

Lecture 20 — Environment model

- The environment model is an alternative to the substitution model, which gives the same results but is more realistic.
- Today we will:
 - Look at more examples of higher-order functions
 - Discuss a different model of evaluation - the environment model
 - Discuss compilation of functional languages

map

- The most famous of all higher-order functions:

```
let rec map f lis = if lis=[] then []  
                   else (f (hd lis)) :: map f (tl lis);;
```

- `map (fun x->x+1) [1;2;3]`
- `let incrBy n lis = map (fun x -> x+n) lis`
- `let incrBy n = map (fun x -> x+n)`

- **Type of map?**

$$(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \beta \text{ list}$$

map exercises

- **addpairs: (int * int) list → int list**

```
let addpairs = map (fun (a, b) -> a + b)
```

- **appendString: string → string list → string list concatenates the first argument to the end of every string in the second argument**

```
let appendString s = map (fun s' -> s' ^ s)
```

- **incrall: int list list → int list list increments every element of every list in its argument**

```
let incrAll = map (map ((+) 1))
```

fold_right

- Usually called reduce, but called fold_right in OCaml:

```
let rec fold_right (f:'a->'b->'b) (lis:'a list) (z:'b) : 'b
  = if lis=[] then z else f (hd lis) (fold_right f (tl lis) z)
```

- `fold_right (fun s s' -> s @ s') ["a"; "b"; "c"] ""` `"abc"`
- `fold_right (fun x y -> x+y) [3;4;5] 0` `12`
- `fold_right (fun x y -> x::y) [3;4;5] []` `[3; 4; 5]`
- `let h f lis = fold_right (fun x y -> (f x)::y) lis []` `map f lis`

Dictionaries as functions

- Define a “dictionary” to be a function from strings to ints. Consider this definition of the basic operations:

```
type dictionary = (string * int) list
let emptyDict = []
let rec lookup k d = if d=[] then -1
                    else if k = fst (hd d) then snd (hd d)
                    else lookup k (tl d)
let add k v d = (k,v) :: d
```

Dictionaries as functions

- Define the *characteristic function* of a dictionary d to be
`fun k -> lookup k d.`
- What are the characteristic functions of these dictionaries:
 - `emptyDict`
`fun k -> -1`
 - `add "a" 3 emptyDict`
`fun k -> if k = 'a' then 3 else -1`
 - `add "b" 4 (add "a" 3 emptyDict)`
`fun k -> if k = 'b' then 4 else if k = 'a' then 3 else -1`
 - `add "a" 5 (add "b" 4 (add "a" 3 emptyDict))`
`fun k -> if k = 'a' then 5 else if k = 'b' then 4
else if k = 'a' then 3 else -1`

Dictionaries as functions

- Can represent dictionaries directly as characteristic functions:

```
type dictionary = string -> int
let emptyDict = fun k -> -1
let rec lookup k d = d k
let add k v d = fun k' -> if k'=k then v else d k'
```

- `lookup "a" (add "a" 3 emptyDict)` ↓↓

```
(fun k' -> if k' = 'a' then 3 else -1) 'a'
```

Dictionaries as functions

- lookup "a" (add "b" 4 (add "a" 3 emptyDict)) ↓↓

```
(fun k' -> if k' = 'b' then 4  
else if k' = 'a' then 3 else -1) 'a'
```


Dictionaries as functions (v. 2)

- **Returning -1 when a name is not in the dictionary is not such a good plan. Suppose lookup in the list representation above were redefined this way:**

```
let rec lookup k d = if d=[] then raise NotBoundException
                    else if k = fst (hd d) then snd (hd d)
                        else lookup k (tl d)
```

- **Define emptyDict, lookup, and add in the characteristic function representation.**

```
let emptyDict = fun k -> raise NotBoundException
```

```
let lookup k d = d k
```

```
let add k' v d = fun k -> if k = k' then v else d k
```

Dictionaries as functions (v. 3)

- Another approach to handling the unbound name issue is to use the “option” type in OCaml:

```
type 'a option = Some of 'a | None
```

- lookup in the list representation, using int option:

```
let rec lookup k d = if d=[] then None
                    else if k = fst (hd d) then Some (snd (hd d))
                    else lookup k (tl d)
```

- Define emptyDict, lookup, and add in the characteristic function representation.

```
let emptyDict = fun k -> None
```

```
let lookup k d = d k
```

```
let add k' v d = fun k -> if k = k' then Some(v) else d k
```

Evaluation in the environment model

- Substitution model is easy to understand, but it does not reflect how actual implementations work.
- To apply function $\text{Fun}(x, e)$ to value v , instead of creating a new copy of e with all the x 's replaced by v 's, just *record* that x has value v in a separate data structure, called an *environment*.
- All expression evaluation occurs “within” an environment.
- To remember the values of variables in a function $\text{fun } x \rightarrow e$, need to create a *closure* $\langle \text{fun } x \rightarrow e, \rho \rangle$.

Environment model evaluation rules

(Const) Const c , $\rho \Downarrow$ Const c

(Var) a , $\rho \Downarrow \rho(a)$

(Fun) Fun(a, e), $\rho \Downarrow \langle \text{Fun}(a, e), \rho \rangle$

(Rec) Rec(f, e), $\rho \Downarrow \langle \text{Rec}(f, e), \rho \rangle$

(δ) $e \text{ op } e'$, $\rho \Downarrow v \text{ OP } v'$

$e, \rho \Downarrow v$

$e', \rho \Downarrow v'$

(δ) $\text{op } e$, $\rho \Downarrow \text{OP } v$

$e, \rho \Downarrow v$

(If) If(e_1, e_2, e_3), $\rho \Downarrow v$

$e_1, \rho \Downarrow \text{True}$

$e_2, \rho \Downarrow v$

(If) If(e_1, e_2, e_3), $\rho \Downarrow v$

$e_1, \rho \Downarrow \text{False}$

$e_3, \rho \Downarrow v$

(List) [e_1, \dots, e_n], $\rho \Downarrow [v_1, \dots, v_n]$

$e_1, \rho \Downarrow v_1$

\vdots

$e_n, \rho \Downarrow v_n$

(Let) Let(a, e, e'), $\rho \Downarrow v'$

$e, \rho \Downarrow v$

$e', \rho[a \mapsto v] \Downarrow v'$

(App) $e \ e'$, $\rho \Downarrow v$

$e, \rho \Downarrow \langle \text{Fun}(a, e''), \rho' \rangle$

$e', \rho \Downarrow v'$

$e'', \rho'[a \mapsto v'] \Downarrow v$

(App) $e \ e'$, $\rho \Downarrow v''$

$e, \rho \Downarrow v$,

where $v = \langle \text{Rec}(f, \text{Fun}(a, e'')), \rho' \rangle$

$e', \rho \Downarrow v'$

$e'', \rho'[a \mapsto v', f \mapsto v] \Downarrow v''$

Evaluation in environment model

- \emptyset denotes the empty environment. We may write $\emptyset[x \mapsto v]$ as $\{x \mapsto v\}$.

```
let x = 3 in x+1,  $\emptyset \Downarrow 4$   
  3,  $\emptyset \Downarrow 3$   
  x + 1,  $\{x \mapsto 3\} \Downarrow 4$   
    x,  $\{x \mapsto 3\} \Downarrow 3$   
    1,  $\{x \mapsto 3\} \Downarrow 1$ 
```

```
(fun x -> x+1) 3,  $\emptyset \Downarrow 4$   
  fun x -> x + 1,  $\emptyset \Downarrow \langle \text{Fun}(x, x + 1), \emptyset \rangle$   
  3,  $\emptyset \Downarrow 3$   
  x + 1,  $\{x \mapsto 3\} \Downarrow 4$   
    x,  $\{x \mapsto 3\} \Downarrow 3$   
    1,  $\{x \mapsto 3\} \Downarrow 1$ 
```

Evaluation in environment model

$((\text{fun } x \rightarrow \text{fun } y \rightarrow x+y) \ 3) \ 4, \emptyset \Downarrow 7$

$(\text{fun } x \rightarrow \text{fun } y \rightarrow x + y) \ 3, \emptyset \Downarrow \langle \text{Fun}(y, x + y), \{x \mapsto 3\} \rangle$

$\text{fun } x \rightarrow \text{fun } y \rightarrow x + y, \emptyset \Downarrow \langle \text{Fun}(x, \text{fun } y \rightarrow x + y), \emptyset \rangle$

$3, \emptyset \Downarrow 3$

$\text{fun } y \rightarrow x + y, \{x \mapsto 3\} \Downarrow \langle \text{Fun}(y, x + y), \{x \mapsto 3\} \rangle$

$4, \emptyset \Downarrow 4$

$x + y, \{x \mapsto 4, y \mapsto 3\} \Downarrow 7$

$x, \{x \mapsto 3, y \mapsto 4\} \Downarrow 3$

$y, \{x \mapsto 3, y \mapsto 4\} \Downarrow 4$

Evaluation in environment model

```
let f = fun x -> fun y -> x+y,  ()  
in let g = f 1 in g 2
```

Left as an exercise

Compilation of MiniOCaml

- **Compilation of functional languages starts from environment model.**
- **Need to discuss:**
 - **Representation of environments and closures, and variable look-up**
 - **Run-time structures (stack and heap)**
 - **Compilation rules, esp. for application and abstraction**

Representation of environments

- Suppose we represent environments by `(string * value) list`. App rule becomes:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{(App)} \quad e \ e', \rho \Downarrow v \\ \quad \quad \quad e, \rho \Downarrow \langle \text{Fun}(a, e''), \rho' \rangle \\ \quad \quad \quad e', \rho \Downarrow v' \\ \quad \quad \quad e'', (a, v') :: \rho' \Downarrow v \end{array}$$

and the variable rule does a recursive list look-up.

- Crucial question: Given a variable reference, can we determine *at compile time* where in the list it will occur?

Representation of environments (cont.)

- For any variable reference, crucial number is *the number of declarations (let or fun) intervening between the reference and the variable's declaration.*
- We will assume that the type-checking phase of the compiler has marked every variable reference with this number. E.g.

```
fun x -> let f = fun y -> x + (let y = y+1 in x+y))  
  
in f x
```

Representation of environments (cont.)

- Represent environment by linked list of values — names are not needed.
- An expression is executed in a “current” environment. Suppose register %env points to the head of the current environment. Rule for variable reference:

(Var) $a_k \rightsquigarrow$ [MOV %tmp,%env; LOADIND %tmp,4(%tmp);...(*k times*)...]

- Now, we just have to make sure current environment is correctly formed; happens in abstraction and application rules (and let and letrec).

Runtime state

- For concreteness, assume this state layout (all subject to change for real implementation, of course):
 - Stack consists of frames having exactly two addresses:
 - Return address — pointer into code of calling function
 - Calling function's environment
 - Register `%env` points to head of current environment. Register `%ret` used for return values
 - Environments are linked lists of primitive values and pointers to “heap values” — lists, tuples, closures
 - Closures are heap-allocated pairs, containing pointer to code and pointer to environment

Compilation

- Will give compilation rules only informally.
- Compilation rules correspond closely to rules of environment model.
- Compilation rules for abstraction and application are same for static and dynamic typing. (Only rules for primitive operations change.)
- Compilation rules for other stuff — built-in operations, if — are normal, e.g.

(Var) $e_1 + e_2, \text{loc} \rightsquigarrow \text{il1} @ \text{il2} @ [\text{ADD } \text{loc}, \text{loc1}, \text{loc2}]$
 $e_1, \text{loc1} \rightsquigarrow \text{il1}$
 $e_2, \text{loc2} \rightsquigarrow \text{il2}$

Compiling abstractions

- An abstraction does not involve any real computation — just creates a closure. However, the body of the abstraction must be compiled a little differently from an ordinary expression; it has to include code for function return at the end.
- In $\text{fun } x \rightarrow e$, e should be compiled like this, somewhere in memory:

(Function body) e as function body \rightsquigarrow `il @ [move loc into %ret,
then return from function (restore env. pointer and get return address
from stack frame; pop stack; jump to return address)]`
 $e, \text{loc} \rightsquigarrow \text{il}$

- Suppose this code is at location m_f . The abstraction itself is compiled like this:

(Fun) $\text{fun } x \rightarrow e, \text{loc} \rightsquigarrow$ `[loc = allocate closure in heap; move $m_f, \%env$ into closure]`

Compiling applications

- Argument must evaluate to a (pointer to a) closure; this is where environments are built.

(App) $e1\ e2, loc \rightsquigarrow il1\ @\ il2\ @\ [function\ pointer\ m_f = loc1[0],\ environment\ pointer\ ep = loc1[1];\ create\ new\ environment\ ep'\ by\ cons'ing\ loc2\ to\ ep;\ push\ new\ stack\ frame,\ storing\ m_{ret}\ and\ \%env;\ \%env = ep';\ JUMP\ m_f]$
 $e1, loc1 \rightsquigarrow il1$
 $e2, loc2 \rightsquigarrow il2$

where m_{ret} is address of the next instruction after this code.

Wrap-up

- Today we discussed higher-order functions, the environment model of evaluation, and compilation of functional languages.
- We did this to get a better idea of how functional languages are implemented, and how to use them.
- What to do now:
 - MP10