# Symmetric Cryptography 

CS461/ECE422
Fall 2009

## Outline

- Overview of Cryptosystem design
- Commercial Symmetric systems
- DES
- AES
- Modes of block and stream ciphers


## Reading

- Chapter 9 from Computer Science: Art and Science
- Sections 3 and 4
- AES Standard issued as FIPS PUB 197 - http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips197/fips
- Handbook of Applied Cryptography, Menezes, van Oorschot, Vanstone
- Chapter 7
- http://www.cacr.math.uwaterloo.ca/hac/


## Stream, Block Ciphers

- $E$ encipherment function
- $E_{k}(b)$ encipherment of message $b$ with key $k$
- In what follows, $m=b_{1} b_{2} \ldots$, each $b_{i}$ of fixed length
- Block cipher
$-E_{k}(m)=E_{k}\left(b_{1}\right) E_{k}\left(b_{2}\right) \ldots$
- Stream cipher
$-k=k_{1} k_{2} \ldots$
$-E_{k}(m)=E_{k 1}\left(b_{1}\right) E_{k 2}\left(b_{2}\right) \ldots$
- If $k_{1} k_{2} \ldots$ repeats itself, cipher is periodic and the length of its period is one cycle of $k_{1} k_{2} \ldots$


## Examples

- Vigenère cipher
$-\left|b_{i}\right|=1$ character, $k=k_{1} k_{2} \ldots$ where $\left|k_{i}\right|=1$ character
- Each $b_{i}$ enciphered using $k_{i \text { mod length }(k)}$
- Stream cipher
- DES
$-\left|b_{i}\right|=64$ bits, $|k|=56$ bits
- Each $b_{i}$ enciphered separately using $k$
- Block cipher


## Confusion and Diffusion

- Confusion
- Interceptor should not be able to predict how ciphertext will change by changing one character
- Diffusion
- Cipher should spread information from plaintext over cipher text
- See avalanche effect


## Avalanche Effect

- Key desirable property of an encryption algorithm
- Where a change of one input or key bit results in changing approx half of the output bits
- If the change were small, this might provide a way to reduce the size of the key space to be searched
- DES exhibits strong avalanche


## Overview of the DES

- A block cipher:
- encrypts blocks of 64 bits using a 56 bit key
- outputs 64 bits of ciphertext
- A product cipher
- basic unit is the bit
- performs both substitution (S-box) and transposition (permutation) (P-box) on the bits
- Cipher consists of 16 rounds (iterations) each with a round key generated from the user-supplied key


## Feistel Network

- Structured to enable use of same S-box and P-box for encryption and decryption
- Change only key schedule
- Major feature is key division and swapping
$-L(i)=R(i-1)$
$-\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{i})=\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{i}-1)$ xor $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{i}), \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{i}-1))$


## Feistel Structure Decryption



## The Big Picture



## Generation of Round Keys
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## Encryption



## The $f$ Function
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## Substitution boxes

- Key non-linear element to DES security
- have eight S-boxes which map 6 to 4 bits
- outer bits $1 \& 6$ (rowbits) select one rows
- inner bits 2-5 (colbits) select column
- result is 8 lots of 4 bits, or 32 bits
- row selection depends on both data \& key
- feature known as autoclaving (autokeying)
- example:
- S(18 0912 3d 111738 39) = 5fd25e03


## DES Decryption

- decrypt must unwind steps of data computation
- with Feistel design, do encryption steps again using subkeys in reverse order (SK16 ... SK1)
- note that IP undoes final FP step of encryption
- 1st round with SK16 undoes 16th encrypt round
- 16th round with SK1 undoes 1st encrypt round
- then final FP undoes initial encryption IP thus recovering original data value


## Controversy

- Considered too weak
- Diffie, Hellman said in a few years technology would allow DES to be broken in days
- Design using 1999 technology published
- Design decisions not public
- NSA controlled process
- Some of the design decisions underlying the S-Boxes are unknown
- S-boxes may have backdoors
- Key size reduced from 112 bits in original Lucifer design to 56 bits


## Undesirable Properties

- 4 weak keys
- They are their own inverses
$-i . e . \operatorname{DES}_{k}(m)=c \Rightarrow \operatorname{DES}_{k}(c)=m$
- All 0's. All 1's. First half 1's second half 0's. Visa versa.
- 12 semi-weak keys
- Each has another semi-weak key as inverse
$-i . e . \operatorname{DES}_{k l}(m)=c \Rightarrow \operatorname{DES}_{k 2}(c)=m$
- Possibly weak keys
- Result in same subkeys being used in multiple rounds
- Complementation property
$-\operatorname{DES}_{k}(m)=c \Rightarrow \operatorname{DES}_{k}\left(m^{\prime}\right)=c^{\prime}$


## Brute Force Attack

- What do you need?
- How many steps should it take?
- How can you do better?


## Differential Cryptoanalysis

- Was not reported in open literature until 1990
- Tracks probabilities of differences inputs matching differences in outputs
- Chosen ciphertext attack


## Differential Cryptoanalysis

- Build table of probabilities of inputs and outputs per round
$-\Delta m_{i+1}=m_{i+1}$ xor $m_{i+1}^{\prime}$
$-\Delta m_{i+1}=\left[m_{i-1} \operatorname{xor} f\left(m_{i}, K_{i}\right)\right] \operatorname{xor}\left[m_{i-1}^{\prime} \operatorname{xor} f\left(m_{i}^{\prime}\right.\right.$, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ )]
$-\Delta \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}+1}=\Delta \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}-1} \operatorname{xor}\left[\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \operatorname{xor} \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right]$
- Compose probabilities per round


## Differential Cryptoanalysis

- Revealed several properties
- Small changes in S-boxes reduces the number of pairs needed
- The method was known to designer team as early as 1974
- Not so useful to break DES
- But very useful to analyze the security of Feistel Network systems


## Differential Cryptoanalysis

- Lucifer - IBM precursor to DES
- Broken in 30 pairs
- FEAL-N
- DES with different numbers of iterations
- FEAL-4 broken in 20 pairs
- FEAL-8 broken in 10,000 pairs
- DES with 15 rounds broken in $2^{\wedge} 52$ tests
- DES with 16 rounds broken in $2^{\wedge} 58$ tests


## Current Status of DES

- A design for computer system and an associated software that could break any DES-enciphered message in a few days was published in 1998
- Several challenges to break DES messages solved using distributed computing
- National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) selected Rijndael as Advanced Encryption Standard (AES), successor to DES
- Designed to withstand attacks that were successful on DES
- It can use keys of varying length (128, 196, or 256)


## AES Background

- Clear a replacement for DES was needed
- Can use Triple-DES -but slow with small blocks
- US NIST issued call for ciphers in 1997
- 15 candidates accepted in Jun 98
- 5 were short-listed in Aug-99
- Rijndael was selected as AES in Oct-2000
- issued as FIPS PUB 197 standard in Nov-2001
- http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips197/fips-


## AES Requirements

- Private key symmetric block cipher
- 128-bit data, 128/192/256-bit keys
- Stronger \& faster than Triple-DES
- Active life of 20-30 years (+ archival use)
- Provide full specification \& design details
- Both C \& Java implementations
- NIST have released all submissions \& unclassified analyses


## AES Evaluation Criteria

- Initial criteria:
- security -effort to practically cryptanalyse
- cost -computational
- algorithm \& implementation characteristics
- Final criteria
- general security
- software \& hardware implementation ease
- implementation attacks
- flexibility (in en/decrypt, keying, other factors)


## AES Shortlist

- Shortlist August-99:
- MARS (IBM) -complex, fast, high security margin
- RC6 (USA) -v. simple, v. fast, low security margin
- Rijndael(Belgium) -clean, fast, good security margin
- Serpent (Euro) -slow, clean, v. high security margin
- Twofish(USA) -complex, v. fast, high security margin
- Subject to further analysis \& comment
- Saw contrast between algorithms with
- few complex rounds verses many simple rounds
- which refined existing ciphers verses new proposals


## The AES Cipher - Rijndael

- Designed by Rijmen-Daemenin Belgium
- Has 128/192/256 bit keys, 128 bit data
- An iterative rather than feistel cipher
- treats data in 4 groups of 4 bytes
$-4 \times 4$ matrix in column major order
- operates an entire block in every round
- Designed to be:
- resistant against known attacks
- speed and code compactness on many CPUs
- Simple design


## AES Block Matrix

| In0 | In4 | In8 | In12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| In1 | In5 | In9 | In13 |
| In2 | In6 | In10 | In14 |
| In3 | In7 | In11 | In15 |

## Algorithm Overview

- Processes data as 4 groups of 4 bytes (state)
- Has $9 / 11 / 13$ rounds in which state undergoes:
- Byte substitution (1 S-box used on every byte)
- Shift rows (permute bytes between groups/columns)
- Mix columns (subs using matrix multiply of groups)
- Add round key (XOR state with key material)
- All operations can be combined into XOR and table lookups -hence very fast \& efficient


## Rijndael



## Byte Substitution

- A simple substitution of each byte
- Uses one table of $16 \times 16$ bytes containing a permutation of all 2568 -bit values
- Each byte of state is replaced by byte in row (left 4-bits) \& column (right 4-bits)
- S-box is constructed using a defined transformation of the values in $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{8}\right)$
- Designed to be resistant to all known attacks


## Shift Rows

- A circular byte shift in each row
$-1^{s t}$ row is unchanged
$-2^{\text {nd }}$ row does 1 byte circular shift to left
$-3^{\text {rd }}$ row does 2 byte circular shift to left
$-4^{\text {th }}$ row does 3 byte circular shift to left
- Decrypt does shifts to right
- Since state is stored by columns, this step permutes bytes between the columns


## Mix Columns

- Each column is processed separately
- Each byte is replaced by a value dependent on all 4 bytes in the column
- Effectively a matrix multiplication in $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{8}\right)$ using prime poly $\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{x} 8+\mathrm{x} 4+\mathrm{x} 3+\mathrm{x}+1$


## Add Round Key

- XOR state with 128 -bits of the round key
- Again processed by column (though effectively a series of byte operations)
- Inverse for decryption is identical since XOR is own inverse, just with correct round key
- Designed to be as simple as possible


## AES Round



## AES Key Expansion

- Takes 128-bit (16-byte) key and expands into array of 44/52/60 32-bit words
- Start by copying key into first 4 words
- Then loop creating words that depend on values in previous \& 4 places back
- in 3 of 4 cases just XOR these together
- every $4^{\text {n }}$ has S-box + rotate + XOR constant of previous before XOR together
- Designed to resist known attacks


## AES Decryption

- AES decryption is not identical to encryption since steps done in reverse
- But can define an equivalent inverse cipher with steps as for encryption
- but using inverses of each step
- with a different key schedule
- Works since result is unchanged when
- swap byte substitution \& shift rows
- swap mix columns \& add (tweaked) round key


## Implementation Issues

- Can be efficiently implemented on 8 -bit CPU
- Byte substitution works on bytes using a table of 256 entries
- Shift rows is simple byte shifting
- Add round key works on byte XORs
- Mix columns requires matrix multiply in GF(28) on byte values, can be simplified to use a table lookup


## Block Ciphers

- Encipher, decipher multiple bits at once
- Each block enciphered independently
- Electronic Code Book Mode (ECB)


## ECB Problem

- Problem: identical plaintext blocks produce identical ciphertext blocks
- Example: two database records
- MEMBER: HOLLY INCOME \$100,000
- MEMBER: HEIDI INCOME \$100,000
- Encipherment:
- ABCQZRME GHQMRSIB CTXUVYSS RMGRPFQN
- ABCQZRME ORMPABRZ CTXUVYSS RMGRPFQN


## Solutions

- Insert information about block's position into the plaintext block, then encipher
- Cipher block chaining (CBC):
- Exclusive-or current plaintext block with previous ciphertext block:
- $c_{0}=E_{k}\left(m_{0} \oplus I\right)$
- $c_{i}=E_{k}\left(m_{i} \oplus c_{i-1}\right)$ for $\mathrm{i}>0$
where $I$ is the initialization vector


## CBC Mode Encryption



## CBC Mode Decryption



## Self-Healing Property

- If one block of ciphertext is altered, the error propagates for at most two blocks
- Initial message
- 323134333635383732313433363538373231343336353837
- Received as (underlined 4 c should be 4 b )
- ef7c4cb2b4ce6f3b f6266e3a97af0e2c 746ab9a6308f4256 33e60b451b09603d
- Which decrypts to
- $\frac{\text { efca61e19f4836f1 }}{3231343336353837} 323333363538373231343336353837$
- Incorrect bytes underlined
- Plaintext "heals" after 2 blocks


## Multiple Encryptions

- Double encryption not generally used
- Meet-in-the-middle attack
$-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k} 2}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k} 1}(\mathrm{P})\right)$
- Modifies brute force to require only $2^{n+1}$ steps instead of $2^{2 n}$
- Encrypt-Decrypt-Encrypt Mode (2 or 3 keys: $k, k$ )
$-c=\mathrm{DES}_{k}\left(\mathrm{DES}_{k^{\prime}}{ }^{-1}\left(\mathrm{DES}_{k^{\prime}}(m)\right)\right)$
- Also called Triple DES or 3DES when used with 3 keys
- 168 bits of key, but effective key length of 112 due to meet-in-the middle
- Not yet practical to break but AES much faster
- Encrypt-Encrypt-Encrypt Mode (3 keys: $k, k^{\prime}, k^{\prime}$ )
$-c=\mathrm{DES}_{k}\left(\mathrm{DES}_{k^{\prime}}\left(\mathrm{DES}_{k^{\prime}}(m)\right)\right)$


## Stream Ciphers

- Often (try to) implement one-time pad by xor'ing each bit of key with one bit of message
- Example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m=00101 \\
& k=10010 \\
& c=10111
\end{aligned}
$$

- But how to generate a good key?


## Synchronous Stream Ciphers

- $n$-stage Linear Feedback Shift Register: consists of
$-n$ bit register $r=r_{0} \ldots r_{n-1}$
$-n$ bit tap sequence $t=t_{0} \ldots t_{n-1}$
- Use:
- Use $r_{n-1}$ as key bit
- Compute $x=r_{0} t_{0} \oplus \ldots \oplus r_{n-1} t_{n-1}$
- Shift $r$ one bit to right, dropping $r_{n-1}, x$ becomes $r_{0}$


## Operation



## Example

- 4-stage LFSR; $t=1001$

| $r$ | $k_{i}$ | new bit computation | new $r$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0010 | 0 | $01 \oplus 00 \oplus 10 \oplus 01=$ | 0 |
| 0001 | 1 | $01 \oplus 00 \oplus 00 \oplus 11=1$ | 1000 |
| 1000 | 0 | $11 \oplus 00 \oplus 00 \oplus 01=1$ | 1100 |
| 1100 | 0 | $11 \oplus 10 \oplus 00 \oplus 01=1$ | 1110 |
| 1110 | 0 | $11 \oplus 10 \oplus 10 \oplus 01=1$ | 1111 |
| 1111 | 1 | $11 \oplus 10 \oplus 10 \oplus 11=$ | 0 |
| -00 | $11 \oplus 10 \oplus 10 \oplus 11=1$ | 1011 |  |

- Key sequence has period of 15 ( 010001111010110 )


## LFSR Period

- For $n$ bit register
- Maximum possible period is $2^{\mathrm{n}}-1$
--1 because 0 's will only yield 0 's
- Not all tap sequences will yield this period
- Large theory on computing maximal period feedback functions


## NLFSR

- n-stage Non-Linear Feedback Shift Register: consists of
$-n$ bit register $r=r_{0} \ldots r_{n-1}$
- Use:
- Use $r_{n-1}$ as key bit
- Compute $x=f\left(r_{0}, \ldots, r_{n-1}\right) ; f$ is any function
- Shift $r$ one bit to right, dropping $r_{n-1}, x$ becomes $r_{0}$

Note same operation as LFSR but more general bit replacement function

## Example

- 4-stage NLFSR; $f\left(r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)=\left(r_{0} \& r_{2}\right) \mid r_{3}$

| $r$ | $k_{i}$ | new bit | putation | new r |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1100 | 0 | ( 1 \& 0) | $0=0$ | 0110 |
| 0110 | 0 | $(0$ \& 1) | $0=0$ | 0011 |
| 0011 | 1 | $(0$ \& 1) | $1=1$ | 1001 |
| 1001 | 1 | $(1 \& 0)$ | $1=1$ | 1100 |
| 1100 | 0 | $(1 \& 0)$ | $0=0$ | 0110 |
| 0110 | 0 | (0 \& 1) | $0=0$ | 0011 |
| 0011 | 1 | (0 \& 1) | $1=1$ | 1001 |

- Key sequence has period of 4 (0011)


## Eliminating Linearity

- NLFSRs not common
- No body of theory about how to design them to have long period
- Alternate approach: output feedback mode
- For $E$ encipherment function, $k$ key, $r$ register:
- Compute $r^{\prime}=E_{k}(r)$; key bit is rightmost bit of $r^{\prime}$
- Set $r$ to $r^{\prime}$ and iterate, repeatedly enciphering register and extracting key bits, until message enciphered
- Variant: use a counter that is incremented for each encipherment rather than a register
- Take rightmost bit of $E_{k}(i)$, where $i$ is number of encipherment


## OFB Mode



## Counter Mode



## Issues with OFB/Counter

- Additional standard modes for DES/AES
- Losing Synchronicity is fatal
- All later decryptions will be garbled
- OFB needs an initialization vector
- Counter mode lets you generate a bit in the middle of the stream
- RC4 is a well-known stream cipher that uses OFB. Used in WEP


## Self-Synchronous Stream Cipher

- Take key from message itself (autokey)
- Example: Vigenère, key drawn from plaintext
- key XTHEBOYHASTHEBA
- plaintext THEBOYHASTHEBAG
- ciphertext QALFPNFHSLALFCT
- Problem:
- Statistical regularities in plaintext show in key
- Once you get any part of the message, you can decipher more


## Another Example

- Take key from ciphertext (autokey)
- Example: Vigenère, key drawn from ciphertext
- key XQXBCQOVVNGNRTT
- plaintext THEBOYHASTHEBAG
- ciphertext QXBCQOVVNGNRTTM
- Problem:
- Attacker gets key along with ciphertext, so deciphering is trivial


## Variant

- Cipher feedback mode: 1 bit of ciphertext fed into $n$ bit register
- Self-healing property: if ciphertext bit received incorrectly, it and next $n$ bits decipher incorrectly; but after that, the ciphertext bits decipher correctly
- Need to know $k, E$ to decipher ciphertext



## Key Points

- Historical Ciphers
- Give examples of linguistic attacks
- Substitution and transposition ciphers
- Symmetric key ciphers
- AES and DES
- Today's workhorse algorithms
- Crypto analysis attacks on algorithms
- Product ciphers

