

CS477 Formal Software Development Methods

Elsa L Gunter
2112 SC, UIUC
egunter@illinois.edu

<http://courses.engr.illinois.edu/cs477>

Slides based in part on previous lectures by Mahesh Vishwanathan, and
by Gul Agha

February 22, 2013

Modification of data from Last Time

`data` was

```
type_synonym data = "int"
```

Now `data` is

```
datatype data = DN "int" | DR "real"
```

Tagged disjoint union of `int` and `real`

Revised Lifting Constants, Operators

Need to lift constants, variables, boolean and arithmetic operators to functions over states:

- Constants:

```
definition Data :: "data  $\Rightarrow$  exp" where
```

```
"Data d  $\equiv$   $\lambda$  s. d"
```

```
definition N :: "int  $\Rightarrow$  exp" where "N n  $\equiv$   $\lambda$  s. DN n"
```

```
definition Real :: "real  $\Rightarrow$  exp" where
```

```
"Real r  $\equiv$   $\lambda$  s. DR r"
```

```
definition is_int_b :: "exp  $\Rightarrow$  bool_exp" where
```

```
"is_int_b x  $\equiv$   $\lambda$  s. ( $\exists$  n. x s = DN n)"
```

```
definition is_real_b :: "exp  $\Rightarrow$  bool_exp" where
```

```
"is_real_b x  $\equiv$   $\lambda$  s. ( $\exists$  r. x s = DR r)"
```

Revised Lifting Constants, Operators

- Arithmetic operations do type checking and coercion

Before:

```
definition plus_e :: "exp ⇒ exp ⇒ exp"  
  (infixl "[+]" 150) where  
  "(p [+] q) ≡ λ s. (p s + (q s))"
```

Now:

```
definition plus_e :: "exp ⇒ exp ⇒ exp"  
  (infixl "[+]" 150) where  
  "(p [+] q) ≡  
  λ s. (case p s of DN n ⇒  
        (case q s of DN m ⇒ DN(n + m)  
                    | DR y ⇒ DR((real n) + y))  
  | DR x ⇒  
        (case q s of DN m ⇒ DR(x + real m)  
                    | DR y ⇒ DR(x + y)))")
```

HOL Type for Deep Part of Embedding

```
datatype command =  
  AssignCom "var_name" "exp"           (infix "::=" 110)  
| SeqCom "command" "command"          (infixl ";" 109)  
| CondCom "bool_exp" "command" "command"  
  ("IF _/ THEN _/ ELSE _/ FI" [120,120,120]60)  
| WhileCom "bool_exp" "command"  
  ("WHILE _/ DO _/ OD" [120,120]60)
```

Defining Hoare Logic Rules

```
inductive valid :: "bool_exp  $\Rightarrow$  command  $\Rightarrow$  bool_exp  $\Rightarrow$  bool"  
  ("{{-}}-{{-}}" [120,120,120]60)where
```

AssignmentAxiom:

```
"{{(P[x $\leftarrow$ e])}}(x ::= e) {{P}}" |
```

SequenceRule:

```
"[[{{P}}C {{Q}}; {{Q}}C' {{R}}]]  
 $\Rightarrow$  {{P}}(C;C'){{R}}" |
```

RuleOfConsequence:

```
"[[ $\models$ (P  $\longrightarrow$  P') ; {{P'}}C{{Q'}};  $\models$ (Q'  $\longrightarrow$  Q) ]]  
 $\Rightarrow$  {{P}}C{{Q}}" |
```

IfThenElseRule:

```
"[[{{(P  $\wedge$  B)}}C{{Q}}; {{(P  $\wedge$  ( $\neg$ B))}}C'{{Q}}]]  
 $\Rightarrow$  {{P}}(IF B THEN C ELSE C' FI){{Q}}" |
```

WhileRule:

```
"[[{{(P  $\wedge$  B)}}C{{P}}]]  
 $\Rightarrow$  {{P}}(WHILE B DO C OD){{(P  $\wedge$  ( $\neg$ B))}}"
```

DEMO

Annotated Simple Imperative Language

- We will give verification conditions for an annotated version of our simple imperative language
- Add a presumed invariant to each while loop

$\langle \text{command} \rangle ::= \langle \text{variable} \rangle := \langle \text{term} \rangle$
| $\langle \text{command} \rangle; \dots; \langle \text{command} \rangle$
| $\text{if } \langle \text{statement} \rangle \text{ then } \langle \text{command} \rangle \text{ else } \langle \text{command} \rangle$
| $\text{while } \langle \text{statement} \rangle \text{ inv } \langle \text{statement} \rangle \text{ do } \langle \text{command} \rangle$

Hoare Logic for Annotated Programs

Assignment Rule

$$\frac{}{\{P[e/x]\} x := e \{P\}}$$

Rule of Consequence

$$\frac{P \Rightarrow P' \quad \{P'\} C \{Q'\} \quad Q' \Rightarrow Q}{\{P\} C \{Q\}}$$

Sequencing Rule

$$\frac{\{P\} C_1 \{Q\} \quad \{Q\} C_2 \{R\}}{\{P\} C_1; C_2 \{R\}}$$

If Then Else Rule

$$\frac{\{P \wedge B\} C_1 \{Q\} \quad \{P \wedge \neg B\} C_2 \{Q\}}{\{P\} \text{if } B \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2 \{Q\}}$$

While Rule

$$\frac{\{P \wedge B\} C \{P\}}{\{P\} \text{while } B \text{ inv } P \text{ do } C \{P \wedge \neg B\}}$$