Symmetric Cryptography

CS461/ECE422 Fall 2009

1

Outline

- Overview of Cryptosystem design
- Commercial Symmetric systems
 - DES
 - -AES
- Modes of block and stream ciphers

Reading

- Chapter 9 from *Computer Science: Art and Science*
 - Sections 3 and 4
- AES Standard issued as FIPS PUB 197 - http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips197/fips
- Handbook of Applied Cryptography, Menezes, van Oorschot, Vanstone
 - Chapter 7
 - http://www.cacr.math.uwaterloo.ca/hac/

Stream, Block Ciphers

- *E* encipherment function
 - $-E_k(b)$ encipherment of message b with key k
 - In what follows, $m = b_1 b_2 \dots$, each b_i of fixed length
- Block cipher

 $-E_k(m) = E_k(b_1)E_k(b_2)\ldots$

- Stream cipher
 - $-k = k_1 k_2 \dots$
 - $-E_{k}(m) = E_{k1}(b_{1})E_{k2}(b_{2})\dots$
 - If k_1k_2 ... repeats itself, cipher is *periodic* and the length of its period is one cycle of k_1k_2 ...

Examples

- Vigenère cipher
 - $|b_i| = 1$ character, $k = k_1 k_2 \dots$ where $|k_i| = 1$ character
 - Each b_i enciphered using $k_{i \mod \text{length}(k)}$
 - Stream cipher
- DES
 - $|b_i| = 64$ bits, |k| = 56 bits
 - Each b_i enciphered separately using k
 - Block cipher

Confusion and Diffusion

- Confusion
 - Interceptor should not be able to predict how ciphertext will change by changing one character
- Diffusion
 - Cipher should spread information from plaintext over cipher text
 - See avalanche effect

Avalanche Effect

- Key desirable property of an encryption algorithm
- Where a change of **one** input or key bit results in changing approx **half of the** output bits
- If the change were small, this might provide a way to reduce the size of the key space to be searched
- DES exhibits strong avalanche

Overview of the DES

- A block cipher:
 - encrypts blocks of 64 bits using a 56 bit key
 - outputs 64 bits of ciphertext
- A product cipher
 - basic unit is the bit
 - performs both substitution (S-box) and transposition (permutation) (P-box) on the bits
- Cipher consists of 16 rounds (iterations) each with a round key generated from the user-supplied key

Feistel Network

- Structured to enable use of same S-box and P-box for encryption and decryption

 Change only key schedule
- Major feature is key division and swapping
 - -L(i) = R(i-1)
 - $-R(i) = L(i-1) \operatorname{xor} f(K(i), R(i-1))$

Feistel Structure Decryption



The Big Picture



64-bit ciphertext

11

Generation of Round Keys



Slide #9-12

Encryption



Slide #9-13

The f Function



Substitution boxes

- Key non-linear element to DES security
- have eight S-boxes which map 6 to 4 bits
 - outer bits 1 & 6 (rowbits) select one rows
 - inner bits 2-5 (colbits) select column
 - result is 8 lots of 4 bits, or 32 bits
- row selection depends on both data & key
 - feature known as autoclaving (autokeying)
- example:

- S(18 09 12 3d 11 17 38 39) = 5fd25e03

DES Decryption

- decrypt must unwind steps of data computation
- with Feistel design, do encryption steps again using subkeys in reverse order (SK16 ... SK1)
- note that IP undoes final FP step of encryption
 1st round with SK16 undoes 16th encrypt round

- 16th round with SK1 undoes 1st encrypt round

• then final FP undoes initial encryption IP thus recovering original data value

Controversy

- Considered too weak
 - Diffie, Hellman said in a few years technology would allow DES to be broken in days
 - Design using 1999 technology published
 - Design decisions not public
 - NSA controlled process
 - Some of the design decisions underlying the S-Boxes are unknown
 - S-boxes may have backdoors
 - Key size reduced from 112 bits in original Lucifer design to 56 bits

Undesirable Properties

- 4 weak keys
 - They are their own inverses
 - -i.e. DES_k(m) = c \Rightarrow DES_k(c) = m
 - All 0's. All 1's. First half 1's second half 0's. Visa versa.
- 12 semi-weak keys
 - Each has another semi-weak key as inverse
 - $-i.e. \text{ DES}_{kl}(m) = c \Rightarrow \text{DES}_{k2}(c) = m$
- Possibly weak keys
 - Result in same subkeys being used in multiple rounds
- Complementation property
 - $\operatorname{DES}_{k}(m) = c \Longrightarrow \operatorname{DES}_{k}(m') = c'$

Brute Force Attack

- What do you need?
- How many steps should it take?
- How can you do better?

- Was not reported in open literature until 1990
 - Tracks probabilities of differences inputs matching differences in outputs
- Chosen ciphertext attack

• Build table of probabilities of inputs and outputs per round

$$-\Delta m_{i+1} = m_{i+1} \text{ xor } m'_{i+1}$$

- $-\Delta m_{i+1} = [m_{i-1} \text{ xor } f(m_i, K_i)] \text{ xor } [m_{i-1}^{*} \text{ xor } f(m_i^{*}, K_i)]$ K_i)]
- $-\Delta m_{i+1} = \Delta m_{i-1} \operatorname{xor} [f(m_i, K_i) \operatorname{xor} f(m'_i, K_i)]$
- Compose probabilities per round

- Revealed several properties
 - Small changes in S-boxes reduces the number of pairs needed
 - The method was known to designer team as early as 1974
- Not so useful to break DES
 - But very useful to analyze the security of Feistel Network systems

- Lucifer IBM precursor to DES – Broken in 30 pairs
- FEAL-N
 - DES with different numbers of iterations
 - FEAL-4 broken in 20 pairsFEAL-8 broken in 10,000 pairs
- DES with 15 rounds broken in 2^52 tests
- DES with 16 rounds broken in 2^58 tests

Current Status of DES

- A design for computer system and an associated software that could break any DES-enciphered message in a few days was published in 1998
- Several challenges to break DES messages solved using distributed computing
- National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) selected Rijndael as Advanced Encryption Standard (AES), successor to DES
 - Designed to withstand attacks that were successful on DES
 - It can use keys of varying length (128, 196, or 256)

AES Background

- Clear a replacement for DES was needed - Can use Triple-DES –but slow with small blocks
- US NIST issued call for ciphers in 1997
 - 15 candidates accepted in Jun 98
 - 5 were short-listed in Aug-99
- Rijndael was selected as AES in Oct-2000
 - issued as FIPS PUB 197 standard in Nov-2001
 - http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips197/fips-

AES Requirements

- Private key symmetric block cipher – 128-bit data, 128/192/256-bit keys
- Stronger & faster than Triple-DES
- Active life of 20-30 years (+ archival use)
- Provide full specification & design details
- Both C & Java implementations
- NIST have released all submissions & unclassified analyses

AES Evaluation Criteria

- Initial criteria:
 - security -effort to practically cryptanalyse
 - cost -computational
 - algorithm & implementation characteristics
- Final criteria
 - general security
 - software & hardware implementation ease
 - implementation attacks
 - flexibility (in en/decrypt, keying, other factors)

AES Shortlist

- Shortlist August-99:
 - MARS (IBM) -complex, fast, high security margin
 - RC6 (USA) -v. simple, v. fast, low security margin
 - Rijndael(Belgium) -clean, fast, good security margin
 - Serpent (Euro) -slow, clean, v. high security margin
 - Twofish(USA) -complex, v. fast, high security margin
- Subject to further analysis & comment
- Saw contrast between algorithms with
 - few complex rounds verses many simple rounds
 - which refined existing ciphers verses new proposals

The AES Cipher - Rijndael

- Designed by Rijmen-Daemenin Belgium

 Has 128/192/256 bit keys, 128 bit data
- An iterative rather than feistel cipher
 - treats data in 4 groups of 4 bytes
 - 4x4 matrix in column major order
 - operates an entire block in every round
- Designed to be:
 - resistant against known attacks
 - speed and code compactness on many CPUs
 - Simple design

AES Block Matrix

In0	In4	In8	In12
In1	In5	In9	In13
In2	In6	In10	In14
In3	In7	In11	In15

Algorithm Overview

- Processes data as 4 groups of 4 bytes (state)
- Has 9/11/13 rounds in which state undergoes:
 - Byte substitution (1 S-box used on every byte)
 - Shift rows (permute bytes between groups/columns)
 - Mix columns (subs using matrix multiply of groups)
 - Add round key (XOR state with key material)
- All operations can be combined into XOR and table lookups -hence very fast & efficient



Byte Substitution

- A simple substitution of each byte
- Uses one table of 16x16 bytes containing a permutation of all 256 8-bit values
- Each byte of state is replaced by byte in row (left 4-bits) & column (right 4-bits)
- S-box is constructed using a defined transformation of the values in GF(2⁸)
- Designed to be resistant to all known attacks

Shift Rows

- A circular byte shift in each row
 - 1st row is unchanged
 - -2^{nd} row does 1 byte circular shift to left
 - -3^{rd} row does 2 byte circular shift to left
 - -4^{th} row does 3 byte circular shift to left
- Decrypt does shifts to right
- Since state is stored by columns, this step permutes bytes between the columns

Mix Columns

- Each column is processed separately
- Each byte is replaced by a value dependent on all 4 bytes in the column
- Effectively a matrix multiplication in $GF(2^8)$ using prime poly $m(x) = x_8 + x_4 + x_3 + x + 1$

Add Round Key

- XOR state with 128-bits of the round key
- Again processed by column (though effectively a series of byte operations)
- Inverse for decryption is identical since XOR is own inverse, just with correct round key
- Designed to be as simple as possible



AES Key Expansion

- Takes 128-bit (16-byte) key and expands into array of 44/52/60 32-bit words
- Start by copying key into first 4 words
- Then loop creating words that depend on values in previous & 4 places back
 - in 3 of 4 cases just XOR these together
 - every 4th has S-box + rotate + XOR constant of previous before XOR together
- Designed to resist known attacks

AES Decryption

- AES decryption is not identical to encryption since steps done in reverse
- But can define an equivalent inverse cipher with steps as for encryption
 - but using inverses of each step
 - with a different key schedule
- Works since result is unchanged when
 - swap byte substitution & shift rows
 - swap mix columns & add (tweaked) round key

Implementation Issues

- Can be efficiently implemented on 8-bit CPU
 - Byte substitution works on bytes using a table of 256 entries
 - Shift rows is simple byte shifting
 - Add round key works on byte XORs
 - Mix columns requires matrix multiply in GF(28) on byte values, can be simplified to use a table lookup

Block Ciphers

- Encipher, decipher multiple bits at once
- Each block enciphered independently

 Electronic Code Book Mode (ECB)

ECB Problem

- Problem: identical plaintext blocks produce identical ciphertext blocks
 - Example: two database records
 - MEMBER: HOLLY INCOME \$100,000
 - MEMBER: HEIDI INCOME \$100,000
 - Encipherment:
 - ABCQZRME GHQMRSIB CTXUVYSS RMGRPFQN
 - ABCQZRME ORMPABRZ CTXUVYSS RMGRPFQN

Solutions

- Insert information about block's position into the plaintext block, then encipher
- Cipher block chaining (CBC):
 - Exclusive-or current plaintext block with previous ciphertext block:
 - $c_0 = E_k(m_0 \oplus I)$
 - $c_i = E_k(m_i \oplus c_{i-1})$ for i > 0

where I is the initialization vector

CBC Mode Encryption



CBC Mode Decryption



Self-Healing Property

- If one block of ciphertext is altered, the error propagates for at most two blocks
- Initial message
 - 3231343336353837 3231343336353837 3231343336353837 3231343336353837
- Received as (underlined 4c should be 4b)
 - ef7c4cb2b4ce6f3b f6266e3a97af0e2c 746ab9a6308f4256
 33e60b451b09603d
- Which decrypts to
 - <u>efca61e19f4836f1</u> 3231<u>33</u>3336353837 3231343336353837 3231343336353837
 - Incorrect bytes underlined
 - Plaintext "heals" after 2 blocks

Multiple Encryptions

- Double encryption not generally used
 - Meet-in-the-middle attack
 - $C = E_{k2}(E_{k1}(P))$
 - Modifies brute force to require only 2^{n+1} steps instead of 2^{2n}
- Encrypt-Decrypt-Encrypt Mode (2 or 3 keys: *k*, *k*')
 - $c = DES_{k}(DES_{k'}^{-1}(DES_{k'}(m)))$
 - Also called Triple DES or 3DES when used with 3 keys
 - 168 bits of key, but effective key length of 112 due to meet-in-the middle
 - Not yet practical to break but AES much faster
- Encrypt-Encrypt-Encrypt Mode (3 keys: k, k', k'')
 - $c = DES_k(DES_{k'}(DES_{k'}(m)))$

Stream Ciphers

- Often (try to) implement one-time pad by xor'ing each bit of key with one bit of message
 - Example:

m = 00101k = 10010c = 10111

• But how to generate a good key?

Synchronous Stream Ciphers

- *n*-stage Linear Feedback Shift Register: consists of
 - -n bit register $r = r_0 \dots r_{n-1}$
 - -n bit tap sequence $t = t_0 \dots t_{n-1}$
 - -Use:
 - Use r_{n-1} as key bit
 - Compute $x = r_0 t_0 \oplus \ldots \oplus r_{n-1} t_{n-1}$
 - Shift *r* one bit to right, dropping r_{n-1} , *x* becomes r_0

Operation



Example

- 4-stage LFSR; t = 1001
 - r k_i new bit computation new r
 - $0010 \quad 0 \quad 01 \oplus 00 \oplus 10 \oplus 01 = 0 \quad 0001$
 - $0001 \ 1 \ 01 \oplus 00 \oplus 00 \oplus 11 = 1 \ 1000$
 - $1000 \quad 0 \quad 11 \oplus 00 \oplus 00 \oplus 01 = 1 \quad 1100$
 - $1100 \quad 0 \quad 11 \oplus 10 \oplus 00 \oplus 01 = 1 \quad 1110$
 - $1110 \quad 0 \quad 11 \oplus 10 \oplus 10 \oplus 01 = 1 \quad 1111$
 - $1111 \ 1 \ 11 \oplus 10 \oplus 10 \oplus 11 = 0 \ 0111$
 - 00 11 \oplus 10 \oplus 10 \oplus 11 = 1 1011
 - Key sequence has period of 15 (010001111010110)

LFSR Period

- For n bit register
 - Maximum possible period is 2ⁿ-1
 - -1 because 0's will only yield 0's
- Not all tap sequences will yield this period
 - Large theory on computing maximal period feedback functions

NLFSR

- n-stage Non-Linear Feedback Shift Register: consists of
 - -n bit register $r = r_0 \dots r_{n-1}$
 - -Use:
 - Use r_{n-1} as key bit
 - Compute $x = f(r_0, ..., r_{n-1})$; *f* is any function
 - Shift *r* one bit to right, dropping r_{n-1} , *x* becomes r_0 Note same operation as LFSR but more general bit replacement function

Example

• 4-stage NLFSR; $f(r_0, r_1, r_2, r_3) = (r_0 \& r_2) | r_3$

r	k_i	nev	v k	oit c	om	pu	itai	tion	new p
1100	0	(1	&	0)		0	=	0	0110
0110	0	(0	&	1)		0	=	0	0011
0011	1	(0	&	1)		1	=	1	1001
1001	1	(1	&	0)		1	=	1	1100
1100	0	(1	&	0)		0	=	0	0110
0110	0	(0	&	1)		0	=	0	0011
0011	1	(0	&	1)		1	=	1	1001
V_{out} as a second second of $I(0011)$									

- Key sequence has period of 4 (0011)

Eliminating Linearity

- NLFSRs not common
 - No body of theory about how to design them to have long period
- Alternate approach: *output feedback mode*
 - For *E* encipherment function, *k* key, *r* register:
 - Compute $r' = E_k(r)$; key bit is rightmost bit of r'
 - Set *r* to *r'* and iterate, repeatedly enciphering register and extracting key bits, until message enciphered
 - Variant: use a counter that is incremented for each encipherment rather than a register
 - Take rightmost bit of $E_k(i)$, where *i* is number of encipherment

OFB Mode



Counter Mode



Issues with OFB/Counter

- Additional standard modes for DES/AES
- Losing Synchronicity is fatal
 All later decryptions will be garbled
- OFB needs an initialization vector
- Counter mode lets you generate a bit in the middle of the stream
- RC4 is a well-known stream cipher that uses OFB. Used in WEP

Self-Synchronous Stream Cipher

- Take key from message itself (*autokey*)
- Example: Vigenère, key drawn from plaintext
 - -key XTHEBOYHASTHEBA
 - *plaintext* THEBOYHASTHEBAG
 - ciphertext QALFPNFHSLALFCT
- Problem:
 - Statistical regularities in plaintext show in key
 - Once you get any part of the message, you can decipher more

Another Example

- Take key from ciphertext (*autokey*)
- Example: Vigenère, key drawn from ciphertext
 - *key* XQXBCQOVVNGNRTT
 - *plaintext* THEBOYHASTHEBAG
 - *ciphertext* QXBCQOVVNGNRTTM
- Problem:
 - Attacker gets key along with ciphertext, so deciphering is trivial

Variant

- Cipher feedback mode: 1 bit of ciphertext fed into *n* bit register
 - Self-healing property: if ciphertext bit received incorrectly, it and next *n* bits decipher incorrectly; but after that, the ciphertext bits decipher correctly
 - Need to know k, E to decipher ciphertext



Key Points

- Historical Ciphers
 - Give examples of linguistic attacks
 - Substitution and transposition ciphers
- Symmetric key ciphers
 - AES and DES
 - Today's workhorse algorithms
 - Crypto analysis attacks on algorithms
 - Product ciphers