CS 473: Fundamental Algorithms, Spring 2013

## More Dynamic Programming

## Lecture 9

February 16, 2013

Maximum Weight Independent Set Problem
Input Graph $\mathbf{G}=\mathbf{( V , E )}$ and weights $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{v}) \geq \mathbf{0}$ for each $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$
Goal Find maximum weight independent set in $\mathbf{G}$


Maximum weight independent set in above graph: $\{B, D\}$

## Part I

Maximum Weighted Independent Set in Trees

Maximum Weight Independent Set in a Tree
Input Tree $\mathbf{T}=\mathbf{( V , E )}$ and weights $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{v}) \geq \mathbf{0}$ for each $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$
Goal Find maximum weight independent set in $\mathbf{T}$


Maximum weight independent set in above tree: ??

## Towards a Recursive Solution

## For an arbitrary graph G

(1) Number vertices as $\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{n}}$
(2) Find recursively optimum solutions without $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{n}}$ (recurse on $\mathbf{G}-\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{n}}$ ) and with $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{n}}$ (recurse on $\mathbf{G}-\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{n}}-\mathbf{N}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{n}}\right)$ \& include $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{n}}$ ).
(3) Saw that if graph $\mathbf{G}$ is arbitrary there was no good ordering that resulted in a small number of subproblems.

What about a tree? Natural candidate for $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{n}}$ is root $\mathbf{r}$ of $\mathbf{T}$ ?

## Iterative Algorithm

(1) Compute OPT(u) bottom up. To evaluate OPT( $\mathbf{u}$ ) need to have computed values of all children and grandchildren of $\mathbf{u}$
(2) What is an ordering of nodes of a tree $\mathbf{T}$ to achieve above? Post-order traversal of a tree.

## Iterative Algorithm

MIS-Tree (T) :
Let $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{2}}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{n}}$ be a post-order traversal of nodes of T
for $\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{1}$ to $\mathbf{n}$ do

$$
\mathbf{M}\left[v_{i}\right]=\max \binom{\sum_{v_{j} \text { child of }} \mathbf{v _ { i }} \mathbf{M}\left[v_{j}\right],}{\mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)+\sum_{\mathbf{v}_{j} \text { grandchild of } v_{i}} M\left[v_{j}\right]}
$$

$$
\text { return } \left.\mathrm{M}\left[\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{n}}\right] \text { (* Note: } \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{n}} \text { is the root of } \mathbf{T} *\right)
$$

Space: $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{n})$ to store the value at each node of $\mathbf{T}$
Running time:
(1) Naive bound: $\mathbf{O}\left(\mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ since each $\mathbf{M}\left[\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}\right]$ evaluation may take $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{n})$ time and there are $\mathbf{n}$ evaluations.
(2) Better bound: $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{n})$. A value $\mathbf{M}\left[\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right]$ is accessed only by its parent and grand parent.

## Dominating set

## Definition

$\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$. The set $\mathbf{X} \subseteq \mathrm{V}$ is a dominating set, if any vertex $\mathbf{v} \in \mathrm{V}$ is either in $\mathbf{X}$ or is adjacent to a vertex in $\mathbf{X}$.

## Example




## Part II

DAGs and Dynamic Programming

## Recursion and

## Observation

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a recursive algorithm for problem $\boldsymbol{\Pi}$. For each instance $\mathbf{I}$ of $\boldsymbol{\Pi}$ there is an associated DAG G(I).
(1) Create directed graph $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{I})$ as follows...
(2) For each sub-problem in the execution of $\mathbf{A}$ on $\mathbf{I}$ create a node.
(3) If sub-problem $\mathbf{v}$ depends on or recursively calls sub-problem $\mathbf{u}$ add directed edge $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ to graph.
(9) $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{I})$ is a DAG. Why? If $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{I})$ has a cycle then $\mathbf{A}$ will not terminate on $\mathbf{I}$.

## A quick reminder...

Let $\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{i}}$ be value of an optimal schedule for the first $\mathbf{i}$ jobs.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Schedule(n): } \\
& \text { if } \mathrm{n}=0 \text { then return } \mathbf{0} \\
& \text { if } n=1 \text { then return } w\left(v_{1}\right) \\
& \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{n})} \leftarrow \text { Schedule (p(n)) } \\
& \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{n}-1} \leftarrow \text { Schedule }(\mathrm{n}-1) \\
& \text { if }\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{n})}+\mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)<\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right) \text { then } \\
& \mathbf{O}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{n}-1} \\
& \text { else } \\
& \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{n})}+\mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& \text { return } \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Iterative Algorithm for...

## Observation

An iterative algorithm $\mathbf{B}$ obtained from a recursive algorithm $\mathbf{A}$ for a problem $\boldsymbol{\Pi}$ does the following:

For each instance I of $\boldsymbol{\Pi}$, it computes a topological sort of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{I})$ and evaluates sub-problems according to the topological ordering.
(1) Sometimes the DAG $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{I})$ can be obtained directly without thinking about the recursive algorithm $\mathbf{A}$
(2) In some cases (not all) the computation of an optimal solution reduces to a shortest/longest path in DAG G(I)
(3) Topological sort based shortest/longest path computation is dynamic programming!

## Weighted Interval Scheduling via...

Given intervals, create a DAG as follows:
(1) Create one node for each interval, plus a dummy sink node $\mathbf{0}$ for interval $\mathbf{0}$, plus a dummy source node $\mathbf{s}$.
(2) For each interval $\mathbf{i}$ add edge (i, $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{i})$ ) of the length/weight of $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}$.
(3) Add an edge from $\mathbf{s}$ to $\mathbf{n}$ of length $\mathbf{0}$.
(9) For each interval $\mathbf{i}$ add edge $(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}-\mathbf{1})$ of length $\mathbf{0}$.

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(5)=2, p(4)=1, p(3)=1, p(2)=0, p(1)=0
\end{aligned}
$$



## Relating Optimum Solution

Given interval problem instance I let $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{I})$ denote the DAG constructed as described.

## Claim

Optimum solution to weighted interval scheduling instance $\mathbf{I}$ is given by longest path from $\mathbf{s}$ to $\mathbf{0}$ in $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{I})$

Assuming claim is true,
(1) If $\mathbf{I}$ has $\mathbf{n}$ intervals, DAG $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{I})$ has $\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{2}$ nodes and $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{n})$ edges. Creating $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{I})$ takes $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{n} \log \mathbf{n})$ time: to find $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{i})$ for each i. How?
(2) Longest path can be computed in $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{n})$ time - recall $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n})$ algorithm for shortest/longest paths in DAGs.

## DAG for Longest Increasing Sequence

Given sequence $\mathbf{a}_{1}, \mathbf{a}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{n}}$ create DAG as follows:
(1) add sentinel $\mathbf{a}_{0}$ to sequence where $\mathbf{a}_{0}$ is less than smallest element in sequence
(2) for each $\mathbf{i}$ there is a node $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}$
(3) if $\mathbf{i}<\mathbf{j}$ and $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}}<\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{j}}$ add an edge $\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right)$
(4) find longest path from $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{0}}$


## Part III

Edit Distance and Sequence Alignment

## Spell Checking Problem

Given a string "exponen" that is not in the dictionary, how should a spell checker suggest a nearby string?

What does nearness mean?
Question: Given two strings $\mathbf{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{2} \ldots \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\mathrm{y}_{1} \mathbf{y}_{2} \ldots \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{m}}$ what is a distance between them?

Edit Distance: minimum number of "edits" to transform $\mathbf{x}$ into $\mathbf{y}$.

## Edit Distance: Alternate View

## Alignment

Place words one on top of the other, with gaps in the first word indicating insertions, and gaps in the second word indicating deletions.

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{F} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & & \mathrm{D} \\
\mathrm{M} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{~N} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{Y}
\end{array}
$$

Formally, an alignment is a set $\mathbf{M}$ of pairs $(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})$ such that each index appears at most once, and there is no "crossing": $\mathbf{i}<\mathbf{i}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{i}$ is matched to $\mathbf{j}$ implies $\mathbf{i}^{\prime}$ is matched to $\mathbf{j}^{\prime}>\mathbf{j}$. In the above example, this is $\mathrm{M}=\{(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}),(2,2),(3,3),(4,5)\}$. Cost of an alignment is the number of mismatched columns plus number of unmatched indices in both strings.

## Edit Distance

## Definition

Edit distance between two words $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$ is the number of letter insertions, letter deletions and letter substitutions required to obtain $\mathbf{Y}$ from $\mathbf{X}$.

## Example

The edit distance between FOOD and MONEY is at most 4:

$$
\underline{\text { FOOD }} \rightarrow \text { MOOD } \rightarrow \text { MONODD } \rightarrow \text { MONED } \rightarrow \text { MONEY }
$$

## Edit Distance Problem

## Problem

Given two words, find the edit distance between them, i.e., an alignment of smallest cost.

## Applications

(1) Spell-checkers and Dictionaries
(2) Unix diff
(3) DNA sequence alignment ... but, we need a new metric

## An Example

## Example

$$
\begin{array}{l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\mathbf{o} & & \mathbf{c} & \mathbf{u} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{a} & \mathbf{n} & \mathbf{c} & \mathbf{e} \\
\mathbf{o} & \mathbf{c} & \mathbf{c} & \mathbf{u} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{e} & \mathbf{n} & \mathbf{c} & \mathbf{e}
\end{array} \quad \quad \operatorname{Cost}=\boldsymbol{\delta}+\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathrm{ae}}
$$

Alternative:

| $\mathbf{o}$ |  | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{u}$ | $\mathbf{r}$ | $\mathbf{r}$ |  | $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{e}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{o}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{u}$ | $\mathbf{r}$ | $\mathbf{r}$ | $\mathbf{e}$ |  | $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{e} \quad$ Cost $=\mathbf{3} \boldsymbol{\delta}$ |

Or a really stupid solution (delete string, insert other string):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \mathbf{o}|\mathbf{c}| \mathbf{u}|r| r|l| l|l| l|l| l|l| l|l| l|l| l|l| l \\
& \text { Cost } \\
& =19 \\
& \text { C }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Similarity Metric

## Definition

For two strings $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$, the cost of alignment $\mathbf{M}$ is
(1) [Gap penalty] For each gap in the alignment, we incur a cost $\boldsymbol{\delta}$.
(2) [Mismatch cost] For each pair $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{q}$ that have been matched in $\mathbf{M}$, we incur cost $\alpha_{\mathrm{pq}}$; typically $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathrm{pp}}=\mathbf{0}$.
Edit distance is special case when $\delta=\alpha_{\mathrm{pq}}=\mathbf{1}$.

## Sequence Alignment

Input Given two words $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$, and gap penalty $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ and mismatch costs $\alpha_{\mathrm{pq}}$
Goal Find alignment of minimum cost

## Edit distance

Let $\mathbf{X}=\alpha \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{Y}=\beta \mathbf{y}$
$\alpha, \beta$ : strings.
$\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ single characters.
Think about optimal edit distance between $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$ as alignment, and consider last column of alignment of the two strings:

| $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | x |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ | $\mathbf{y}$ | or | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | x |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{y}$ |  | or | $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathbf{x}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ | $\mathbf{y}$ |

## Observation

Prefixes must have optimal alignment!

## Subproblems and Recurrence

## Optimal Costs

Let $\operatorname{Opt}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})$ be optimal cost of aligning $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{1}} \cdots \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}$ and $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{1}} \cdots \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{j}}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Opt}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})=\min \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j}}}+\operatorname{Opt}(\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{j}-\mathbf{1}) \\
\delta+\operatorname{Opt}(\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{j}) \\
\delta+\operatorname{Opt}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}-\mathbf{1})
\end{array}\right.
$$

Base Cases: $\operatorname{Opt}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{0})=\boldsymbol{\delta} \cdot \mathbf{i}$ and $\operatorname{Opt}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{j})=\boldsymbol{\delta} \cdot \mathbf{j}$

## Problem Structure

## Observation

Let $\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x}_{1} \mathbf{x}_{2} \cdots \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{m}}$ and $\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{y}_{1} \mathbf{y}_{2} \cdots \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{n}}$. If $(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})$ are not matched then either the $\mathbf{m}$ th position of $\mathbf{X}$ remains unmatched or the $\mathbf{n}$ th position of $\mathbf{Y}$ remains unmatched.
(1) Case $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{m}}$ and $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{n}}$ are matched.
(1) Pay mismatch cost $\alpha_{x_{m} y_{n}}$ plus cost of aligning strings $\mathrm{x}_{1} \cdots \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}-1}$ and $\mathrm{y}_{1} \cdots \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-1}$
(2) Case $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{m}}$ is unmatched.
(1) Pay gap penalty plus cost of aligning $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{1}} \cdots \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{m}-1}$ and $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{1}} \cdots \mathbf{y}_{\mathrm{n}}$
(3) Case $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{n}}$ is unmatched.
(1) Pay gap penalty plus cost of aligning $x_{1} \cdots x_{m}$ and $y_{1} \cdots y_{n-1}$

## Dynamic Programming Solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for all } \mathrm{i} \text { do } \mathrm{M}[\mathrm{i}, 0]=\mathrm{i} \delta \\
& \text { for all } \mathrm{j} \text { do } \mathrm{M}[0, \mathrm{j}]=\mathrm{j} \delta \\
& \text { for } \mathrm{i}=\mathbf{1} \text { to } \mathrm{m} \text { do } \\
& \text { for } \mathrm{j}=\mathbf{1} \text { to } \mathrm{n} \text { do } \\
& \qquad M[\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}]=\min \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{x_{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{j}}}+\mathrm{M}[\mathrm{i}-\mathbf{1}, \mathrm{j}-1], \\
\delta+\mathrm{i}[\mathrm{i}, \mathbf{1}, \mathrm{j}, \\
\delta+M[\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}-1]
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## Analysis

(1) Running time is $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{m n})$.
(2) Space used is $\mathbf{O}(\mathrm{mn})$.

## Matrix and of Computation








Figure: Iterative algorithm in previous slide computes values in row order. Optimal value is a shortest path from $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})$ to $(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})$ in

## Optimizing Space

© Recall

$$
M(i, j)=\min \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{x_{x_{i}} y_{j}}+M(i-1, j-1), \\
\delta+M(i-1, j), \\
\delta+M(i, j-1)
\end{array}\right.
$$

(2) Entries in $\mathbf{j}$ th column only depend on ( $\mathbf{j} \mathbf{- 1}$ )st column and earlier entries in jth column
( Only store the current column and the previous column reusing space; $\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{0})$ stores $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \mathbf{- 1})$ and $\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{1})$ stores $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})$

## Sequence Alignment in Practice

(1) Typically the DNA sequences that are aligned are about $\mathbf{1 0}^{\mathbf{5}}$ letters long!
(2) So about $10^{10}$ operations and $\mathbf{1 0}^{10}$ bytes needed
(3) The killer is the 10 GB storage

- Can we reduce space requirements?

Computing in column order to save space




Figure: $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})$ only depends on previous column values. Keep only two columns and compute in column order.

## Space Efficient Algorithm

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for all } \mathbf{i} \text { do } \mathrm{N}[\mathrm{i}, \mathbf{0}]=\mathbf{i} \delta \\
& \text { for } \mathbf{j}=1 \text { to } \mathbf{n} \text { do } \\
& \mathrm{N}[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}]=\mathrm{j} \delta \text { (* corresponds to } \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{j}) *) \\
& \text { for } \mathbf{i}=1 \text { to } \mathbf{m} \text { do } \\
& N[i, 1]=\min \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{x_{x_{i}} y_{j}}+N[i-1,0] \\
\delta+N[i-1,1] \\
\delta+N[i, 0]
\end{array}\right. \\
& \text { for } \mathbf{i}=1 \text { to } \mathbf{m} \text { do } \\
& \text { Copy } \mathrm{N}[\mathrm{i}, \mathbf{0}]=\mathbf{N}[\mathbf{i}, 1]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Analysis

Running time is $\mathbf{O ( m n )}$ and space used is $\mathbf{O ( 2 m )}=\mathbf{O ( m )}$

## Takeaway Points

(1) Dynamic programming is based on finding a recursive way to solve the problem. Need a recursion that generates a small number of subproblems.
(2) Given a recursive algorithm there is a natural DAG associated with the subproblems that are generated for given instance; this is the dependency graph. An iterative algorithm simply evaluates the subproblems in some topological sort of this DAG.
(0) The space required to evaluate the answer can be reduced in some cases by a careful examination of that dependency DAG of the subproblems and keeping only a subset of the DAG at any time.

## Analyzing Space Efficiency

(1) From the $\mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{n}$ matrix $\mathbf{M}$ we can construct the actual alignment (exercise)
(2) Matrix $\mathbf{N}$ computes cost of optimal alignment but no way to construct the actual alignment
(3) Space efficient computation of alignment? More complicated algorithm - see text book.

