Lecture 11 — Executing MJ programs

- Once a program has been parsed and transformed to an AST, even without type-checking, we can execute programs by interpretation, which involves traversing the AST. For MP6, you will write an interpreter for part of MiniJava, using dynamic typing.
 - Evaluating expressions
 - Executing statements
 - SOS rules for interpretation

From lecture 1: What you will learn this semester

- How to implement programming languages
 - Writing lexical analyzers and parsers
 - Translating programs to machine language
 - Implementing run-time systems
- How to write programs in a functional programming language
- How to formally define languages (including the definitions of type rules and of program execution)
- Key differences between statically-typed languages (e.g. C, Java) and dynamically-typed languages (Python, JavaScript)
- Plus a few other things...

Grammar for (almost) MiniJava

```
Program -> ClassDeclList
ClassDecl -> class id { VarDeclList MethodDeclList }
VarDecl -> Type id ;
MethodDecl -> Type id ( FormalList ) { VarDeclList StmtList return Exp ; }
Formal -> Type id
Type -> int [ ] | boolean | int | id
Stmt -> { StmtList } | if (Exp ) Stmt else Stmt
      | while (Exp ) Stmt | System.out.println (Exp );
      | id = Exp ; | id [Exp] = Exp ;
Exp -> Exp Op Exp | Exp [ Exp ] | Exp . length
      | Exp . id ( ExpList ) | integer | true | false | id
      | this | new int [ Exp ] | new id ( ) | ! Exp | ( Exp )
Op -> && | < | <= | == | + | - | *
ExpList -> Exp ExpRest |
ExpRest -> , Exp ExpRest |
FormalList -> Type id FormalRest |
FormalRest -> , Type id FormalRest |
ClassDeclList = ClassDeclList VarDecl |
MethodDeclList = MethodDeclList MethodDecl |
VarDeclList = VarDeclList VarDecl |
StmtList = StmtList Stmt |
CS 421 - Class 11, 2/21/12 - 3
```

Exercise: simple expression evaluation

Evaluating expressions in MiniJava

Abstract syntax of MJ expressions:

For MP6, this, new (both objects and arrays), and float and array operations are omitted.

eval for MJ

```
type value = IntV of int | StringV of string | BoolV of bool | NullV and state = (varname * value) list and varname = string

let rec eval (e:exp) (sigma:state) (prog:program) : value = match e with Null -> NullV |
| True -> BoolV false |
| False -> BoolV false |
| Integer i -> IntV i |
| String s -> StringV S (* assume id is in state sigma *) |
| Id id -> fetch id Sigma
```

applyOp for MJ (cont.)

```
type value = IntV of int | StringV of string | BoolV of bool | NullV
   | Operation(e1, bop, e2) -> (* for non-boolean operations *)
       applyOp bop (eval e1 sigma prog) (eval e2 sigma prog)
let applyOp (bop:binary_operation) (v1:value) (v2:value) : value =
  match bop with
  Multiplication -> match (V, V2) with
      (IntVi,, IntVia) -> IntV(i, * ia)
  Plus ->
        match (v, , va) with
     (Int Vi,, Int Vi2) -> Int V(i,+i2)
     (String Vs,, String Vs2) -> String V(s, 1s2)
```

Kinds of errors

- Type errors, i.e. errors that would be caught by the Java compiler.
 - Operations applied to wrong type of value, e.g. Not 3, if ("abc") ..., etc.
 - Method call with wrong number of arguments
 - Undefined variables
- Run-time, or value, errors
 - Subscript out of bounds
 - Division by zero

eval for MJ, with exceptions

```
type value = IntV of int | StringV of string | BoolV of bool | NullV and state = (varname * value) list and varname = string exception TypeError of string exception RuntimeError of string

| Id id -> if (binds id sigma) then (fetch id sigma) else (mise TypeError) |
| Not e -> Match (eval e sigma prog) with |
| BoolV b -> BoolV (not b) |
| -> Maise TypeError
```

Language definitions

- We will give formal definitions in "structured operational semantics" (SOS), just as we did for type-checking. SOS describes evaluation of an expression as a function of the evaluation of subexpressions.
- The following notation should be read "expression e evaluates to value v in state σ and program π :

$$e, \sigma, \pi \downarrow v$$

- E.g we can write "Integer $i, \sigma, \pi \downarrow \text{IntV } i$ ", meaning: "expression Integer i evaluates to value IntV i, for any i, in any state and program."
- In MP6, e will be an AST, but in the rules we use concrete syntax because it looks better.

Ex: SOS for binary operations

```
(BINOPINT) e_{1} + e_{2}, \sigma, \pi \Downarrow \text{IntV } (i_{1} + i_{2})
e_{1}, \sigma, \pi \Downarrow \text{IntV } i_{1}
e_{2}, \sigma, \pi \Downarrow \text{IntV } i_{2}

(BINOPINT) e_{1} * e_{2}, \sigma, \pi \Downarrow \text{IntV } (i_{1} * i_{2})
e_{1}, \sigma, \pi \Downarrow \text{IntV } i_{1}
e_{2}, \sigma, \pi \Downarrow \text{IntV } i_{2}

(LESSTHAN) e_{1} < e_{2}, \sigma, \pi \Downarrow \text{BoolV } (i_{1} < i_{2})
e_{1}, \sigma, \pi \Downarrow \text{IntV } i_{1}
e_{2}, \sigma, \pi \Downarrow \text{IntV } i_{1}
```

Boolean operations

- Unlike all other operations, | | and && do not always evaluate both arguments; they are "non-strict."
- Given SOS rules for | :

```
e_1||e_2,\sigma,\pi\downarrow 	ext{ BoolV true}  e_1||e_2,\sigma,\pi\downarrow 	ext{ BoolV }t e_1,\sigma,\pi\downarrow 	ext{ BoolV true}  e_1,\sigma,\pi\downarrow 	ext{ BoolV false} e_2,\sigma,\pi\downarrow 	ext{ BoolV }t
```

fill in clause in eval:

CS 421 - Class 11, 2/21/12 - 12

Bool V true -> Bool V true

10 -> 1/ Glas > match (eval e, signa prog) with

Bool V false > match (eval e, signa prog) with

Bool V b > Bool V b | - svaise Typetmon

Note that the absence of rules for && and | |, when e1 or e2 is non-boolean, is significant.

-> raise Type Error

Ex: SOS for boolean operations

```
(ORTRUE) e_1||e_2, \sigma, \pi \downarrow \text{BoolV true}
e_1, \sigma, \pi \downarrow \text{BoolV true}

(ORFALSE) e_1||e_2, \sigma, \pi \downarrow \text{BoolV false}
e_1, \sigma, \pi \downarrow \text{BoolV false}
e_2, \sigma, \pi \downarrow \text{BoolV false}

(ANDFALSE) e_1\&\&e_2, \sigma, \pi \downarrow \text{BoolV false}

(ANDTRUE) e_1\&\&e_2, \sigma, \pi \downarrow \text{BoolV false}

(NOT) !e, \sigma, \pi \downarrow \text{BoolV (not } b)
```

Subset of MJ for MP 6

MJ programs have the form:

Subset of MJ for MP 6 (cont.)

- For MP 6, there are syntactic restrictions, and also some significant departures from Java semantics.
- Syntactic restrictions:
 - One class, which must contain a method named main.
 - No fields.
 - Only statements are: assignment (simple and array), if, and block (i.e. statement sequences).
 - Expressions related to objects and arrays new C, this, $e_1[e_2]$, new C[e], e.length are omitted.
 - Note: We have left the concrete and abstract syntax alone;
 we are just ignoring these parts of it (for this week).

Subset of MJ for MP 6 (cont.)

- Semantic differences from Java:
 - No objects or arrays.
 - Type declarations are ignored. (Must be included for syntactic reasons, but have no effect on execution.)
 - Dynamic typing: Types are not checked at assignment; meaning of binary operations is determined by type of value, not declared type of variables. For example, can write x = 1; y = x+1; x = "abc"; y = x+1;. First + is integer addition, second is string concatenation.

Statements

You will also need to write function exec: statement → state
→ program → state to execute some simple statements:

SOS for statements

- Will also use SOS to define exec:
 - "s, σ , $\pi \Rightarrow \sigma$ " means that statement s, if it starts in state σ will change it (by assignment statements) to state σ' . E.g.

```
x = 10, [(y,3); (x,4)], program(...) \Rightarrow [(y,3); (x,10)]
\{x = 10; y = x\}, [(y,3); (x,4)], program(...)
\Rightarrow [(y,10); (x,10)]
```

Ex: SOS rules for statements

(STMT LIST) $x = e, \sigma, \pi \Rightarrow \sigma$ with v bound to x



(Assign) { S_1 ; S_2 ; ...; S_n }, σ , $\pi \Rightarrow \sigma_n$

(IF-TRUE) if (e) S_1 else S_2 , σ , $\pi \Rightarrow \sigma'$

(IF-FALSE) if (e) S_1 else S_2 , σ , $\pi \Rightarrow \sigma'$

Jee MP6 Spec

eval for MJ (cont.)

We return to expressions to consider the one case we skipped:

```
type value = IntV of int | StringV of string | BoolV of bool | NullV
and state = (varname * value) list
and varname = string
    | MethodCall(_, m, args) ->
 O lookup m
2) evaluate angs using original signa yidding
3 Create state matching angual any Vale with variable list from m, yielding
(4) Execute the body of in starting in or, yielding o
BEVALUE return expr of mino; return this
```

Wrap-up

- Today we discussed:
 - "Interpretation" executing a program by traversing its AST
 - Specifying how to interpret programs by giving SOS rules
- We discussed it because:
 - Understanding interpretation is a big step toward understanding dynamically-typed languages. It is also good preparation for compilation.
- What to do now:
 - MP6. Start early! This is a hard MP, and has by far the most complex write-up.