CS477 Formal Software Development Methods

Elsa L Gunter 2112 SC, UIUC egunter@illinois.edu http://courses.engr.illinois.edu/cs477

Slides based in part on previous lectures by Mahesh Vishwanathan, and by Gul Agha

February 22, 2013

Modification of data from Last Time

data was

type_synonym data = "int"

Now data is

datatype data = DN "int" | DR "real"

Tagged disjoint union of int and real

Revised Lifting Constants, Operators

Need to lift constants, variables, boolean and arithmetic operators to functions over states:

Constants:

```
definition Data :: "data \Rightarrow exp" where
 "Data d \equiv \lambda s. d"
definition N :: "int \Rightarrow exp" where "N n \equiv \lambda s. DN n"
definition Real :: "real \Rightarrow exp" where
 "Real r \equiv \lambda s. DR r"
definition is_int_b :: "exp \Rightarrow bool_exp" where
"is_int_b x \equiv \lambda s. (\exists n. x s = DN n)"
definition is_real_b :: "exp \Rightarrow bool_exp" where
 "is_real_b x \equiv \lambda s. (\exists r. x s = DR r)"
```

Revised Lifting Constants, Operators

• Arithmetic operations do type checking and coercion

```
definition plus_e :: "exp \Rightarrow exp \Rightarrow exp"
 (infixl "[+]" 150) where
"(p [+] q) \equiv \lambda s. (p s + (q s))"
definition plus_e :: "exp \Rightarrow exp \Rightarrow exp"
(infixl "[+]" 150) where
"(p [+] q) \equiv
\lambda s. (case p s of DN n \Rightarrow
                          (case q s of DN m \Rightarrow DN(n + m)
                                         | DR y \Rightarrow DR((real n) + y))
                       | DR x \Rightarrow
                          (case q s of DN m \Rightarrow DR(x + real m)
                                         | DR y \Rightarrow DR(x + y)))"
```

HOL Type for Deep Part of Embedding

```
datatype command =
  AssignCom "var_name" "exp"
                                     (infix "::=" 110)
                                      (infixl ";" 109)
 | SeqCom "command" "command"
 | CondCom "bool_exp" "command" "command"
      ("IF _/ THEN _/ ELSE _/ FI" [120,120,120]60)
 | WhileCom "bool_exp" "command"
       ("WHILE _/ DO _/ OD" [120,120]60)
```

Defining Hoare Logic Rules

```
inductive valid :: "bool_exp ⇒command ⇒bool_exp ⇒bool"
("{{_-}}_{{_-}}" [120,120,120]60) where
AssignmentAxiom:
"{{(P[x ← e])}}(x::=e) {{P}}}" |
SequenceRule:
"[{{P}}C {{Q}}; {{Q}}C' {{R}}]
\Longrightarrow{{P}}(C;C'){{R}}" |
RuleOfConsequence:
"{\hbox{$ \llbracket | \models (P \ [\longrightarrow] \ P') \ ; \ \{\{P'\}\}C\{\{Q'\}\}; \ | \models (Q' \ [\longrightarrow] \ Q) \ \rrbracket $ }}
\Longrightarrow{{P}}C{{Q}}" |
IfThenElseRule:
"[{{(P [\] B)}}C{{Q}}; {{(P[\]([\]B))}}C'{{Q}}]
 \Rightarrow{{P}}(IF B THEN C ELSE C' FI){{Q}}" |
WhileRule:
"[{(P [\land] B)}C{\{P\}}]
\Longrightarrow \{\{P\}\} (\texttt{WHILE B DO C OD}) \{\{(P \ [\land] \ ([\neg]B))\}\}"
```

DEMO

Annotated Simple Imperative Language

- We will give verification conditions for an annotated version of our simple imperative language
- Add a presumed invariant to each while loop

```
\langle command \rangle ::= \langle variable \rangle := \langle term \rangle
    \begin{array}{l} \langle \textit{command} \rangle; \; \dots; \; \langle \textit{command} \rangle \\ \textit{if} \; \langle \textit{statement} \rangle \; \textit{then} \; \langle \textit{command} \rangle \; \textit{else} \; \langle \textit{command} \rangle \end{array}
 | while \(\statement\) inv \(\statement\) do \(\command\)
```



```
Rule of Consequence P \Rightarrow P' \quad \{|P'|\} \quad C \quad \{|Q'|\} \quad Q' \Rightarrow Q
                     Assingment Rule
          \overline{\{|P[e/x]|\} \ x \ := \ e \ \{|P|\}}
                                                                                                                            {|P|} C {|Q|}
 \begin{array}{c} \text{Sequencing Rule} \\ \{ \mid P \mid \} \ C_1 \ \{ \mid Q \mid \} \ \ \{ \mid Q \mid \} \ C_2 \ \{ \mid R \mid \} \end{array} 
                                                                                                   \begin{array}{c|c} \text{If Then Else Rule} \\ \{|P \wedge B|\} \ \ C_1 \ \{|Q|\} & \{|P \wedge \neg B|\} \ \ C_2 \ \{|Q|\} \end{array}
```

 $\{P\}$ if B then C_1 else $C-2\{Q\}$ $\{|P|\}\ C_1;\ C_2\ \{|R|\}$ While Rule $\{|P \wedge B|\} \subset \{|P|\}$

 $\{P\}$ while B inv P do C $\{P \land \neg B\}$