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The word "algorithm" comes from...
Muhammad ibn Musa al-Khwarizmi
780-850 AD
The word "algebra" is taken from the title of one of his books.

## Part I

Administrivia

## Instructional Staff

(1) Instructor:

- Sariel Har-Peled (sariel)
- Alexandra Kolla (akolla)
(2) Teaching Assistants:
- Danyal Khashabi (khashab2)
- Madan Vivek (vmadan2)
- Hai Wang (hwang202)
- Subhro Roy (sroy9)
(0) Office hours: See course webpage
(1) Email: See course webpage


## Online resources

(1) Webpage: courses.engr.illinois.edu/cs473/sp2013/ General information, homeworks, etc.
(2) Moodle:
https://learn.illinois.edu/course/view.php?id=1647 Quizzes, solutions to homeworks.
(3) Online questions/announcements: Piazza https://piazza.com/\#spring2013/cs473 Online discussions, etc.

## Textbooks

(1) Prerequisites: CS 173 (discrete math), CS 225 (data structures) and CS 373 (theory of computation)
(2) Recommended books:

- Algorithms by Dasgupta, Papadimitriou \& Vazirani. Available online for free!
(0) Algorithm Design by Kleinberg \& Tardos
(3) Lecture notes: Available on the web-page after every class.
(1) Additional References
- Previous class notes of Jeff Erickson, Sariel HarPeled and the instructor.
( Introduction to Algorithms: Cormen, Leiserson, Rivest, Stein.
- Computers and Intractability: Garey and Johnson.


## Grading Policy: Overview

(1) Attendance/clickers: 5\%
(2) Quizzes: $5 \%$
(3) Homeworks: 20\%

- Midterms: $40 \%(2 \times 20 \%)$
(0) Finals: $30 \%$ (covers the full course content)


## Homeworks

(1) One quiz every week: Due by midnight on Sunday.
(2) One homework every week: Assigned on Tuesday and due the following Monday at noon.

- Submit online only!
(0) Homeworks can be worked on in groups of up to 3 and each group submits one written solution (except Homework 0).
- Short quiz-style questions to be answered individually on Moodle.
(0) Groups can be changed a few times only
- Unlike previous years no oral homework this semester due to large enrollment.


## More on Homeworks

(1) No extensions or late homeworks accepted.
(2) To compensate, the homework with the least score will be dropped in calculating the homework average.Important: Read homework faq/instructions on website.

## Discussion Sessions

(1) 50 min problem solving session led by TAs
(2) Four sections all in SC 1214 .

- Tuesday

5-5:50pm,
6-6:50pm.
(2) Wednesday

4-4:50pm,
5-5:50pm

## Advice

(1) Attend lectures, please ask plenty of questions.
(2) Clickers...
(0) Attend discussion sessions.
(1) Don't skip homework and don't copy homework solutions.
(0) Study regularly and keep up with the course.
© Ask for help promptly. Make use of office hours.

## Homeworks

(1) HW 0 is posted on the class website. Quiz 0 available
(2) Quiz 0 due by Sunday Jan 20 midnight HW 0 due on Monday January 21 noon.
(3) Online submission.
(1) HW 0 to be submitted in individually. f


## Topics

(1) Some fundamental algorithms
(2) Broadly applicable techniques in algorithm design
(1) Understanding problem structure
(2) Brute force enumeration and backtrack search
(3) Reductions
(c) Recursion
(1) Divide and Conquer
(2) Dynamic Programming
(6) Greedy methods

- Network Flows and Linear/Integer Programming (optional)
(3) Analysis techniques
(1) Correctness of algorithms via induction and other methods
(2) Recurrences
(3) Amortization and elementary potential functions
(4) Polynomial-time Reductions, NP-Completeness, Heuristics


## Goals

©
(2) Learn/remember some basic tricks, algorithms, problems, ideas

- Understand/appreciate limits of computation (intractability)
(- Appreciate the importance of algorithms in computer science and beyond (engineering, mathematics, natural sciences, social sciences, ...)
© Have fun!!!


## Part III

## Some Algorithmic Problems in the Real World

## Shortest Paths



## Digital Information: Compression and Coding

Compression: reduce size for storage and transmission
Coding: add redundancy to protect against errors in storage and transmission

Efficient algorithms for compression/coding and decompressing/decoding part of most modern gadgets (computers, phones, music/video players ...)

## Search and Indexing

String Matching and Link Analysis
(2) Text search: Text editors (Emacs, Word, Browsers, ...)
(3) Regular expression search: grep, egrep, emacs, Perl, Awk, compilers

## Public-Key Cryptography

Foundation of Electronic Commerce

RSA Crypto-system: generate key $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{p q}$ where $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}$ are primes

Primality: Given a number $\mathbf{N}$, check if $\mathbf{N}$ is a prime or composite.

Factoring: Given a composite number $\mathbf{N}$, find a non-trivial factor

## Programming: Parsing and Debugging

[godavari: /temp/test] chekuri \% gcc main.c
Parsing: Is main.c a syntactically valid C program?
Debugging: Will main.c go into an infinite loop on some input?
Easier problem ??? Will main.c halt on the specific input 10?

## Optimization

Find the cheapest of most profitable way to do things
(1) Airline schedules - AA, Delta, ...
(2) Vehicle routing - trucking and transportation (UPS, FedEx, Union Pacific, ...)

- Network Design - AT\&T, Sprint, Level3 ...

Linear and Integer programming problems

## Part IV

## Algorithm Design

## Important Ingredients in Algorithm Design

(1) What is the problem (really)?
(0) What is the input? How is it represented?
(0) What is the output?
(2) What is the model of computation? What basic operations are allowed?
( Algorithm design

- Analysis of correctness, running time, space etc.
- Algorithmic engineering: evaluating and understanding of algorithm's performance in practice, performance tweaks, comparison with other algorithms etc. (Not covered in this course)


## Primality testing

## Problem

Given an integer $\mathbf{N}>\mathbf{0}$, is $\mathbf{N}$ a prime?

SimpleAlgorithm:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } \mathbf{i}=2 \text { to }\lfloor\sqrt{\mathbf{N}}\rfloor \text { do } \\
& \text { if } \mathbf{i} \operatorname{divides~} \mathbf{N} \text { then } \\
& \text { return ''COMPOSITE', }
\end{aligned} \text { return '(PRIME', }
$$

Correctness? If $\mathbf{N}$ is composite, at least one factor in $\{2, \ldots, \sqrt{\mathbf{N}}\}$
Running time? $\mathbf{O}(\sqrt{\mathbf{N}})$ divisions? Sub-linear in input size! Wrong!

## Primality testing

How many bits to represent $\mathbf{N}$ in binary? $\lceil\log \mathbf{N}\rceil$ bits.
Simple Algorithm takes $\sqrt{\mathrm{N}}=2^{(\log \mathrm{N}) / 2}$ time.
Exponential in the input size $\mathbf{n}=\log \mathbf{N}$.
(1) Modern cryptography: binary numbers with $128,256,512$ bits.
(2) Simple Algorithm will take $2^{64}, 2^{128}, 2^{256}$ steps!
(3) Fastest computer today about 3 petaFlops $/ \mathrm{sec}$ : $\mathbf{3} \times \mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{5 0}}$ floating point ops/sec.

## Lesson:

Pay attention to representation size in analyzing efficiency of algorithms. Especially in number problems.

## problem

(1) Circuit court - ride through counties staying a few days in each town.
(2) Lincoln was a lawyer traveling with the Eighth Judicial Circuit.
(3) Picture: travel during 1850
(1) Very close to optimal tour.
(2) Might have been optimal at the time..

## Efficient algorithms

So, is there an efficient/good/effective algorithm for primality?

## Question:

What does efficiency mean?
In this class efficiency is broadly equated to polynomial time.
$\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{n}), \mathbf{O}(\mathbf{n} \log \mathbf{n}), \mathbf{O}\left(\mathbf{n}^{2}\right), \mathbf{O}\left(\mathbf{n}^{3}\right), \mathbf{O}\left(\mathbf{n}^{100}\right), \ldots$ where $\mathbf{n}$ is size of the input.

Why? Is $\mathbf{n}^{100}$ really efficient/practical? Etc.
Short answer: polynomial time is a robust, mathematically sound way to define efficiency. Has been useful for several decades.

## Solving by a Computer

(1) $\mathbf{n}=$ number of cities.
(2) $\mathbf{n}^{2}$ : size of input.
(3) Number of possible solutions is

$$
n *(n-1) *(n-2) * \ldots * 2 * 1=n!.
$$

(1) n ! grows very quickly as $\mathbf{n}$ grows.
$n=10: n!\approx 3628800$
$\mathrm{n}=50: \mathrm{n}!\approx 3 * 10^{64}$
$\mathrm{n}=100: \mathrm{n}!\approx 9 * 10^{157}$

## Solving <br> by a Computer

(1) Fastest super computer can do (roughly)

$$
2.5 * 10^{15}
$$

operations a second.
(2) Assume: computer checks $2.5 * 10^{15}$ solutions every second, then...
(1) $\mathrm{n}=\mathbf{2 0} \Longrightarrow 2$ hours.
(3) $n=25 \Longrightarrow 200$ years.

- $\mathrm{n}=37 \Longrightarrow 2 * 10^{20}$ years!!!

What is a good algorithm?

"No, Thursday's out. How about never-is never good for you?"

## What is a good algorithm?

| Input size | $\mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{2}}$ ops | $\mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{3}}$ ops | $\mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{4}}$ ops | $\mathbf{n}$ ! ops |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 0 secs | 0 secs | 0 secs | 0 secs |
| 20 | 0 secs | 0 secs | 0 secs | 16 mins |
| 30 | 0 secs | 0 secs | 0 secs | $\mathbf{3} \cdot \mathbf{1 0}^{\mathbf{9}}$ years |
| 100 | 0 secs | 0 secs | 0 secs | never |
| 8000 | 0 secs | 0 secs | 1 secs | never |
| 16000 | 0 secs | 0 secs | 26 secs | never |
| 32000 | 0 secs | 0 secs | 6 mins | never |
| 64000 | 0 secs | 0 secs | 111 mins | never |
| 200,000 | 0 secs | 3 secs | 7 days | never |
| $2,000,000$ | 0 secs | 53 mins | 202.943 years | never |
| $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{0}^{\mathbf{8}}$ | 4 secs | 12.6839 years | $\mathbf{1 0}^{\mathbf{9}}$ years | never |
| $\mathbf{1 0}^{\mathbf{9}}$ | 6 mins | 12683.9 years | $\mathbf{1 0}^{\mathbf{1 3}}$ years | never |

## Primes is in P!

## Theorem (Agrawal-Kayal-Saxena'02)

There is a polynomial time algorithm for primality.
First polynomial time algorithm for testing primality. Running time is $\mathbf{O}\left(\log ^{12} \mathrm{~N}\right)$ further improved to about $\mathbf{O}\left(\log ^{6} \mathrm{~N}\right)$ by others. In terms of input size $\mathbf{n}=\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o g }} \mathbf{N}$, time is $\mathbf{O}\left(\mathbf{n}^{6}\right)$.

Breakthrough announced in August 2002. Three days later announced in New York Times. Only 9 pages!

Neeraj Kayal and Nitin Saxena were undergraduates at IIT-Kanpur!

## What about before 2002?

Primality testing a key part of cryptography. What was the algorithm being used before 2002?
Miller-Rabin randomized algorithm:
(1) runs in polynomial time: $\mathbf{O}\left(\log ^{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)$ time
(2) if $\mathbf{N}$ is prime correctly says "yes".
(0) if $\mathbf{N}$ is composite it says "yes" with probability at most $\mathbf{1 / 2}{ }^{\mathbf{1 0 0}}$ (can be reduced further at the expense of more running time).
Based on Fermat's little theorem and some basic number theory.

## Quantum Computing

## Theorem (Shor'1994)

There is a polynomial time algorithm for factoring on a quantum computer.

RSA and current commercial cryptographic systems can be broken if a quantum computer can be built!

## Lesson

Pay attention to the model of computation.

## Problems and Algorithms

Many many different problems.
(1) Adding two numbers: efficient and simple algorithm
(2) Sorting: efficient and not too difficult to design algorithm
(3) Primality testing: simple and basic problem, took a long time to find efficient algorithm
(4) Factoring: no efficient algorithm known.
(5) Halting problem: important problem in practice, undecidable!

## Time analysis of grade school multiplication

(1) Each partial product: $\boldsymbol{\Theta}(\mathbf{n})$ time
(2) Number of partial products: $\leq \mathbf{n}$
(3) Adding partial products: $\mathbf{n}$ additions each $\boldsymbol{\Theta}(\mathbf{n})$ (Why?)
(0) Total time: $\boldsymbol{\Theta}\left(\mathbf{n}^{2}\right)$
(0) Is there a faster way?

## Multiplying Numbers

Problem Given two $\mathbf{n}$-digit numbers $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$, compute their product.

## Grade School Multiplication

Compute "partial product" by multiplying each digit of $\mathbf{y}$ with $\mathbf{x}$ and adding the partial products.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
3141 \\
\times 2718 \\
\hline 25128 \\
3141 \\
21987 \\
\hline 6282 \\
\hline 8537238
\end{array}
$$

## Fast Multiplication

Best known algorithm: $\mathbf{O}\left(\mathbf{n} \log \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{0}\left(\log ^{*} \mathbf{n}\right)}\right)$ time [Furer 2008]
Previous best time: $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{n} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o g }} \mathbf{n} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o g } \operatorname { l o g } \mathbf { n }})$ [Schonhage-Strassen 1971]
Conjecture: there exists and $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{n} \log \mathbf{n})$ time algorithm
We don't fully understand multiplication!
Computation and algorithm design is non-trivial!

## Course Approach

Algorithm design requires a mix of skill, experience, mathematical background/maturity and ingenuity.

Approach in this class and many others:
(1) Improve skills by showing various tools in the abstract and with concrete examples
(2) Improve experience by giving many problems to solve
(3) Motivate and inspire
( Creativity: you are on your own!

## Turing Machines: Recap

(1) Infinite tape
(2) Finite state control
(3) Input at beginning of tape

- Special tape letter "blank" $\sqcup$
- Head can move only one cell to left or right


## What model of computation do we use?

Turing Machine?

## Turing Machines

(1) Basic unit of data is a bit (or a single character from a finite alphabet)
(2) Algorithm is the finite control
( Time is number of steps/head moves

## Pros and Cons:

(1) theoretically sound, robust and simple model that underpins computational complexity.
(2) polynomial time equivalent to any reasonable "real" computer: Church-Turing thesis
(3) too low-level and cumbersome, does not model actual computers for many realistic settings

## "Real" Computers vs Turing Machines

How do "real" computers differ from TMs?
(1) random access to memory
(2) pointers
(3) arithmetic operations (addition, subtraction, multiplication, division) in constant time
How do they do it?
(1) basic data type is a word: currently 64 bits
(2) arithmetic on words are basic instructions of computer
(3) memory requirements assumed to be $\leq 2^{64}$ which allows for pointers and indirect addressing as well as random access

## Caveats of RAM Model

Unit-Cost RAM model is applicable in wide variety of settings in practice. However it is not a proper model in several important situations so one has to be careful.
(1) For some problems such as basic arithmetic computation, unit-cost model makes no sense. Examples: multiplication of two $\mathbf{n}$-digit numbers, primality etc.
(2) Input data is very large and does not satisfy the assumptions that individual numbers fit into a word or that total memory is bounded by $2^{\mathbf{k}}$ where $\mathbf{k}$ is word length.
(3) Assumptions valid only for certain type of algorithms that do not create large numbers from initial data. For example, exponentiation creates very big numbers from initial numbers.

## Models used in class

In this course:
(1) Assume unit-cost RAM by default.
(2) We will explicitly point out where unit-cost RAM is not applicable for the problem at hand.

## Why Graphs?

(1) Graphs help model networks which are ubiquitous: transportation networks (rail, roads, airways), social networks (interpersonal relationships), information networks (web page links) etc etc.
(2) Fundamental objects in Computer Science, Optimization, Combinatorics
© Many important and useful optimization problems are graph problems
(0) Graph theory: elegant, fun and deep mathematics

## Part V

## Graph Basics

## Graph

## Definition

An undirected (simple) graph
$\mathbf{G}=\mathbf{( V , E )}$ is a 2-tuple:
(1) $\mathbf{V}$ is a set of vertices (also referred to as nodes/points)
(2) $\mathbf{E}$ is a set of edges where each edge $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}$ is a set of the form $\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}\}$ with $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$ and $\mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{v}$.

## Example

In figure, $\mathbf{G}=\mathbf{( V , E )}$ where $\mathbf{V}=\{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{6}, \mathbf{7}, \mathbf{8}\}$ and $E=\{\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{2,3\},\{2,4\},\{2,5\},\{3,5\},\{3,7\}$, $\{3,8\},\{4,5\},\{5,6\},\{7,8\}\}$.

## Notation and Convention

## Notation

An edge in an undirected graphs is an unordered pair of nodes and hence it is a set. Conventionally we use $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ for $\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}\}$ when it is clear from the context that the graph is undirected.
(1) $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ are the end points of an edge $\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}\}$
(2) Multi-graphs allow
(1) loops which are edges with the same node appearing as both end points
(2) multi-edges: different edges between same pairs of nodes
(3) In this class we will assume that a graph is a simple graph unless explicitly stated otherwise.

## Graph Representation II

## Adjacency Lists

Represent $\mathbf{G}=\mathbf{( V , E )}$ with $\mathbf{n}$ vertices and $\mathbf{m}$ edges using adjacency lists:
(1) For each $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{V}, \operatorname{Adj}(\mathbf{u})=\{\mathbf{v} \mid\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}\} \in \mathbf{E}\}$, that is neighbors of $\mathbf{u}$. Sometimes $\operatorname{Adj}(\mathbf{u})$ is the list of edges incident to $\mathbf{u}$.
(2) Advantage: space is $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n})$
© Disadvantage: cannot "easily" determine in $\mathbf{O ( 1 )}$ time whether $\{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}\} \in \mathrm{E}$

- By sorting each list, one can achieve $\mathbf{O}(\log \mathbf{n})$ time
(0) By hashing "appropriately", one can achieve $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{1})$ time

Note: In this class we will assume that by default, graphs are represented using plain vanilla (unsorted) adjacency lists.

## Graph Representation I

## Adjacency Matrix

Represent $\mathbf{G}=(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{E})$ with $\mathbf{n}$ vertices and $\mathbf{m}$ edges using a $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n}$ adjacency matrix $\mathbf{A}$ where
(1) $\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}]=\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{i}]=\mathbf{1}$ if $\{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}\} \in \mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}]=\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{i}]=\mathbf{0}$ if $\{i, j\} \notin E$.
(2) Advantage: can check if $\{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}\} \in \mathbf{E}$ in $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{1})$ time
(0) Disadvantage: needs $\Omega\left(\mathbf{n}^{2}\right)$ space even when $\mathbf{m} \ll \mathbf{n}^{2}$

## Connectivity

Given a graph $\mathbf{G}=(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{E})$ :
(1) A path is a sequence of distinct vertices $\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}$ such that $\left\{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}+1}\right\} \in \mathbf{E}$ for $\mathbf{1} \leq \mathbf{i} \leq \mathbf{k}-\mathbf{1}$. The length of the path is $\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{1}$ and the path is from $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{1}}$ to $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}$
(2) A cycle is a sequence of distinct vertices $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}$ such that $\left\{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{1}}\right\} \in \mathbf{E}$ for $\mathbf{1} \leq \mathbf{i} \leq \mathbf{k}-\mathbf{1}$ and $\left\{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}\right\} \in \mathbf{E}$.
(3) A vertex $\mathbf{u}$ is connected to $\mathbf{v}$ if there is a path from $\mathbf{u}$ to $\mathbf{v}$.
(0) The connected component of $\mathbf{u}, \operatorname{con}(\mathbf{u})$, is the set of all vertices connected to $\mathbf{u}$.

## Connectivity contd

Define a relation $\mathbf{C}$ on $\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{V}$ as $\mathbf{u C v}$ if $\mathbf{u}$ is connected to $\mathbf{v}$
(1) In undirected graphs, connectivity is a reflexive, symmetric, and transitive relation. Connected components are the equivalence classes.
(2) Graph is connected if only one connected component.


## Basic Graph Search

Given $\mathbf{G}=\mathbf{( V , E )}$ and vertex $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{V}$ :
Explore (u):
Initialize $\mathbf{S}=\{\mathbf{u}\}$
while there is an edge ( $\mathbf{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ) with $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{S}$ and $\mathbf{y} \notin \mathbf{S}$ do add $\mathbf{y}$ to $\mathbf{S}$

## Proposition

Explore( $\mathbf{u}$ ) terminates with $\mathbf{S}=\operatorname{con}(\mathbf{u})$.

## Running time: depends on implementation

(1) Breadth First Search (BFS): use queue data structure
(2) Depth First Search (DFS): use stack data structure
(0) Review CS 225 material!

## Depth First Search

DFS is a very versatile graph exploration strategy. Hopcroft and Tarjan (Turing Award winners) demonstrated the power of DFS to understand graph structure. DFS can be used to obtain linear time $(\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}))$ time algorithms for
(1) Finding cut-edges and cut-vertices of undirected graphs
(2) Finding strong connected components of directed graphs
(3) Linear time algorithm for testing whether a graph is planar

## DFS in Undirected Graphs

Recursive version.
DFS(G)
Mark all nodes u as unvisited
while there is an unvisited node $u$ do DFS(u)

DFS(u)
Mark u as visited
for each edge (u,v) in Ajd(u) do
if $v$ is not marked
DFS(v)
Implemented using a global array Mark for all recursive calls.

## Example



```
    Tree/Forest
DFS(G)
    Mark all nodes as unvisited
    T is set to \emptyset
    while }\exists\mathrm{ unvisited node u do
        DFS(u)
    Output T
DFS(u)
    Mark u as visited
    for uv in Ajd(u) do
        if v}\mathrm{ is not marked
        add uv to T
        DFS(v)
```

Edges classified into two types: $\mathbf{u v} \in \mathbf{E}$ is a
(1) tree edge: belongs to $\mathbf{T}$
(2) non-tree edge: does not belong to $\mathbf{T}$

## Properties of tree

## Proposition

(1) $\mathbf{T}$ is a forest
(2) connected components of $\mathbf{T}$ are same as those of $\mathbf{G}$.
(3) If $\mathbf{u v} \in \mathbf{E}$ is a non-tree edge then, in $\mathbf{T}$, either:
(1) $\mathbf{u}$ is an ancestor of $\mathbf{v}$, or
(2) $\mathbf{v}$ is an ancestor of $\mathbf{u}$.

Question: Why are there no cross-edges?

## with Visit Times

Keep track of when nodes are visited.

## DFS(G)

for all $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{G})$ do
Mark u as unvisited
T is set to $\emptyset$
time $=0$
while $\exists u n v i s i t e d ~ u ~ d o ~$ DFS(u)
Output T
Mark u as visited pre(u) $=++$ time
for each uv in Out(u) do
if $\mathbf{v}$ is not marked then add edge uv to $\mathbf{T}$ DFS(v)
$\operatorname{post}(u)=++$ time

## Scratch space

## Example



## pre and post numbers

Node $\mathbf{u}$ is active in time interval [pre( $\mathbf{u}$ ), $\operatorname{post}(\mathbf{u})$ ]

## Proposition

For any two nodes $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$, the two intervals [pre(u), $\operatorname{post}(\mathbf{u})]$ and $[p r e(v), \operatorname{post}(\mathrm{v})]$ are disjoint or one is contained in the other.

## Proof.

- Assume without loss of generality that pre(u) < pre(v). Then $\mathbf{v}$ visited after $\mathbf{u}$.
- If DFS(v) invoked before DFS(u) finished, $\operatorname{post}(\mathbf{u})>\operatorname{post}(\mathrm{v})$.
- If DFS(v) invoked after DFS( $\mathbf{u}$ ) finished, pre(v) $>\operatorname{post}(\mathbf{u})$.
pre and post numbers useful in several applications of DFS- soon!


## Examples of Directed Graphs

In many situations relationship between vertices is asymmetric:
(1) Road networks with one-way streets.
(2) Web-link graph: vertices are web-pages and there is an edge from page $\mathbf{p}$ to page $\mathbf{p}^{\prime}$ if $\mathbf{p}$ has a link to $\mathbf{p}^{\prime}$. Web graphs used by Google with PageRank algorithm to rank pages.
(3) Dependency graphs in variety of applications: link from $\mathbf{x}$ to $\mathbf{y}$ if $\mathbf{y}$ depends on $\mathbf{x}$. Make files for compiling programs.
(0) Program Analysis: functions/procedures are vertices and there is an edge from $\mathbf{x}$ to $\mathbf{y}$ if $\mathbf{x}$ calls $\mathbf{y}$.

## Representation

Graph $\mathbf{G}=(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{E})$ with $\mathbf{n}$ vertices and $\mathbf{m}$ edges:
(1) Adjacency Matrix: $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n}$ asymmetric matrix $\mathbf{A}$. $\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]=\mathbf{1}$ if $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]=\mathbf{0}$ if $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \notin \mathbf{E} . \mathbf{A}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]$ is not same as $\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}]$.
(2) Adjacency Lists: for each node $\mathbf{u}, \operatorname{Out}(\mathbf{u})$ (also referred to as $\operatorname{Adj}(\mathbf{u})$ ) and $\mathbf{I n}(\mathbf{u})$ store out-going edges and in-coming edges from $\mathbf{u}$.

Default representation is adjacency lists.

## Directed Connectivity

Given a graph $\mathbf{G}=(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{E})$ :
(1) A (directed) path is a sequence of distinct vertices $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{2}}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}$ such that $\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{1}}\right) \in \mathbf{E}$ for $\mathbf{1} \leq \mathbf{i} \leq \mathbf{k}-\mathbf{1}$. The length of the path is $\mathbf{k} \mathbf{- 1}$ and the path is from $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{1}}$ to $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}$
(2) A cycle is a sequence of distinct vertices $\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}$ such that $\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{1}}\right) \in \mathbf{E}$ for $\mathbf{1} \leq \mathbf{i} \leq \mathbf{k}-\mathbf{1}$ and $\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{1}}\right) \in \mathbf{E}$.
(3) A vertex $\mathbf{u}$ can reach $\mathbf{v}$ if there is a path from $\mathbf{u}$ to $\mathbf{v}$. Alternatively $\mathbf{v}$ can be reached from $\mathbf{u}$
(1) Let $\mathbf{r c h}(\mathbf{u})$ be the set of all vertices reachable from $\mathbf{u}$.

## Connectivity contd

Asymmetricity: A can reach B but B cannot reach A


## Questions:

(1) Is there a notion of connected components?
(2) How do we understand connectivity in directed graphs?

## Strongly Connected Components: Example



## in Directed Graphs

DFS(G)
Mark all nodes u as unvisited
$\mathbf{T}$ is set to $\emptyset$
time $=0$
while there is an unvisited node $\mathbf{u}$ do DFS(pu)tput T

DFS(u)
Mark u as visited
pre(u) $=++$ time
for each edge ( $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$ ) in $\operatorname{Out}(\mathbf{u})$ do
if $\mathbf{v}$ is not marked
add edge ( $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$ ) to $\mathbf{T}$ DFS(v)
$\operatorname{post}(\mathrm{u})=++$ time

## Directed Graph Connectivity Problems

(1) Given $\mathbf{G}$ and nodes $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$, can $\mathbf{u}$ reach $\mathbf{v}$ ?

- Given $\mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{u}$, compute $\operatorname{rch}(\mathbf{u})$.
- Given $\mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{u}$, compute all $\mathbf{v}$ that can reach $\mathbf{u}$, that is all $\mathbf{v}$ such that $\mathbf{u} \in \operatorname{rch}(\mathbf{v})$
(4) Find the strongly connected component containing node $\mathbf{u}$, that is $\operatorname{SCC}(\mathbf{u})$
(5) Is G strongly connected (a single strong component)?
(6) Compute all strongly connected components of $\mathbf{G}$

First four problems can be solve in $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{m})$ time by adapting BFS/DFS to directed graphs. The last one requires a clever DFS based algorithm.

## DFS Properties

Generalizing ideas from undirected graphs:
(1) DFS( $\mathbf{u}$ ) outputs a directed out-tree $\mathbf{T}$ rooted at $\mathbf{u}$
(2) A vertex $\mathbf{v}$ is in $\mathbf{T}$ if and only if $\mathbf{v} \in \operatorname{rch}(\mathbf{u})$
(3) For any two vertices $x, y$ the intervals $[\operatorname{pre}(x), \operatorname{post}(x)]$ and [pre(y), post(y)] are either disjoint are one is contained in the other.
(- The running time of $\operatorname{DFS}(\mathbf{u})$ is $\mathbf{O ( k )}$ where $\mathbf{k}=\sum$ $\qquad$ $|\operatorname{Adj}(\mathbf{v})|$ plus the time to initialize the Mark array.

- DFS( $\mathbf{G}$ ) takes $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n})$ time. Edges in $\mathbf{T}$ form a disjoint collection of of out-trees. Output of DFS(G) depends on the order in which vertices are considered.


## Tree

Edges of $\mathbf{G}$ can be classified with respect to the DFS tree $\mathbf{T}$ as:
(1) Tree edges that belong to $\mathbf{T}$
(2) A forward edge is a non-tree edges $(x, y)$ such that $\operatorname{pre}(\mathrm{x})<\operatorname{pre}(\mathrm{y})<\operatorname{post}(\mathrm{y})<\operatorname{post}(\mathrm{x})$.
(3) A backward edge is a non-tree edge ( $x, y$ ) such that $\operatorname{pre}(\mathrm{y})<\operatorname{pre}(\mathrm{x})<\operatorname{post}(\mathrm{x})<\operatorname{post}(\mathrm{y})$.
(1) A cross edge is a non-tree edges $(x, y)$ such that the intervals [pre(x), post(x)] and [pre(y), post(y)] are disjoint.
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## Directed Graph Connectivity Problems

(1) Given $\mathbf{G}$ and nodes $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$, can $\mathbf{u}$ reach $\mathbf{v}$ ?
(2) Given $\mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{u}$, compute $\operatorname{rch}(\mathbf{u})$.
(3) Given $\mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{u}$, compute all $\mathbf{v}$ that can reach $\mathbf{u}$, that is all $\mathbf{v}$ such that $\mathbf{u} \in \operatorname{rch}(\mathbf{v})$.
(- Find the strongly connected component containing node $\mathbf{u}$, that is $\operatorname{SCC}(\mathbf{u})$.

- Is G strongly connected (a single strong component)?
- Compute all strongly connected components of $\mathbf{G}$.



## Types of Edges

## Algorithms via - I

(1) Given $\mathbf{G}$ and nodes $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$, can $\mathbf{u}$ reach $\mathbf{v}$ ? (2) Given $\mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{u}$, compute $\operatorname{rch}(\mathbf{u})$.

Use $\operatorname{DFS}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{u})$ to compute $\mathrm{rch}(\mathbf{u})$ in $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{m})$ time.

## Algorithms via - II

(1) Given $\mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{u}$, compute all $\mathbf{v}$ that can reach $\mathbf{u}$, that is all $\mathbf{v}$ such that $\mathbf{u} \in \operatorname{rch}(\mathbf{v})$.

## Definition (Reverse graph.)

Given $\mathbf{G}=(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{E}), \mathbf{G}^{\text {rev }}$ is the graph with edge directions reversed $\mathbf{G}^{\text {rev }}=\left(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{E}^{\prime}\right)$ where $\mathbf{E}^{\prime}=\{(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \mid(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbf{E}\}$

## Compute rch(u) in $\mathbf{G}^{\text {rev! }}$

(1) Correctness: exercise
(2) Running time: $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{m})$ to obtain $\mathbf{G}^{\text {rev }}$ from $\mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{m})$ time to compute rch(u) via DFS. If both Out(v) and $\ln (\mathbf{v})$ are available at each $\mathbf{v}$ then no need to explicitly compute $\mathbf{G}^{\text {rev }}$. Can do it $\operatorname{DFS}(\mathbf{u})$ in $\mathbf{G}^{\text {rev }}$ implicitly.

## Algorithms via - IV

(1) Is G strongly connected?

Pick arbitrary vertex $\mathbf{u}$. Check if $\mathbf{S C}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{u})=\mathbf{V}$.

## Algorithms via - III

$\mathbf{S C}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{u})=\{\mathbf{v} \mid \mathbf{u}$ is strongly connected to $\mathbf{v}\}$
(1) Find the strongly connected component containing node $\mathbf{u}$.

That is, compute $\operatorname{SCC}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{u})$.
$\operatorname{SCC}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{u})=\operatorname{rch}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{u}) \cap \operatorname{rch}\left(\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{rev}}, \mathbf{u}\right)$
Hence, $\operatorname{SCC}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{u})$ can be computed with two DFSes, one in $\mathbf{G}$ and the other in $\mathbf{G}^{\text {rev }}$. Total $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{m})$ time.

## Algorithms via - V

(1) Find all strongly connected components of G.
for each vertex $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{V}$ do find $\mathbf{S C}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{u})$

Running time: $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{m})$ ).
Q: Can we do it in $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{m})$ time?

## Reading and Homework 0

Chapters 1 from Dasgupta etal book, Chapters 1-3 from Kleinberg-Tardos book.

Proving algorithms correct - Jeff Erickson's notes (see link on website)
$\square$


