Programming Languages and Compilers (CS 421)

Dennis Griffith

0207 SC, UIUC

http://www.cs.illinois.edu/class/cs421/

Based in part on slides by Mattox Beckman, as updated by Vikram Adve, Gul Agha, and Elsa Gunter



Axiomatic Semantics

- Also called Floyd-Hoare Logic
- Based on formal logic (first order predicate calculus)
- Axiomatic Semantics is a logical system built from *axioms* and *inference rules*
- Mainly suited to simple imperative programming languages



Axiomatic Semantics

- Used to formally prove a property (*post-condition*) of the *state* (the values of the program variables) after the execution of program, assuming another property (*pre-condition*) of the state holds before execution



Axiomatic Semantics

- Goal: Derive statements of form

  {P} C {Q}

  - P , Q logical statements about state, P precondition, Q postcondition,
    C program

- Example: {x = 1} x := x + 1 {x = 2}



Axiomatic Semantics

- *Approach:* For each type of language statement, give an axiom or inference rule stating how to derive assertions of form

  {P} C {Q}

  where C is a statement of that type

- Compose axioms and inference rules to build proofs for complex programs



Axiomatic Semantics

- An expression {P} C {Q} is a *partial correctness* statement
- For *total correctness* must also prove that C terminates (i.e. doesn't run forever)
  - Written: [P] C [Q]
- Will only consider partial correctness here



Language

- We will give rules for simple imperative language

<command>
::= <variable> := <term>
| <command>; … ;<command>
| if <statement> then <command> else <command>
| while <statement> do <command>

- Could add more features, like for-loops



Substitution

- Notation: P[e/v] (sometimes P[v <- e])
- Meaning: Replace every v in P by e
- Example:

(x + 2) [y-1/x] = ((y – 1) + 2)

The Assignment Rule

$$\frac{}{\{P\ [e/x]\}\ x := e\ \{P\}}$$

Example:

$$\frac{}{\{\quad ?\quad\}\ x := y\ \{x = 2\}}$$

The Assignment Rule

$$\frac{}{\{P\ [e/x]\}\ x := e\ \{P\}}$$

Example:

$$\frac{}{\{\ \_\ = 2\ \}\ x := y\ \{\ x\ = 2\}}$$

The Assignment Rule

$$\frac{}{\{P\ [e/x]\}\ x := e\ \{P\}}$$

Example:

$$\frac{}{\{\ y = 2\ \}\ x := y\ \{\ x = 2\}}$$



The Assignment Rule

$$\frac{}{\{P\ [e/x]\}\ x := e\ \{P\}}$$

Examples:

$$\frac{}{\{y = 2\}\ x := y\ \{x = 2\}}$$

$$\frac{}{\{y = 2\}\ x := 2\ \{y = x\}}$$

$$\frac{}{\{x + 1 = n + 1\}\ x := x + 1\ \ \{x = n + 1\}}$$

$$\frac{}{\{2 = 2\}\ x := 2\ \{x = 2\}}$$



The Assignment Rule – Your Turn

- What is the weakest precondition of

$$x := x + y \ \{x + y = w - x\}?$$

$$\{ \quad ? \quad \}$$

$$x := x + y$$

$$\{x + y = w - x\}$$



The Assignment Rule – Your Turn

- What is the weakest precondition of

$$x := x + y \; \{x + y = w - x\}?$$

$$\{(x + y) + y = w - (x + y)\}$$

$$x := x + y$$

$$\{x + y = w - x\}$$



Precondition Strengthening

$$\frac{P \rightarrow P' \quad \{P'\}\ C\ \{Q\}}{\{P\}\ C\ \{Q\}}$$

- Meaning: If we can show that P implies P' (P → P') and we can show that {P' } C {Q}, then we know that {P} C {Q}
- P is *stronger* than P' means P → P'

Precondition Strengthening

- Examples:

$$\frac{x = 3 \rightarrow x+3<10 \quad \{x+3<10\}\ x := x + 3\ \{x < 10\}}{\{x = 3\}\ x := x + 3\ \{x < 10\}}$$

$$\frac{\text{True} \rightarrow 2 = 2 \quad \{2 = 2\}\ x := 2\ \{x = 2\}}{\{\text{True}\}\ x := 2\ \{x = 2\}}$$

$$\frac{x=n \rightarrow x+1=n+1 \quad \{x+1=n+1\}\ x:=x+1\ \{x=n+1\}}{\{x=n\}\ x:=x+1\ \{x=n+1\}}$$

Which Inferences Are Correct?

$$\frac{\{x > 0 \;\&\; x < 5\}\ x := x * x\ \{x < 25\}}{\{x = 3\}\ x := x * x\ \{x < 25\}}$$

$$\frac{\{x = 3\}\ x := x * x\ \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \;\&\; x < 5\}\ x := x * x\ \{x < 25\}}$$

$$\frac{\{x * x < 25\}\ x := x * x\ \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \;\&\; x < 5\}\ x := x * x\ \{x < 25\}}$$

Which Inferences Are Correct?

$$\frac{\{x > 0 \;\&\; x < 5\}\ x := x * x\ \{x < 25\}}{\{x = 3\}\ x := x * x\ \{x < 25\}}$$ ✓

~~$$\frac{\{x = 3\}\ x := x * x\ \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \;\&\; x < 5\}\ x := x * x\ \{x < 25\}}$$~~

$$\frac{\{x * x < 25\}\ x := x * x\ \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \;\&\; x < 5\}\ x := x * x\ \{x < 25\}}$$ ✓



Sequencing

$$\frac{\{P\}\ C_1\ \{Q\} \qquad \{Q\}\ C_2\ \{R\}}{\{P\}\ C_1;\ C_2\ \{R\}}$$

- Example:

$$\frac{\{z = z\ \&\ z = z\}\ x := z\ \{x = z\ \&\ z = z\} \qquad \{x = z\ \&\ z = z\}\ y := z\ \{x = z\ \&\ y = z\}}{\{z = z\ \&\ z = z\}\ x := z;\ y := z\ \{x = z\ \&\ y = z\}}$$

Sequencing

$$\frac{\{P\}\ C_1\ \{Q\} \qquad \{Q\}\ C_2\ \{R\}}{\{P\}\ C_1;\ C_2\ \{R\}}$$

- Example:

$$\frac{\{z = z\ \&\ z = z\}\ x := z\ \{x = z\ \&\ z = z\} \qquad \{x = z\ \&\ z = z\}\ y := z\ \{x = z\ \&\ y = z\}}{\{z = z\ \&\ z = z\}\ x := z;\ y := z\ \{x = z\ \&\ y = z\}}$$



Postcondition Weakening

$$\frac{\{P\}\ C\ \{Q'\} \quad Q' \rightarrow Q}{\{P\}\ C\ \{Q\}}$$

Example:

$$\frac{\{z = z\ \&\ z = z\}\ x := z;\ y := z\ \{x = z\ \&\ y = z\} \qquad (x = z\ \&\ y = z) \rightarrow (x = y)}{\{z = z\ \&\ z = z\}\ x := z;\ y := z\ \{x = y\}}$$

Rule of Consequence

$$\frac{P \rightarrow P' \quad \{P'\}\ C\ \{Q'\} \quad Q' \rightarrow Q}{\{P\}\ C\ \{Q\}}$$

- Logically equivalent to the combination of Precondition Strengthening and Postcondition Weakening
- Uses $P \rightarrow P$ and $Q \rightarrow Q$



If Then Else

$$\frac{\{P \text{ and } B\}\ C_1\ \{Q\} \quad \{P \text{ and (not } B)\}\ C_2\ \{Q\}}{\{P\}\ \textbf{if}\ B\ \textbf{then}\ C_1\ \textbf{else}\ C_2\ \{Q\}}$$

- Example: Want

$$\{y=a\}$$

$$\text{if } x < 0 \text{ then } y := y-x \text{ else } y := y+x$$

$$\{y=a+|x|\}$$

Suffices to show:

(1) {y=a&x<0} y:=y-x {y=a+|x|} and

(4) {y=a&not(x<0)} y:=y+x {y=a+|x|}



{y=a&x<0} y:=y-x {y=a+|x|}

(3) (y=a&x<0)➔ y-x=a+|x|

(2) {y-x=a+|x|} y:=y-x {y=a+|x|}

---

(1) {y=a&x<0} y:=y-x {y=a+|x|}

(1) Reduces to (2) and (3) by Precondition Strengthening

(2) Follows from assignment axiom

(3) Because x<0 ➔ |x| = -x



{y=a&not(x<0)} y:=y+x {y=a+|x|}

(6) (y=a&not(x<0))➔(y+x=a+|x|)

(5) {y+x=a+|x|} y:=y+x {y=a+|x}}

---

(4) {y=a&not(x<0)} y:=y+x {y=a+|x|}

(4) Reduces to (5) and (6) by Precondition Strengthening

(5) Follows from assignment axiom

(6) Because not(x<0) ➔ |x| = x



If then else

$$\frac{(1)\quad \{y=a\&x<0\}y:=y-x\{y=a+|x|\} \qquad (4)\quad \{y=a\&not(x<0)\}y:=y+x\{y=a+|x|\}}{\{y=a\}}$$

if x < 0 then y:= y-x else y:= y+x

$\{y=a+|x|\}$

By the if_then_else rule



While

- We need a rule to be able to make assertions about **while** loops.
  - Inference rule because we can only draw conclusions if we know something about the body
  - Let's start with:

$$\frac{\{\ ?\ \}\ C\ \{\ ?\ \}}{\{\ ?\ \}\ \textbf{while}\ B\ \textbf{do}\ C\ \{\ P\ \}}$$



While

- The loop may never be executed, so if we want P to hold after, it had better hold before, so let's try:

$$\frac{\{\ ?\ \}\ C\ \{\ ?\ \}}{\{\ P\ \}\ \textbf{while}\ B\ \textbf{do}\ C\ \{\ P\ \}}$$



While

- If all we know is P when we enter the **while** loop, then we all we know when we enter the body is (P and B)
- If we need to know P when we finish the **while** loop, we had better know it when we finish the loop body:

$$\frac{\{\ P \text{ and } B\}\ \ C\ \ \{\ P\ \}}{\{\ P\ \}\ \ \textbf{while}\ \ B\ \ \textbf{do}\ \ C\ \ \{\ P\ \}}$$



While

- We can strengthen the previous rule because we also know that when the loop is finished, **not B** also holds
- Final **while** rule:

$$\frac{\{\ P \text{ and } B\ \}\ \ C\ \ \{\ P\ \}}{\{\ P\ \}\ \textbf{while}\ \ B\ \ \textbf{do}\ \ C\ \ \{\ P \text{ and not } B\ \}}$$



While

$$\frac{\{\ P \text{ and } B\ \}\ \ C\ \ \{\ P\ \}}{\{\ P\ \}\ \textbf{while}\ \ B\ \ \textbf{do}\ \ C\ \ \{\ P \text{ and not } B\ \}}$$

- P satisfying this rule is called a *loop invariant* because it must hold before and after the each iteration of the loop



While

- **While** rule generally needs to be used together with precondition strengthening and postcondition weakening
- There is NO algorithm for computing the correct P; it requires intuition and an understanding of why the program works



Example

- Let us prove

  {x>= 0 and x = a}

  fact := 1;

  while x > 0 do (fact := fact * x; x := x –1)

  {fact = a!}



Example

- We need to find a condition P that is true both before and after the loop is executed, and such that

(P and not x > 0) ➔ (fact = a!)



Example

- First attempt:

  {a! = fact * (x!)}

- Motivation:
- What we want to compute: **a!**
- What we have computed: **fact**

  which is the sequential product of **a** down through **(x + 1)**
- What we still need to compute: **x!**



Example

By post-condition strengthening suffices to show

1. {x>=0 and x = a}
   fact := 1;
   while x > 0 do (fact := fact * x; x := x –1)
   {a! = fact * (x!) and not (x > 0)}

and

2. {a! = fact * (x!) and not (x > 0) } ➔ {fact = a!}



Problem

2. {a! = fact * (x!) and not (x > 0)} ➔ {fact = a!}

- Don't know this if x < 0
- Need to know that x = 0 when loop terminates
- Need a new loop invariant
- Try adding x >= 0
- Then will have x = 0 when loop is done



Example

Second try, combine the two:

P = {a! = fact * (x!) and x >=0}

Again, suffices to show

1. {x>=0 and x = a}
   fact := 1;
   while x > 0 do (fact := fact * x; x := x –1)
   {P and not x > 0}

and

2. {P and not x > 0} ➔ {fact = a!}



Example

- For 2, we need

{a! = fact * (x!) and x >=0 and not (x > 0)} ➔ {fact = a!}

But {x >=0 and not (x > 0)} ➔ {x = 0} so

fact * (x!) = fact * (0!) = fact

Therefore

{a! = fact * (x!) and x >=0 and not (x > 0)} ➔ {fact = a!}



Example

- For 1, by the sequencing rule it suffices to show

3. {x>=0 and x = a}
   fact := 1
   {a! = fact * (x!) and x >=0 }

And

4. {a! = fact * (x!) and x >=0}
   while x > 0 do
   (fact := fact * x; x := x –1)
   {a! = fact * (x!) and x >=0 and not (x > 0)}



Example

- Suffices to show that

  {a! = fact * (x!) and x >= 0}

  holds before the while loop is entered and that if

  {(a! = fact * (x!)) and x >= 0 and x > 0}

  holds before we execute the body of the loop, then

  {(a! = fact * (x!)) and x >= 0}

  holds after we execute the body



Example

By the assignment rule, we have

{a! = 1 * (x!) and x >= 0}

fact := 1

{a! = fact * (x!) and x >= 0}

Therefore, to show (3), by precondition strengthening, it suffices to show

(x>= 0 and x = a) ➔ (a! = 1 * (x!) and x >= 0)



Example

(x>= 0 and x = a) ➔
(a! = 1 * (x!) and x >= 0)
holds because x = a ➔ x! = a!

Have that {a! = fact * (x!) and x >= 0}
holds at the start of the while loop



Example

To show (4):

{a! = fact * (x!) and x >=0}
while x > 0 do
(fact := fact * x; x := x –1)
{a! = fact * (x!) and x >=0 and not (x > 0)}

we need to show that

{(a! = fact * (x!)) and x >= 0}

is a loop invariant



Example

We need to show:

{(a! = fact * (x!)) and x >= 0 and x > 0}

(fact = fact * x; x := x – 1)

{(a! = fact * (x!)) and x >= 0}

We will use assignment rule, sequencing rule and precondition strengthening



Example

By the assignment rule, we have

{(a! = fact * ((x-1)!)) and x – 1 >= 0}

x := x – 1

{(a! = fact * (x!)) and x >= 0}

By the sequencing rule, it suffices to show

{(a! = fact * (x!)) and x >= 0 and x > 0}

fact = fact * x

{(a! = fact * ((x-1)!)) and x – 1 >= 0}



Example

By the assignment rule, we have that

{(a! = (fact * x) * ((x-1)!)) and x – 1 >= 0}

fact = fact * x

{(a! = fact * ((x-1)!)) and x – 1 >= 0}

By Precondition strengthening, it suffices to show that

((a! = fact * (x!)) and x >= 0 and x > 0) ➔ ((a! = (fact * x) * ((x-1)!)) and x – 1 >= 0)



Example

However

$$fact * x * (x - 1)! = fact * x$$

and $(x > 0) \rightarrow x - 1 >= 0$

since x is an integer,so

$\{(a! = fact * (x!)) \text{ and } x >= 0 \text{ and } x > 0\} \rightarrow$

$\{(a! = (fact * x) * ((x-1)!)) \text{ and } x - 1 >= 0\}$



Example

Therefore, by precondition strengthening

$$\{(a! = fact * (x!)) \text{ and } x >= 0 \text{ and } x > 0\}$$

$$fact = fact * x$$

$$\{(a! = fact * ((x-1)!)) \text{ and } x - 1 >= 0\}$$

This finishes the proof