CS 473: Fundamental Algorithms, Spring 2013

Introduction to Randomized Algorithms: QuickSort and QuickSelect

Lecture 13 March 6, 2013

Part I

Introduction to Randomized Algorithms

Randomized Algorithms



Randomized Algorithms



Example: Randomized QuickSort

QuickSort Hoare [1962]

- Pick a pivot element from array
- Split array into 3 subarrays: those smaller than pivot, those larger than pivot, and the pivot itself.
- Security of the subarrays, and concatenate them.

Randomized QuickSort

- Pick a pivot element uniformly at random from the array
- Split array into 3 subarrays: those smaller than pivot, those larger than pivot, and the pivot itself.
- Security of the subarrays, and concatenate them.

Example: Randomized Quicksort

Recall: QuickSort can take $\Omega(n^2)$ time to sort array of size **n**.

Theorem

Randomized QuickSort sorts a given array of length **n** in O(n log n) expected time.

Note: On *every* input randomized **QuickSort** takes **O**($n \log n$) time in expectation. On *every* input it may take $\Omega(n^2)$ time with some small probability.

Example: Randomized Quicksort

Recall: QuickSort can take $\Omega(n^2)$ time to sort array of size **n**.

Theorem

Randomized QuickSort sorts a given array of length **n** in **O(n log n)** expected time.

Note: On *every* input randomized **QuickSort** takes **O**(n log n) time in expectation. On *every* input it may take $\Omega(n^2)$ time with some small probability.

Example: Randomized Quicksort

Recall: QuickSort can take $\Omega(n^2)$ time to sort array of size **n**.

Theorem

Randomized QuickSort sorts a given array of length **n** in **O(n log n)** expected time.

Note: On *every* input randomized **QuickSort** takes **O**($n \log n$) time in expectation. On *every* input it may take $\Omega(n^2)$ time with some small probability.

Problem

Given three $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n}$ matrices $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}$ is $\mathbf{AB} = \mathbf{C}$?

Deterministic algorithm:

- Multiply A and B and check if equal to C.
- Running time? O(n³) by straight forward approach. O(n^{2.37}) with fast matrix multiplication (complicated and impractical).

Problem

Given three $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n}$ matrices $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}$ is $\mathbf{AB} = \mathbf{C}$?

Deterministic algorithm:

- Multiply A and B and check if equal to C.
- Running time? O(n³) by straight forward approach. O(n^{2.37}) with fast matrix multiplication (complicated and impractical).

Problem

Given three $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n}$ matrices $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}$ is $\mathbf{AB} = \mathbf{C}$?

Deterministic algorithm:

- Multiply A and B and check if equal to C.
- Running time? O(n³) by straight forward approach. O(n^{2.37}) with fast matrix multiplication (complicated and impractical).

Problem

Given three $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n}$ matrices $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}$ is $\mathbf{AB} = \mathbf{C}$?

Randomized algorithm:

- $\textcircled{0} \ \ \mathsf{Pick} \ \mathsf{a} \ \mathsf{random} \ \mathsf{n} \times \mathsf{1} \ \mathsf{vector} \ \mathsf{r}.$
- ② Return the answer of the equality ABr = Cr.
- O(n²)!

Theorem

Problem

Given three $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n}$ matrices $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}$ is $\mathbf{AB} = \mathbf{C}$?

Randomized algorithm:

- Pick a random $n \times 1$ vector r.
- Peturn the answer of the equality ABr = Cr.
- 8 Running time? O(n²)!

Theorem

Problem

Given three $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n}$ matrices $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}$ is $\mathbf{AB} = \mathbf{C}$?

Randomized algorithm:

- Pick a random $n \times 1$ vector r.
- Peturn the answer of the equality ABr = Cr.
- 8 Running time? O(n²)!

Theorem

Problem

Given three $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n}$ matrices $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}$ is $\mathbf{AB} = \mathbf{C}$?

Randomized algorithm:

- Pick a random $n \times 1$ vector r.
- **2** Return the answer of the equality ABr = Cr.
- 8 Running time? O(n²)!

Theorem

Why randomized algorithms?

- Many many applications in algorithms, data structures and computer science!
- In some cases only known algorithms are randomized or randomness is provably necessary.
- Often randomized algorithms are (much) simpler and/or more efficient.
- Several deep connections to mathematics, physics etc.
- 5 ...
- Lots of fun!

Where do I get random bits?

Question: Are true random bits available in practice?

- Buy them!
- ② CPUs use physical phenomena to generate random bits.
- Can use pseudo-random bits or semi-random bits from nature. Several fundamental unresolved questions in complexity theory on this topic. Beyond the scope of this course.
- In practice pseudo-random generators work quite well in many applications.
- The model is interesting to think in the abstract and is very useful even as a theoretical construct. One can *derandomize* randomized algorithms to obtain deterministic algorithms.

Average case analysis vs Randomized algorithms

Average case analysis:

- Fix a deterministic algorithm.
- **2** Assume inputs comes from a probability distribution.
- Analyze the algorithm's *average* performance over the distribution over inputs.

Randomized algorithms:

- Algorithm uses random bits in addition to input.
- Analyze algorithms *average* performance over the given input where the average is over the random bits that the algorithm uses.
- On each input behaviour of algorithm is random. Analyze worst-case over all inputs of the (average) performance.

Discrete Probability

We restrict attention to finite probability spaces.

Definition

A discrete probability space is a pair (Ω, \Pr) consists of finite set Ω of **elementary events** and function $\mathbf{p} : \Omega \to [0, 1]$ which assigns a probability $\Pr[\omega]$ for each $\omega \in \Omega$ such that $\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \Pr[\omega] = 1$.

Example

An unbiased coin. $\Omega = \{H, T\}$ and Pr[H] = Pr[T] = 1/2.

Example

A 6-sided unbiased die. $\Omega=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$ and $\mathsf{Pr}[i]=1/6$ for $1\leq i\leq 6.$

Discrete Probability

We restrict attention to finite probability spaces.

Definition

A discrete probability space is a pair (Ω, \Pr) consists of finite set Ω of **elementary events** and function $\mathbf{p} : \Omega \to [0, 1]$ which assigns a probability $\Pr[\omega]$ for each $\omega \in \Omega$ such that $\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \Pr[\omega] = 1$.

Example

An unbiased coin. $\Omega = \{H, T\}$ and Pr[H] = Pr[T] = 1/2.

Example

A 6-sided unbiased die. $\Omega=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$ and $\mathsf{Pr}[i]=1/6$ for $1\leq i\leq 6.$

And more examples

Example

A biased coin. $\Omega = \{H, T\}$ and Pr[H] = 2/3, Pr[T] = 1/3.

Example

Two independent unbiased coins. $\Omega = \{HH, TT, HT, TH\}$ and Pr[HH] = Pr[TT] = Pr[HT] = Pr[TH] = 1/4.

Example

A pair of (highly) correlated dice.
$$\begin{split} \Omega &= \{(i,j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq 6, 1 \leq j \leq 6\}.\\ \mathsf{Pr}[i,i] &= 1/6 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 6 \text{ and } \mathsf{Pr}[i,j] = 0 \text{ if } i \neq j. \end{split}$$

Events

Definition

Given a probability space (Ω, Pr) an **event** is a subset of Ω . In other words an event is a collection of elementary events. The probability of an event **A**, denoted by Pr[A], is $\sum_{\omega \in A} Pr[\omega]$.

The **complement event** of an event $A \subseteq \Omega$ is the event $\Omega \setminus A$ frequently denoted by \overline{A} .

Example

A pair of independent dice. $\Omega = \{(i, j) \mid 1 \le i \le 6, 1 \le j \le 6\}.$

• Let A be the event that the sum of the two numbers on the dice is even. Then $A = \{(i, j) \in \Omega \mid (i + j) \text{ is even}\}$. Pr[A] = |A|/36 = 1/2.

• Let B be the event that the first die has 1. Then $B = \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 4), (1, 5), (1, 6)\}$. Pr[B] = 6/36 = 1/6.

Definition

Given a probability space (Ω, Pr) and two events A, B are independent if and only if $Pr[A \cap B] = Pr[A] Pr[B]$. Otherwise they are *dependent*. In other words A, B independent implies one does not affect the other.

Example

Two coins. $\Omega = \{HH, TT, HT, TH\}$ and Pr[HH] = Pr[TT] = Pr[HT] = Pr[TH] = 1/4.

- A is the event that the first coin is heads and B is the event that second coin is tails. A, B are independent.
- A is the event that the two coins are different. B is the event that the second coin is heads. A, B independent.

Definition

Given a probability space (Ω, Pr) and two events A, B are independent if and only if $Pr[A \cap B] = Pr[A] Pr[B]$. Otherwise they are *dependent*. In other words A, B independent implies one does not affect the other.

Example

- Two coins. $\Omega = \{HH, TT, HT, TH\}$ and Pr[HH] = Pr[TT] = Pr[HT] = Pr[TH] = 1/4.
 - A is the event that the first coin is heads and B is the event that second coin is tails. A, B are independent.
 - A is the event that the two coins are different. B is the event that the second coin is heads. A, B independent.

Example

A is the event that both are not tails and **B** is event that second coin is heads. **A**, **B** are dependent.

Union bound

The probability of the union of two events, is no bigger than the probability of the sum of their probabilities.

Lemma

For any two events \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} , we have that $\Pr[\mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{F}] \leq \Pr[\mathcal{E}] + \Pr[\mathcal{F}].$

Proof.

Consider ϵ and ${\mathcal F}$ to be a collection of elmentery events (which they are). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Pr}\Big[\mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{F}\Big] &= \sum_{\mathsf{x} \in \mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{F}} \mathsf{Pr}[\mathsf{x}] \\ &\leq \sum_{\mathsf{x} \in \mathcal{E}} \mathsf{Pr}[\mathsf{x}] + \sum_{\mathsf{x} \in \mathcal{F}} \mathsf{Pr}[\mathsf{x}] = \mathsf{Pr}\Big[\mathcal{E}\Big] + \mathsf{Pr}\Big[\mathcal{F}\Big] \,. \end{aligned}$$

Random Variables

Definition

Given a probability space (Ω, Pr) a (real-valued) random variable X over Ω is a function that maps each elementary event to a real number. In other words $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$.

Example

- A 6-sided unbiased die. $\Omega=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$ and $\mathsf{Pr}[i]=1/6$ for $1\leq i\leq 6.$
 - **•** $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ where $X(i) = i \mod 2$.
 - **2** $Y : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ where $Y(i) = i^2$.

Definition

A **binary random variable** is one that takes on values in $\{0,1\}$.

Random Variables

Definition

Given a probability space (Ω, Pr) a (real-valued) random variable X over Ω is a function that maps each elementary event to a real number. In other words $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$.

Example

A 6-sided unbiased die. $\Omega=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$ and $\mathsf{Pr}[i]=1/6$ for $1\leq i\leq 6.$

- **Q** $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ where $X(i) = i \mod 2$.
- **2** $\mathbf{Y} : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ where $\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{i}^2$.

Definition

A **binary random variable** is one that takes on values in $\{0,1\}$.

Random Variables

Definition

Given a probability space (Ω, Pr) a (real-valued) random variable X over Ω is a function that maps each elementary event to a real number. In other words $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$.

Example

A 6-sided unbiased die. $\Omega=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$ and $\mathsf{Pr}[i]=1/6$ for $1\leq i\leq 6.$

- $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ where $X(i) = i \mod 2$.
- **2** $\mathbf{Y} : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ where $\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{i}^2$.

Definition

A binary random variable is one that takes on values in $\{0, 1\}$.

Sariel, Alexandra (UIUC)

Indicator Random Variables

Special type of random variables that are quite useful.

Definition

Given a probability space (Ω, \Pr) and an event $A \subseteq \Omega$ the indicator random variable X_A is a binary random variable where $X_A(\omega) = 1$ if $\omega \in A$ and $X_A(\omega) = 0$ if $\omega \notin A$.

Example

A 6-sided unbiased die. $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ and $\Pr[i] = 1/6$ for $1 \le i \le 6$. Let A be the even that i is divisible by 3. Then $X_A(i) = 1$ if i = 3, 6 and 0 otherwise.

Indicator Random Variables

Special type of random variables that are quite useful.

Definition

Given a probability space (Ω, \Pr) and an event $A \subseteq \Omega$ the indicator random variable X_A is a binary random variable where $X_A(\omega) = 1$ if $\omega \in A$ and $X_A(\omega) = 0$ if $\omega \notin A$.

Example

A 6-sided unbiased die. $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ and $\Pr[i] = 1/6$ for $1 \le i \le 6$. Let A be the even that i is divisible by 3. Then $X_A(i) = 1$ if i = 3, 6 and 0 otherwise.

Expectation

Definition

For a random variable X over a probability space (Ω, Pr) the **expectation** of X is defined as $\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \Pr[\omega] X(\omega)$. In other words, the expectation is the average value of X according to the probabilities given by $\Pr[\cdot]$.

Example

A 6-sided unbiased die. $\Omega=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$ and $\Pr[i]=1/6$ for $1\leq i\leq 6.$

1 $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ where $X(i) = i \mod 2$. Then E[X] = 1/2.

2
$$\mathbf{Y} : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$$
 where $\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{i}^2$. Then $\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{Y}] = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{1}{6} \cdot \mathbf{i}^2 = 91/6$.

Expectation

Definition

For a random variable X over a probability space (Ω, Pr) the **expectation** of X is defined as $\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \Pr[\omega] X(\omega)$. In other words, the expectation is the average value of X according to the probabilities given by $\Pr[\cdot]$.

Example

A 6-sided unbiased die. $\Omega=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$ and $\mathsf{Pr}[i]=1/6$ for $1\leq i\leq 6.$

• $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ where $X(i) = i \mod 2$. Then E[X] = 1/2.

2
$$\mathbf{Y} : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$$
 where $\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{i}^2$. Then $\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{Y}] = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \cdot \mathbf{i}^2 = 91/6$.

Expectation

Proposition

For an indicator variable X_A , $E[X_A] = Pr[A]$.

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{E}[\mathsf{X}_\mathsf{A}] &= \sum_{\mathsf{y} \in \Omega} \mathsf{X}_\mathsf{A}(\mathsf{y}) \, \mathsf{Pr}[\mathsf{y}] \\ &= \sum_{\mathsf{y} \in \mathsf{A}} \mathbf{1} \cdot \mathsf{Pr}[\mathsf{y}] + \sum_{\mathsf{y} \in \Omega \setminus \mathsf{A}} \mathbf{0} \cdot \mathsf{Pr}[\mathsf{y}] \\ &= \sum_{\mathsf{y} \in \mathsf{A}} \mathsf{Pr}[\mathsf{y}] \\ &= \mathsf{Pr}[\mathsf{A}] \,. \end{split}$$

Linearity of Expectation

Lemma

Let X, Y be two random variables (not necessarily independent) over a probability space (Ω, Pr) . Then E[X + Y] = E[X] + E[Y].


Linearity of Expectation

Lemma

Let X, Y be two random variables (not necessarily independent) over a probability space (Ω, Pr) . Then E[X + Y] = E[X] + E[Y].



Types of Randomized Algorithms

Typically one encounters the following types:

- Las Vegas randomized algorithms: for a given input x output of algorithm is always correct but the running time is a random variable. In this case we are interested in analyzing the *expected* running time.
- Monte Carlo randomized algorithms: for a given input x the running time is deterministic but the output is random; correct with some probability. In this case we are interested in analyzing the *probability* of the correct output (and also the running time).
- Igorithms whose running time and output may both be random.

Analyzing Las Vegas Algorithms

Deterministic algorithm **Q** for a problem Π :

- Let Q(x) be the time for Q to run on input x of length |x|.
- Worst-case analysis: run time on worst input for a given size n.

$$T_{wc}(n) = \max_{x:|x|=n} Q(x).$$

Randomized algorithm **R** for a problem Π :

- Let R(x) be the time for Q to run on input x of length |x|.
- \bigcirc **R**(x) is a random variable: depends on random bits used by **R**.
- E[R(x)] is the expected running time for R on x
- Worst-case analysis: expected time on worst input of size n

$$\mathbf{F}_{\operatorname{rand}-wc}(\mathbf{n}) = \max_{\mathbf{x}: |\mathbf{x}|=\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{x})].$$

Analyzing Las Vegas Algorithms

Deterministic algorithm **Q** for a problem Π :

- Let Q(x) be the time for Q to run on input x of length |x|.
- Worst-case analysis: run time on worst input for a given size n.

$$T_{wc}(n) = \max_{x:|x|=n} Q(x).$$

Randomized algorithm **R** for a problem Π :

- Let R(x) be the time for Q to run on input x of length |x|.
- **2 R**(**x**) is a random variable: depends on random bits used by **R**.
- Set E[R(x)] is the expected running time for R on x
- Worst-case analysis: expected time on worst input of size n

$$\Gamma_{\text{rand}-\text{wc}}(n) = \max_{x:|x|=n} \mathsf{E}[\mathsf{Q}(x)].$$

Analyzing Monte Carlo Algorithms

Randomized algorithm **M** for a problem Π :

- Let M(x) be the time for M to run on input x of length |x|. For Monte Carlo, assumption is that run time is deterministic.
- 2 Let **Pr**[x] be the probability that **M** is correct on **x**.
- Pr[x] is a random variable: depends on random bits used by M.
- Worst-case analysis: success probability on worst input

$$\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{rand}-\mathsf{wc}}(\mathsf{n}) = \min_{\mathsf{x}: |\mathsf{x}|=\mathsf{n}} \mathsf{Pr}[\mathsf{x}] \,.$$

Part II

Why does randomization help?

Consider flipping a fair coin \mathbf{n} times independently, head given $\mathbf{1}$, tail gives zero. How many heads? ...we get a binomial distribution.

27 / 42



Consider flipping a fair coin **n** times independently, head given $\mathbf{1}$, tail gives zero. How many heads? ...we get a binomial distribution.



Consider flipping a fair coin **n** times independently, head given $\mathbf{1}$, tail gives zero. How many heads? ...we get a binomial distribution.



Sariel, Alexandra (UIUC)

Consider flipping a fair coin **n** times independently, head given $\mathbf{1}$, tail gives zero. How many heads? ...we get a binomial distribution.



Consider flipping a fair coin \mathbf{n} times independently, head given $\mathbf{1}$, tail gives zero. How many heads? ...we get a binomial distribution.



CS473

Consider flipping a fair coin **n** times independently, head given $\mathbf{1}$, tail gives zero. How many heads? ...we get a binomial distribution.



Consider flipping a fair coin **n** times independently, head given $\mathbf{1}$, tail gives zero. How many heads? ...we get a binomial distribution.



Consider flipping a fair coin \mathbf{n} times independently, head given $\mathbf{1}$, tail gives zero. How many heads? ...we get a binomial distribution.



Sariel, Alexandra	(UIUC)	
-------------------	--------	--

CS473

Consider flipping a fair coin **n** times independently, head given $\mathbf{1}$, tail gives zero. How many heads? ...we get a binomial distribution.



Consider flipping a fair coin \mathbf{n} times independently, head given $\mathbf{1}$, tail gives zero. How many heads? ...we get a binomial distribution.

27 / 42



Consider flipping a fair coin \mathbf{n} times independently, head given $\mathbf{1}$, tail gives zero. How many heads? ...we get a binomial distribution.



Consider flipping a fair coin \mathbf{n} times independently, head given $\mathbf{1}$, tail gives zero. How many heads? ...we get a binomial distribution.





This is known as **concentration of mass**. This is a very special case of the **law of large numbers**.

Informal statement of law of large numbers

For **n** large enough, the middle portion of the binomial distribution looks like (converges to) the normal/Gaussian distribution.



29

Intuitive conclusion

Randomized algorithm are unpredictable in the tactical level, but very predictable in the strategic level.

Binomial distribution

 X_n = numbers of heads when flipping a coin n times.

Claim

$$\Pr\left[X_n = i\right] = \frac{\binom{n}{i}}{2^n}.$$

Where: $\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!}$. Indeed, $\binom{n}{i}$ is the number of ways to choose **i** elements out of **n** elements (i.e., pick which **i** coin flip come up heads). Each specific such possibility (say **0100010...**) had probability $1/2^n$. We are interested in the bad event $\Pr[X_n \leq n/4]$ (way too few heads). We are going to prove this probability is tiny.

Binomial distribution

Playing around with binomial coefficients

Lemma

 $n! \geq (n/e)^n$.

Proof.

$$\frac{n^n}{n!} \leq \sum_{i=0}^\infty \frac{n^i}{i!} = e^n,$$

by the Taylor expansion of $e^x = \sum_{i=0}^\infty \frac{x^i}{i!}$. This implies that $(n/e)^n \leq n!$, as required.

Binomial distribution

Playing around with binomial coefficients

Lemma

For any
$$\mathbf{k} \leq \mathbf{n}$$
, we have $\binom{\mathbf{n}}{\mathbf{k}} \leq \left(\frac{\mathbf{ne}}{\mathbf{k}}\right)^{\mathbf{k}}$.

Proof.

since $k! \ge (k/e)^k$ (by previous lemma).

Binomial distribution Playing around with binomial coefficients

$$\mathsf{Pr}\Big[\mathsf{X}_{\mathsf{n}} \leq \frac{\mathsf{n}}{4}\Big] = \sum_{\mathsf{k}=0}^{\mathsf{n}/4} \frac{1}{2^{\mathsf{n}}} \binom{\mathsf{n}}{\mathsf{k}} \leq \frac{1}{2^{\mathsf{n}}} 2 \cdot \binom{\mathsf{n}}{\mathsf{n}/4}$$

For $k \leq n/4$ the above sequence behave like a geometric variable.

$$\binom{n}{k+1} / \binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{(k+1)!(n-k-1)!} / \frac{n!}{(k)!(n-k)!}$$
$$= \frac{n-k}{k+1} \ge \frac{(3/4)n}{n/4+1} \ge 2.$$

Binomial distribution Playing around with binomial coefficients

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{Pr} \bigg[\mathsf{X}_{\mathsf{n}} \leq \frac{\mathsf{n}}{4} \bigg] \leq \frac{1}{2^{\mathsf{n}}} 2 \cdot \binom{\mathsf{n}}{\mathsf{n}/4} \leq \frac{1}{2^{\mathsf{n}}} 2 \cdot \left(\frac{\mathsf{n}\mathsf{e}}{\mathsf{n}/4}\right)^{\mathsf{n}/4} \leq 2 \cdot \left(\frac{4\mathsf{e}}{2^{\mathsf{4}}}\right)^{\mathsf{n}/4} \\ \leq 2 \cdot 0.68^{\mathsf{n}/4}. \end{split}$$

We just proved the following theorem.

Theorem

Let X_n be the random variable which is the number of heads when flipping an unbiased coin independently n times. Then

$$\mathsf{Pr}\bigg[\mathsf{X}_n \leq \frac{n}{4}\bigg] \leq 2 \cdot 0.68^{n/4} \text{ and } \mathsf{Pr}\bigg[\mathsf{X}_n \geq \frac{3n}{4}\bigg] \leq 2 \cdot 0.68^{n/4}.$$

Part III

Randomized Quick Sort and Selection

Randomized QuickSort

Randomized QuickSort

- **1** Pick a pivot element *uniformly at random* from the array.
- Split array into 3 subarrays: those smaller than pivot, those larger than pivot, and the pivot itself.
- Secursively sort the subarrays, and concatenate them.

Example



array: 16, 12, 14, 20, 5, 3, 18, 19, 1

Analysis via Recurrence

- Given array A of size n, let Q(A) be number of comparisons of randomized QuickSort on A.
- Note that Q(A) is a random variable.
- Let Aⁱ_{left} and Aⁱ_{right} be the left and right arrays obtained if:

pivot is of rank i in A.

$$Q(A) = n + \sum_{i=1}^{n} Pr\left[\text{pivot has rank } i\right] \left(Q(A_{\text{left}}^{i}) + Q(A_{\text{right}}^{i})\right).$$

Since each element of **A** has probability exactly of 1/n of being chosen:

$$\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n} \left(\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{left}}^{i}) + \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{right}}^{i}) \right).$$

Analysis via Recurrence

- Given array A of size n, let Q(A) be number of comparisons of randomized QuickSort on A.
- Note that Q(A) is a random variable.
- Let Aⁱ_{left} and Aⁱ_{right} be the left and right arrays obtained if:

pivot is of rank i in A.

$$\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{Pr} \Big[\text{pivot has rank } \mathbf{i} \Big] \left(\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{left}}^{i}) + \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{right}}^{i}) \right).$$

Since each element of **A** has probability exactly of 1/n of being chosen:

$$Q(A) = n + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n} \left(Q(A_{\text{left}}^{i}) + Q(A_{\text{right}}^{i}) \right).$$

We have, for any **A**:

$$\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{Pr} \Big[\text{pivot has rank } \mathbf{i} \Big] \left(\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{left}}^{i}) + \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{right}}^{i}) \right)$$

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{A})\right] = \mathbf{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{Pr}\left[\begin{array}{c} \text{pivot is} \\ \text{of rank i} \end{array}\right] \left(\mathbf{E}\left[\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{left}}^{i})\right] + \mathbf{E}\left[\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{right}}^{i})\right]\right).$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad E\Big[Q(A)\Big] \leq n + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \left(T(i-1) + T(n-i)\right).$$

We have, for any A:

$$Q(A) = n + \sum_{i=1}^{n} Pr\left[pivot \text{ has rank } i\right] \left(Q(A_{left}^{i}) + Q(A_{right}^{i})\right)$$

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{A})\right] = \mathbf{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{Pr}\left[\begin{array}{c} \text{pivot is} \\ \text{of rank i} \end{array}\right] \left(\mathbf{E}\left[\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{left}}^{i})\right] + \mathbf{E}\left[\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{right}}^{i})\right]\right).$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad E\Big[Q(A)\Big] \leq n + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \left(T(i-1) + T(n-i)\right).$$

We have, for any A:

$$Q(A) = n + \sum_{i=1}^{n} Pr\left[pivot \text{ has rank } i\right] \left(Q(A_{left}^{i}) + Q(A_{right}^{i})\right)$$

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{A})\right] = \mathbf{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{Pr}\left[\begin{array}{c} \text{pivot is} \\ \text{of rank } \mathbf{i} \end{array}\right] \left(\mathbf{E}\left[\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{left}}^{i})\right] + \mathbf{E}\left[\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{right}}^{i})\right]\right).$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad E\Big[Q(A)\Big] \leq n + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \left(T(i-1) + T(n-i)\right).$$

We have, for any A:

$$Q(A) = n + \sum_{i=1}^{n} Pr\left[pivot \text{ has rank } i\right] \left(Q(A_{left}^{i}) + Q(A_{right}^{i})\right)$$

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{A})\right] = \mathbf{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{Pr}\left[\begin{array}{c} \text{pivot is} \\ \text{of rank } \mathbf{i} \end{array}\right] \left(\mathbf{E}\left[\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{left}}^{i})\right] + \mathbf{E}\left[\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{right}}^{i})\right]\right).$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad E\Big[\mathsf{Q}(\mathsf{A})\Big] \leq \mathsf{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{\mathsf{n}} \frac{1}{\mathsf{n}} \left(\mathsf{T}(\mathsf{i}-1) + \mathsf{T}(\mathsf{n}-\mathsf{i})\right).$$

Analysis via Recurrence

Let $T(n) = \max_{A:|A|=n} E[Q(A)]$ be the worst-case expected running time of randomized QuickSort on arrays of size n. We derived:

$$\mathsf{E}\Big[\mathsf{Q}(\mathsf{A})\Big] \leq \mathsf{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{\mathsf{n}} \frac{1}{\mathsf{n}} \left(\mathsf{T}(\mathsf{i}-1) + \mathsf{T}(\mathsf{n}-\mathsf{i})\right).$$

Note that above holds for any $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{A}}$ of size $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{n}}.$ Therefore

$$\max_{A:|A|=n} \mathsf{E}[\mathsf{Q}(A)] = \mathsf{T}(n) \le n + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n} \left(\mathsf{T}(i-1) + \mathsf{T}(n-i)\right).$$
Analysis via Recurrence

Let $T(n) = \max_{A:|A|=n} E[Q(A)]$ be the worst-case expected running time of randomized QuickSort on arrays of size n. We derived:

$$\mathsf{E}\Big[\mathsf{Q}(\mathsf{A})\Big] \leq \mathsf{n} + \sum_{\mathsf{i}=1}^{\mathsf{n}} \frac{1}{\mathsf{n}} \left(\mathsf{T}(\mathsf{i}-1) + \mathsf{T}(\mathsf{n}-\mathsf{i})\right).$$

Note that above holds for any $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{A}}$ of size $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{n}}.$ Therefore

$$\max_{A:|A|=n} E[Q(A)] = T(n) \leq n + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n} \left(T(i-1) + T(n-i)\right).$$

Solving the Recurrence

$$\mathsf{T}(\mathsf{n}) \leq \mathsf{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{\mathsf{n}} \frac{1}{\mathsf{n}} \left(\mathsf{T}(\mathsf{i}-1) + \mathsf{T}(\mathsf{n}-\mathsf{i})\right)$$

with base case T(1) = 0.

Lemma

 $T(n) = O(n \log n).$

Proof.

(Guess and) Verify by induction.

Solving the Recurrence

$$\mathsf{T}(\mathsf{n}) \leq \mathsf{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{\mathsf{n}} \frac{1}{\mathsf{n}} \left(\mathsf{T}(\mathsf{i}-1) + \mathsf{T}(\mathsf{n}-\mathsf{i})\right)$$

with base case T(1) = 0.

Lemma

 $T(n) = O(n \log n).$

Proof.

(Guess and) Verify by induction.

Solving the Recurrence

$$\mathsf{T}(\mathsf{n}) \leq \mathsf{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{\mathsf{n}} \frac{1}{\mathsf{n}} \left(\mathsf{T}(\mathsf{i}-1) + \mathsf{T}(\mathsf{n}-\mathsf{i})\right)$$

with base case T(1) = 0.

Lemma

 $T(n) = O(n \log n).$

Proof.

(Guess and) Verify by induction.

Hoare, C. A. R. (1962). Quicksort. Comput. J., 5(1):10-15.