Lecture 20 — Environment model

- The environment model is an alternative to the substitution model, which gives the same results but is more realistic.
- Today we will:
 - Look at more examples of higher-order functions
 - Discuss a different model of evaluation the environment model
 - Discuss compilation of functional languages

map

The most famous of all higher-order functions:

- map (fun x->x+1) [1;2;3]
- let incrBy n lis = map (fun x -> x+n) lis

• Type of map?

map exercises

addpairs: (int * int) list \rightarrow int list

appendString: string \rightarrow string list \rightarrow string list concatenates the first argument to the end of every string in the second argument

incrall: int list list ightarrow int list list increments every element of every list in its argument

fold_right

Usually called reduce, but called fold_right in OCaml:

fold_right (fun s s' -> s @ s') ["a"; "b"; "c"] ""

fold_right (fun x y -> x+y) [3;4;5] 0

fold_right (fun x y -> x::y) [3;4;5] []

let h f lis = fold_right (fun x y -> (f x)::y) lis []

CS 421 — Class 20, 4/4/13 — 4

Define a "dictionary" to be a function from strings to ints. Consider this definition of the basic operations:

- Define the characteristic function of a dictionary d to be fun k -> lookup k d.
- What are the characteristic functions of these dictionaries:
 - emptyDict
 - add "a" 3 emptyDict
 - add "b" 4 (add "a" 3 emptyDict)
 - add "a" 5 (add "b" 4 (add "a" 3 emptyDict))

Can represent dictionaries directly as characteristic functions:



lookup "a" (add "a" 3 emptyDict) \Downarrow

• lookup "a" (add "b" 4 (add "a" 3 emptyDict)) \Downarrow

Dictionaries as functions (v. 2)

Returning -1 when a name is not in the dictionary is not such a good plan. Suppose lookup in the list representation above were redefined this way:

Define emptyDict, lookup, and add in the characteristic function representation.

Dictionaries as functions (v. 3)

Another approach to handling the unbound name issue is to use the "option" type in OCaml:

type 'a option = Some of 'a | None

- lookup in the list representation, using int option: let rec lookup k d = if d=[] then None else if k = fst (hd d) then Some (snd (hd d)) else lookup k (tl d)
- Define emptyDict, lookup, and add in the characteristic function representation.

Evaluation in the environment model

- Substitution model is easy to understand, but it does not reflect how actual implementations work.
 - To apply function Fun(x, e) to value v, instead of creating a new copy of e with all the x's replaced by v's, just record that x has value v in a separate data structure, called an environment.
 - All expression evaluation occurs "within" an environment.
 - To remember the values of variables in a function fun x
 -> e, need to create a closure < fun x → e, p >.

Environment model evaluation rules

Evaluation in environment model

• \emptyset denotes the empty environment. We may write $\emptyset[x \mapsto v]$ as $\{x \mapsto v\}$.

let x = 3 in $x+1, \emptyset$

(fun x → x+1) 3, Ø

Evaluation in environment model

((fun x -> fun y -> x+y) 3) 4, \emptyset

CS 421 — Class 20, 4/4/13 — 14

Evaluation in environment model

let f = fun x -> fun y -> x+y, \emptyset in let g = f 1 in g 2

Compilation of MiniOCaml

- Compilation of functional languages starts from environment model.
- Need to discuss:
 - Representation of environments and closures, and variable look-up
 - Run-time structures (stack and heap)
 - Compilation rules, esp. for application and abstraction

Representation of environments

Suppose we represent environments by (string * value) list. App rule becomes:

(App)
$$e e', \rho \Downarrow v$$

 $e, \rho \Downarrow < \operatorname{Fun}(a, e''), \rho' >$
 $e', \rho \Downarrow v'$
 $e'', (a, v') :: \rho' \Downarrow v$

and the variable rule does a recursive list look-up.

Crucial question: Given a variable reference, can we determine at compile time where in the list it will occur?

Representation of environments (cont.)

- For any variable reference, crucial number is the number of declarations (let or fun) intervening between the reference and the variable's declaration.
- We will assume that the type-checking phase of the compiler has marked every variable reference with this number. E.g.

in f x

Representation of environments (cont.)

- Represent environment by linked list of <u>values</u> names are not needed.
- An expression is executed in a "current" environment. Suppose register %env points to the head of the current environment. Rule for variable reference:

(Var) $a_k \rightsquigarrow [MOV \% tmp,\% env; LOADIND \% tmp,4(\% tmp);...(k times)...]$

Now, we just have to make sure current environment is correctly formed; happens in abstraction and application rules (and let and letrec).

Runtime state

- For concreteness, assume this state layout (all subject to change for real implementation, of course):
 - Stack consists of frames having exactly two addresses:
 - Return address pointer into code of calling function
 - Calling function's environment
 - Register %env points to head of current environment.
 Register %ret used for return values
 - Environments are linked lists of primitive values and pointers to "heap values" — lists, tuples, closures
 - Closures are heap-allocated pairs, containing pointer to code and pointer to environment

Compilation

- Will give compilation rules only informally.
- Compilation rules correspond closely to rules of environment model.
- Compilation rules for abstraction and application are same for static and dynamic typing. (Only rules for primitive operations change.)
- Compilation rules for other stuff built-in operations, if are normal, e.g.

(Var)
$$e1 + e2$$
, loc \rightsquigarrow il1 @ il2 @ [ADD loc,loc1,loc2]
 $e1$, loc1 \rightsquigarrow il1
 $e2$, loc2 \rightsquigarrow il2

Compiling abstractions

- An abstraction does not involve any real computation just creates a closure. However, the body of the abstraction must be compiled a little differently from an ordinary expression; it has to include code for function return at the end.
- In fun x -> e, e should be compiled like this, somewhere in memory:

(Function body) e as function body ~→ il @ [move loc into %ret, then return from function (restore env. pointer and get return address from stack frame; pop stack; jump to return address)] e, loc ~→ il

Suppose this code is at location m_f . The abstraction itself is compiled like this:

(Fun) fun x -> e, loc \rightarrow [loc = allocate closure in heap; move m_f , %env into closure]

CS 421 — Class 20, 4/4/13 — 22

Compiling applications

Argument must evaluate to a (pointer to a) closure; this is where environments are built.

(App) $e1 \ e2$, loc \rightsquigarrow ill @ il2 @ [function pointer $m_f = \text{loc1}[0]$, environment pointer ep = loc1[1]; create new environment ep' by cons'ing loc2 to ep; push new stack frame, storing m_{ret} and %env; %env = ep'; JUMP m_f] e1, loc1 \rightsquigarrow il1 e2, loc2 \rightsquigarrow il2

where m_{ret} is address of the next instruction after this code.

Wrap-up

- Today we discussed higher-order functions, the environment model of evaluation, and compilation of functional languages.
- We did this to get a better idea of how functional languages are implemented, and how to use them.
- What to do now:
 - MP10