state propositions
- $Q$  set of states,
- $\mathcal{M}$  modeling function over  $Q$  and  $\mathcal{G}$ :  $\mathcal{M}(q, p)$  is true iff  $q$  models  $p$ .  
Write  $q \models p$ .
- $\sigma = q_0 q_1 \dots q_n \dots$  infinite sequence of state from  $Q$ .
- $\sigma^i = q_i q_{i+1} \dots q_n \dots$  the  $i^{\text{th}}$  tail of  $\sigma$

Say  $\sigma$  models LTL formula  $\varphi$ , write  $\sigma \models \varphi$  as follows:

- $\sigma \models p$  iff  $q_0 \models p$
- $\sigma \models \neg\varphi$  iff  $\sigma \not\models \varphi$
- $\sigma \models \varphi \wedge \psi$  iff  $\sigma \models \varphi$  and  $\sigma \models \psi$ .
- $\sigma \models \varphi \vee \psi$  iff  $\sigma \models \varphi$  or  $\sigma \models \psi$ .

## Formal LTL Semantics

- $\sigma \models \circ\varphi$  iff  $\sigma^1 \models \varphi$
- $\sigma \models \varphi\mathcal{U}\psi$  iff for some  $k$ ,  $\sigma^k \models \psi$  and for all  $i < k$ ,  $\sigma^i \models \varphi$
- $\sigma \models \varphi\mathcal{V}\psi$  iff for some  $k$ ,  $\sigma^k \models \varphi$  and for all  $i \leq k$ ,  $\sigma^i \models \psi$ ,  
or for all  $i$ ,  $\sigma^i \models \psi$ .
- $\sigma \models \Box\varphi$  if for all  $i$ ,  $\sigma^i \models \varphi$
- $\sigma \models \Diamond\varphi$  if for some  $i$ ,  $\sigma^i \models \varphi$

## Some Common Combinations

- $\Box\Diamond p$  “ $p$  will hold infinitely often”
- $\Diamond\Box p$  “ $p$  will continuously hold from some point on”
- $(\Box p) \Rightarrow (\Box q)$  “if  $p$  happens infinitely often, then so does  $q$ ”

## Some Equivalences

- $\Box(\varphi \wedge \psi) = (\Box\varphi) \wedge (\Box\psi)$
- $\Diamond(\varphi \vee \psi) = (\Diamond\varphi) \vee (\Diamond\psi)$
- $\Box\varphi = \mathbf{F}\mathcal{V}\varphi$
- $\Diamond\varphi = \mathbf{T}\mathcal{U}\varphi$
- $\varphi\mathcal{V}\psi = \neg((\neg\varphi)\mathcal{U}(\neg\psi))$
- $\varphi\mathcal{U}\psi = \neg((\neg\varphi)\mathcal{V}(\neg\psi))$
- $\neg(\Diamond\varphi) = \Box(\neg\varphi)$
- $\neg(\Box\varphi) = \Diamond(\neg\varphi)$

## Some More Equivalences

- $\Box\varphi = \varphi \wedge \circ\Box\varphi$
- $\Diamond\varphi = \varphi \vee \circ\Diamond\varphi$
- $\varphi\mathcal{V}\psi = (\varphi \wedge \psi) \vee (\psi \wedge \circ(\varphi\mathcal{V}\psi))$
- $\varphi\mathcal{U}\psi = \psi \vee (\varphi \wedge \circ(\varphi\mathcal{U}\psi))$
- $\Box, \Diamond, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}$  may all be understood recursively, by what they state about right now, and what they state about the future
- Caution:  $\Box$  vs  $\Diamond$ ,  $\mathcal{U}$  vs  $\mathcal{V}$  differ in their limit behavior

## Traffic Light Example

Basic Behavior:

- $\Box((NCS = Red) \vee (NCS = Green) \vee (NCS = Yellow))$
- $\Box((NCS = Red) \Rightarrow ((NCS \neq Green) \wedge (NCS \neq Yellow)))$
- Similarly for *Green* and *Red*
- $\Box(((NCS = Red) \wedge \circ(NCS \neq Red)) \Rightarrow \circ(NCS = Green))$
- Same as  $\Box((NCS = Red) \Rightarrow ((NCS = Red)\mathcal{U}(NCS = Green)))$
- $\Box(((NCS = Green) \wedge \circ(NCS \neq Green)) \Rightarrow \circ(NCS = Yellow))$
- $\Box(((NCS = Yellow) \wedge \circ(NCS \neq Yellow)) \Rightarrow \circ(NCS = Red))$
- Same for *EWC*

## Traffic Light Example

### Basic Safety

- $\Box((NSC = Red) \vee (EWC = Red))$
- $\Box(((NSC = Red) \wedge (EWC = Red)) \vee ((NSC \neq Green) \Rightarrow (\circ(NSC = Green))))$

### Basic Liveness

- $(\Diamond(NSC = Red)) \wedge (\Diamond(NSC = Green)) \wedge (\Diamond(NSC = Yellow))$
- $(\Diamond(EWC = Red)) \wedge (\Diamond(EWC = Green)) \wedge (\Diamond(EWC = Yellow))$

## Proof System for LTL

First step: View  $\varphi \vee \psi$  as macro:  $\varphi \vee \psi = \neg((\neg\varphi) \wedge (\neg\psi))$

Second Step: Extend all rules of Prop Logic to LTL

Third Step: Add one more rule:  $\frac{\Box\varphi}{\varphi} \text{ Gen}$

Fourth Step: Add a collection of axioms (a sufficient set of 8 exists)

Result: a **sound** and **relatively complete** proof system