Lecture 13 — Garbage collection, and miscellaneous

- Modern "dynamic" languages including not only scripting languages, but also Java, OCaml, etc. — rely on automatic memory management. Knowing the basic methods of doing this is important for implementing these languages.
 - Automatic memory management
 - Non-reachable heap nodes
 - Reference-counting
 - Garbage collection via mark-and-sweep
 - Garbage collection via stop-and-copy
 - Start with a couple of miscellaneous topics...

Compiler structure

Compilers don't usually translate directly from AST to native machine code.



- IR = "intermediate representation" (often a simplified machine language)
- MI = "machine-independent"
- Code gen. incorporates machine-dependent optimization

Machine-independent optimizations

- Reducing total number of operations
- Reducing amount of work in loops
- \Rightarrow Optimizations that are likely to increase speed on any machine.

Source	Initial IR		Optimized IR
	L1: $r1 = \&A$		r1 = &A
int A[100];	r2 = i*4		r2 = i*4
	r3 = r1 + r2		r3 = r1 + r2
while (j <n) td="" {<=""><td>r4 = LOADIND r3</td><td></td><td>r4 = LOADIND r3</td></n)>	r4 = LOADIND r3		r4 = LOADIND r3
x = x + A[i];	x = x+r4	L1:	x = x+r4
j++;	j = j+1		j = j+1
}	CMP j,n		CMP j,n
	JMPIFLESS L1		JMPIFLESS L1

Compilation targets: Real vs. abstract machines

- *Traditional*: Compile to target machine code
- Java/C#: Compile to virtual (i.e. fake) machine code (JVM or CLR/CIL)
 - Execute by interpreting that machine, *or*
 - Translate to native machine code at run time (called "justin-time compilation")
 - Advantages: portability, security
 - Disadvantage: Non-optimal performance (mainly because optimization process is time-constrained)
- "Virtual machine code" is also called *bytecode* or sometimes *bitcode*.

Machine-dependent optimization

- When translating to native machine code either at initial compilation time or at "just-in-time" compilation time want to generate most efficient code.
- Machine-dependent optimization = optimizations that exploit features of target machine such as registers, pipeline, special instructions
 - Register allocation
 - Instruction selection
 - Instruction scheduling

Interpreters vs. compilers

- Traditionally, languages where programs are normally executed interactively i.e. without producing an explicit compiled version (Python, Javascript, OCaml, etc.) are said to be "interpreted."
- However, this is different from our use of the term "interpret," which refers to a method of executing programs (the method we use in MP6 and 7).
- In fact, we cannot know what method of execution these languages use without looking inside the "interpreter."
- In practice, all such languages compile to executable form — usually a virtual machine — internally, because pure interpreted execution is inefficient.

Dynamic languages vs. static languages

- Dynamic: Python, Perl, Ruby, JavaScript
- Static: C, C++, Fortran
- Intermediate: Java, C#, OCaml
- Two primary distinguishing features:
 - Dynamic type-checking; tagged values
 - Automatic memory management

Automatic memory management

Consider this code in OCaml:

let rec append x y = if x=[] then y else hd x :: append (tl x) y
let rec rev l = if l=[] then [] else append (rev (tl l)) @ [hd l]

- Suppose lis is a list of length 10. When rev lis is called, cells of garbage are created.
- Without a way to reuse these cells, programs would quickly run out of memory.
- Similar examples can be constructed in Java, Python, or any other modern language. These languages would be unusable without automatic memory management.

Reachability (aka accessibility) of heap cells

- Data in state is accessible only through variables on stack, so heap objects are accessible only through pointers on stack.
- Think of heap as a directed graph, with entry points from the stack.
- The only useful data in heap is what is accessible, directly or indirectly, from those entry points. Other data is called garbage. Garbage nodes can never affect computation, because they can never be seen by the program.
- Automatic memory management attempts to make garbage cells available for allocation.

Reference-counting

- Keep free memory areas on a list
- Track number of pointers to every object every object has an additional field giving count of in-pointers.
- Adjust count each time a pointer is copied/assigned

• p = q:

- Increment refcnt(*q)
- Decrement refcnt(*p)
- if refcnt(*p)=0 then return memory of p to free list and decrement refcnt of all objects that *p points to

Reference-counting (cont.)

- Advantage: Recover memory of an object as soon as the object becomes non-reachable
- Big disadvantage: Cannot handle cycles in the heap:



Garbage collection

- Don't keep track of reachability continually instead, wait until memory runs out, then run a program to find all the nonreachable objects and recover them - a garbage collector.
- Two basic methods (with many variations and combinations):
 - Mark-and-sweep
 - Stop-and-copy
- Advantage: Handles cyclic structures easily
- Disadvantage: Creates a pause in the calculation while g.c. algorithm runs

Mark-and-sweep

- Reserve one bit in each object header, called the reachable bit
- Start with reachable bit zero in every header
- Traverse reachable data (depth-first search), setting reachable bit
- Sweep over entire heap. For each object, if reachable bit is 1, reset it; if it is zero, place that memory chunk on free list.

• Observations:

- Reachable data is not moved
- Reachable data remains spread across memory
- Cost is linear in total size of heap

Stop-and-copy

- Only half of memory available for the heap is used at any time; that half is called *half-in-use*, the other half *reserved*.
- Half-in-use is divided into used area and free area.
- Allocate memory from top of used area (bottom of free area). When free area is exhausted, do g.c.
- Garbage collection method:
 - Traverse *reachable* objects, moving each object encountered to reserved area, allocating sequentially from bottom.
 - Complicated part is adjusting pointers.
 - Reserved area now becomes half-in-use.
- Advantage: Cost proportion to amount of reachable data.

Wrap-up

- Today we discussed:
 - Compilation to native code or VM
 - Compiler optimizations
 - Automatic memory management
- We discussed it because:
 - Needed to understand how different compilers and run-time systems work.
- What to do now:
 - MP7
 - You will not be asked to implement garbage collection.