

Lecture 18 — Interpreter for MiniOCaml

- To begin a deeper study of functional programming start with interpreters in the style of MPs 6 and 7. we'll discuss an interpreter based on *substitution*. After midterm, we'll discuss an *environment-based* approach.
- Topics we will cover are:
 - Anonymous functions
 - Language simplifications
 - Substitution model (SOS rules)
 - MP9

Functional programming

- Two essential features of functional programming:
 - Recursion over lists and trees
 - Higher-order functions, a.k.a. first-class functions
 - Treat functions the same as other values: pass arguments, put into lists and tuples, etc.
 - We will concentrate on the latter for the next weeks.

Anonymous functions

- OCaml has a syntactic way of defining functions without giving them a name:

`fun x -> exp`

- Denotes a function that takes `x` to the value of `exp` presumably contains `x`).

`(fun a -> a*a) 4`

16

`let addnl = fun s -> s ^ "\n" in addnl "abc"`

"abc\n"

`let funlist = [fun a -> a+1; fun a -> a*a]
in (hd (tl funlist)) 3`

9

`let funpair = (fun x -> x+1, fun y -> y+3)
in (fst funpair) 4 + (snd funpair) 5`

13

`let rec fac = fun x -> if x=0 then 1 else x * fac (x-1) in fac 5`

120

Exercises with anonymous functions

- Define a list of three functions: the first adds 1 to any integer; the second doubles any integer; and the third triples any integer. Then apply the second function to 4.

```
let funlis =  
  [ fun x → x + 1 ; fun x → x * 2 ; fun x → x * 3  
  in ( hd ( tl funlis ) ) 4
```

- Transform the following expression to the form let rec length = ... , and use pattern-matching:

```
let rec length lis = match lis with [] → 0 | h :: t → 1 + length t  
in length [1;2;3]
```

let rec length = fun lis → if lis = [] then 0
else 1 + length (tl lis)

Substitution semantics

- The application of an anonymous function to its argument can be described easily in an SOS rule:

$$\begin{array}{c} (\text{fun } x \rightarrow e) \ e' \Downarrow v \\ e' \Downarrow v' \\ e[v'/x] \Downarrow v \end{array}$$

where $e[v'/x]$ means “replace all free occurrences of x by v' . (“Free” means not introduced by a let or fun construct within e)

Substitution semantics example

- (fun x -> x+x) (3+4) \Downarrow 14

$$3+4 \Downarrow 7$$

$$7+7 \Downarrow 14$$

$$(x+x)[7/x]$$

- (fun x -> hd x + 1) (tl [3;4;5]) \Downarrow 5

$$tl [3;4;5] \Downarrow [4;5]$$

$$hd [4;5]+1 \Downarrow 5$$

$$(hd x+1)[[4;5]/x]$$

Multi-argument functions

- Here is the obvious extension of the application rule to functions of two arguments:

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{fun } x \ y \rightarrow e) \ e' \ e'' &\Downarrow v \\ e' &\Downarrow v' \\ e'' &\Downarrow v'' \\ e[v'/x][v''/y] &\Downarrow v \end{aligned}$$
$$(\text{fun } x \ y \rightarrow x * y) \ (3+4) \ (1+2) \Downarrow 21$$

$3+4 \Downarrow 7$

$1+2 \Downarrow 3$

$7 * 3 \Downarrow 21$

$\overbrace{(x * y)}^{(x * y)[7/x][3/y]} [7/x][3/y]$

Multi-arg functions unnecessary

- Instead of the 2-argument rule, use two rules:

$$\begin{array}{c} e \ e' \downarrow v \\ e \downarrow \text{fun } x \rightarrow e' \\ e' \downarrow v' \\ e'[v'/x] \downarrow v \end{array}$$

`fun x -> e` \Downarrow `fun x -> e`

- ### ● Now evaluate:

$((\text{fun } x \rightarrow (\text{fun } y \rightarrow x * y)) \ (\text{3+4})) \ (\text{1+2}) \Downarrow^{21}$
 $(\text{fun } x \rightarrow (\text{fun } y \rightarrow x + y)) \ (\text{3+4}) \Downarrow \text{fun } y \rightarrow 7 * y$
 $\text{fun } x \rightarrow \text{fun } y \rightarrow x + y \Downarrow \text{fun } x \rightarrow \text{fun } y \rightarrow x + y$
 $\text{3+4} \Downarrow^7 \text{fun } y \rightarrow 7 * y \Downarrow \text{fun } y \rightarrow 7 * y$
 $\text{fun } y \rightarrow 7 * y \Downarrow \text{fun } y \rightarrow 7 * y \quad (\text{fun } y \rightarrow x + y)[7/x]$
 $1+2 \Downarrow^3 \text{7} * 3 \Downarrow^{21} \quad (7 * y)[3/y]$

Simplifying OCaml

- What we have just shown is that *multi-argument functions are unnecessary* — they're syntactic sugar. Simplifying functions to multiple arguments (except for basic operations like addition) is unnecessary.
- **Anonymous functions allow another simplification:** Replace `let f x = e in e'` as `let f = fun x -> e in e'`. **Every let expression has the form** `let x = e in e'`.
- (We will have to deal with recursion separately.)

Evaluation by substitution

- In this model of evaluation, we just simplify expressions. There is no set of “values” distinct from expressions (as was in the interpreters for MiniJava). Instead, expressions that are simple enough play the role of values.
- To be more precise, these are the expressions considered “simple enough” to be values.
 - All constants — ints, floats, strings, true, false
 - Lists and tuples — $[v_1, \dots, v_n]$ or (v_1, \dots, v_n) — since v_1, \dots, v_n are values.
 - $\text{fun } x \rightarrow e$ — regardless of what e is
- If $e \downarrow v$, then v is a value. (e must be a closed expression)

Values (cont.)

- Non-values are terms that should be further simplified and let expressions, applications, binary or unary term built-in operators (+, hd, etc.).
- What about variables — how are they evaluated?

We never evaluate a variable because they are replaced by closed terms before they need to be evaluated. ev only applies when e is closed.

SOS rules for evaluation

$i \downarrow$ (i an integer constant)

$e_1 + e_n \downarrow$

See slide 15,
or MP9

List $[e_1; \dots; e_n] \downarrow$

Rules for evaluation by substitution (cont.)

if e_1 then e_2 then $e_3) \Downarrow$

See slide 15,
or MP9

if e_1 then e_2 then $e_3) \Downarrow$

fun $x \rightarrow e \Downarrow$

Rules for evaluation by substitution (cont.)

$e_1 \ e_2 \Downarrow$

See slide 15,
or MP9

`let x = e in e' ↓`

Substitution model evaluation rules

(Const) $\text{Const} \times \Downarrow \text{Const} \times$

(Fun) $\text{Fun}(a, e) \Downarrow \text{Fun}(a, v)$

(Rec) $\text{Rec}(f, \text{Fun}(a, e)) \Downarrow \text{Fun}(a, e[\text{Rec}(f, \text{Fun}(a, e))/f])$

(δ) $e \ op \ e' \Downarrow v \ OP \ v'$
 $e \Downarrow v$
 $e' \Downarrow v'$

(δ) $op \ e \Downarrow OP \ v$
 $e \Downarrow v$

(If) $\text{If}(e_1, e_2, e_3) \Downarrow v$
 $e_1 \Downarrow \text{True}$
 $e_2 \Downarrow v$

(If) $\text{If}(e_1, e_2, e_3) \Downarrow v$
 $e_1 \Downarrow \text{False}$
 $e_3 \Downarrow v$

(List) $[e_1, \dots, e_n] \Downarrow [v_1, \dots, v_n]$
 $e_1 \Downarrow v_1$
 \vdots
 $e_n \Downarrow v_n$

(App) $e \ e' \Downarrow v$
 $e \Downarrow \text{Fun}(a, e'')$
 $e' \Downarrow v'$
 $e''[v'/a] \Downarrow v$

(Let) $\text{Let}(a, e, e') \Downarrow v'$
 $e \Downarrow v$
 $e'[v/a] \Downarrow v'$

Examples of evaluation by substitution

let $x = 3$ in $x+1$

$$\begin{array}{l} 3 \downarrow 3 \\ 3+1 \downarrow 4 \\ 3 \downarrow 3 \\ 1 \downarrow 1 \end{array}$$

(fun $x \rightarrow x+1$) 3
fun $x \rightarrow x+1 \downarrow$ fun $x \rightarrow x+1$
 $\begin{array}{l} 3 \downarrow 3 \\ 3+1 \downarrow 4 \\ 3 \downarrow 3 \\ 1 \downarrow 1 \end{array}$

From here on, to save space, we omit evaluation steps of the form $e' \downarrow e$, and just write a line to indicate that a step is omitted.

Examples of evaluation by substitution

$$\begin{array}{c} ((\text{fun } x \rightarrow (\text{fun } y \rightarrow x+y)) \ 3) \ 4 \Downarrow 7 \\ (\text{fun } \underline{x} \rightarrow (\text{fun } y \rightarrow x+y)) \ 3 \Downarrow \text{fun } y \rightarrow 3+y \\ \hline \hline \\ \underline{\underline{3+4}} \Downarrow 7 \end{array}$$

let f = fun x → fun y → x+y

in let g = f 1

in g 2

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{let } g = (\text{fun } \underline{x} \rightarrow \text{fun } y \rightarrow x+y) \ 1 \ \text{in } g \ 2 \Downarrow 3 \\ (\text{fun } \underline{x} \rightarrow \text{fun } \underline{y} \rightarrow x+y) \ 1 \Downarrow \text{fun } y \rightarrow 1+y \\ (\text{fun } \underline{\underline{y \rightarrow 1+y}}) \ 2 \Downarrow 3 \\ \hline \hline \\ \underline{\underline{1+2}} \Downarrow 3 \end{array}$$

Substitution — $e[v/x]$

- Definition of substitution needs to be given precisely MP9. However, its meaning is intuitively clear: $e[v/x]$ to replace all occurrences of x in e by v . Just remember if e contains a subexpression that binds x (a let or fun) leave that subexpression alone.

Recursion

- The rules we've given do not handle let rec. We introduce a new type of expression (not actually in O) to handle this:

`rec f e`

where e is always a fun expression.

- Rewrite `let rec f x = e in e'` as

`let f = rec f (fun x -> e) in e'`

- Add an SOS axiom for `rec`:

`rec f (fun x -> e) ↓ fun x -> e[rec f (fun x ->`

Example of evaluation by substitution

```
let map = fun f -> Rec(map1, fun lis -> if lis=[] then []
                           else (f (hd lis)) :: map1 (tl lis))
in map (fun x->x+1) [1;2;3] ↓ {2;3;4}
```

(fun f → Rec(map1, ...)) (fun x → x+1) [1;2;3] ↓ {2;3;4}

(fun f → Rec(...)) (fun x → x+1) ↓ fun lis → if lis=[] then []
else ((fun x → x+1)(hd lis))
:: (Rec(...))(tl lis)

Rec(map1, fun lis → if lis=[] then []
else ((fun x → x+1)(hd lis))) ↓ fun lis → ...
:: map1 (tl lis)

if [1;2;3]=[] ... else ↓ {2;3;4}

((fun x → x+1)(hd [1;2;3])) ::
(Rec(...))(tl [1;2;3])

[1;2;3]=[] ↓ ~~false~~
((fun x → x+1)(hd [1;2;3])) :: (Rec(...))(tl [1;2;3]) ↓ {2;3;4}

ETC.

MP9: Interpret MiniOCaml

- Like OCaml, but **without**: pattern-matching; mutual recursion (“and”); type definitions (only built-in types – floats, bools, strings, pairs, lists)
- **Concrete syntax of MiniOCaml:**

exp → exp binary-operation exp | unary-operation exp
| INTEGER_LITERAL | FLOAT_LITERAL | STRING_LITERAL | TRUE
| LBRACK explis1 RBRACK | LPAREN explis2 RPAREN | LET def IN e
| IDENT | IF exp THEN exp ELSE exp | LET REC def IN exp | exp exp
| FUN IDENT RIGHTARROW exp

def → IDENT args EQ exp

args → ε | args IDENT

binary-operation → COMMA | EQ | LT | GT | NEQ | ANDAND | etc.

unary-operation → NOT | HD | TL | FST | SND | etc.

explis1 → *list of exp's separated by semicolons*

explis2 → *list of exp's separated by commas*

Abstract syntax of MiniOCam

- The abstract syntax incorporates the simplifications we made.

```
type exp =
  Operation of exp * binary_operation * exp
  | UnaryOperation of unary_operation * exp
  | Var of string | StrConst of string | IntConst of int
  | FloatConst of float | True | False
  | List of exp list | Tuple of exp list
  | If of exp * exp * exp | App of exp * exp
  | Let of string * exp * exp
  | Fun of string * exp
  | Rec of string * exp

and binary_operation = Semicolon | Comma | Equals | LessThan
  | GreaterThan | NotEquals | Assign | And | Or
  | IntPlus | IntMinus | IntDiv | IntMult
  | FloatPlus | FloatMinus | FloatDiv | FloatMult
  | StringAppend | ListAppend

and unary_operation = Not | Head | Tail | Fst | Snd
```

MP 9 — Interpreter for dynamically-typed MiniOCaml

- We will provide lexer/parser and translation to abstract syntax
- You will write function reduce that maps ASTs to following the SOS rules.
- As in earlier MPs, we will provide precise evaluation
(In MP9, SOS rules are given in terms of abstract syntax)
- You will interpret a dynamically-typed version. of OCaml

Wrap-up

- **Today we discussed:**

- Simplifying OCaml using anonymous functions
- Evaluating expressions by substitution
- MP9

- **We discussed them because:**

- They are important in understanding how functional languages and in particular, understanding *higher-order functions*.

- **In Thursday's class, we will:**

- Discuss higher-order functions

- **What to do now:**

- MP9

