Lecture 11 — Executing MJ programs

- Once a program has been parsed and transformed to an AST, even without type-checking, we can execute programs by *interpretation*, which involves traversing the AST. For MP6, you will write an interpreter for part of MiniJava, using dynamic typing.
 - Evaluating expressions
 - Executing statements
 - SOS rules for interpretation

From lecture 1: What you will learn this semester

- How to implement programming languages
 - Writing lexical analyzers and parsers
 - Translating programs to machine language
 - Implementing run-time systems
- How to write programs in a functional programming language
- How to formally define languages (including the definitions of type rules and of program execution)
- Key differences between statically-typed languages (e.g. C, Java) and dynamically-typed languages (Python, JavaScript)
- Plus a few other things...

Grammar for (almost) MiniJava

```
Program -> ClassDeclList
ClassDecl -> class id { VarDeclList MethodDeclList }
VarDecl -> Type id ;
MethodDecl -> Type id ( FormalList ) { VarDeclList StmtList return Exp ; }
Formal -> Type id
Type -> int [] | boolean | int | id
Stmt -> { StmtList } | if (Exp ) Stmt else Stmt
      | while ( Exp ) Stmt | System.out.println ( Exp ) ;
      | id = Exp ; | id [ Exp ] = Exp ;
Exp -> Exp Op Exp | Exp [ Exp ] | Exp . length
      | Exp . id ( ExpList ) | integer | true | false | id
      | this | new int [ Exp ] | new id ( ) | ! Exp | ( Exp )
Op -> && | < | <= | == | + | - | *
ExpList -> Exp ExpRest |
ExpRest -> , Exp ExpRest |
FormalList -> Type id FormalRest |
FormalRest -> , Type id FormalRest |
ClassDeclList = ClassDeclList VarDecl |
MethodDeclList = MethodDeclList MethodDecl |
VarDeclList = VarDeclList VarDecl
StmtList = StmtList Stmt |
```

```
CS 421 — Class 11, 2/21/12 — 3
```

Exercise: simple expression evaluation

type value = Int of int | Bool of bool

let rec eval e dict =

and apply bop v1 v2 =

Evaluating expressions in MiniJava

Abstract syntax of MJ expressions:

For MP6, this, new (both objects and arrays), and float and array operations are omitted.

eval for MJ

type value = IntV of int | StringV of string | BoolV of bool | NullV and state = (varname * value) list and varname = string

let rec eval (e:exp) (sigma:state) (prog:program) : value = match e with

Null ->

| True ->

| False ->

| Integer i ->

| String s ->

(* assume id is in state sigma *)
| Id id ->

applyOp for MJ (cont.)

type value = IntV of int | StringV of string | BoolV of bool | NullV

let applyOp (bop:binary_operation) (v1:value) (v2:value) : value =
 match bop with
 Multiplication ->

Plus ->

Kinds of errors

- Type errors, i.e. errors that would be caught by the Java compiler.
 - Operations applied to wrong type of value, e.g. Not 3, if ("abc") ..., etc.
 - Method call with wrong number of arguments
 - Undefined variables
- Run-time, or value, errors
 - Subscript out of bounds
 - Division by zero

eval for MJ, with exceptions

type value = IntV of int | StringV of string | BoolV of bool | NullV and state = (varname * value) list and varname = string exception TypeError of string exception RuntimeError of string

| Id id ->

| Not e ->

Language definitions

- We will give formal definitions in "structured operational semantics" (SOS), just as we did for type-checking. SOS describes evaluation of an expression as a function of the evaluation of subexpressions.
- The following notation should be read "expression e evaluates to value v in state σ and program π :

 $e,\sigma,\pi\Downarrow v$

- E.g we can write "Integer $i, \sigma, \pi \Downarrow IntV$ i", meaning: "expression Integer *i* evaluates to value IntV *i*, for any *i*, in any state and program."
- In MP6, e will be an AST, but in the rules we use concrete syntax because it looks better.

CS 421 — Class 11, 2/21/12 — 10

Ex: SOS for binary operations

(BINOPINT) $e_1 + e_2, \sigma, \pi \Downarrow \operatorname{IntV} (i_1 + i_2)$ $e_1, \sigma, \pi \Downarrow \operatorname{IntV} i_1$ $e_2, \sigma, \pi \Downarrow \operatorname{IntV} i_2$

(BINOPINT) $e_1 * e_2, \sigma, \pi \Downarrow IntV (i_1 * i_2)$

(LESSTHAN) $e_1 < e_2, \sigma, \pi \Downarrow$

CS 421 — Class 11, 2/21/12 — 11

Boolean operations

Unlike all other operations, || and && do not always evaluate both arguments; they are "non-strict."

Given SOS rules for ||:

 $e_1 || e_2, \sigma, \pi \Downarrow \texttt{BoolV}$ true $e_1, \sigma, \pi \Downarrow \texttt{BoolV}$ true

 $\begin{array}{c} e_1 || e_2, \sigma, \pi \Downarrow \texttt{BoolV} \ t \\ e_1, \sigma, \pi \Downarrow \texttt{BoolV} \ \texttt{false} \\ e_2, \sigma, \pi \Downarrow \texttt{BoolV} \ t \end{array}$

fill in clause in eval:

| Operation(e1, Or, e2) ->

• Note that the absence of rules for && and ||, when e_1 or e_2 is non-boolean, is significant.

Ex: SOS for boolean operations

(ORTRUE) $e_1 || e_2, \sigma, \pi \Downarrow$ BoolV true $e_1, \sigma, \pi \Downarrow$ BoolV true

(ANDFALSE) $e_1\&\&e_2,\sigma,\pi\Downarrow$ BoolV false

(ANDTRUE) $e_1\&\&e_2,\sigma,\pi\Downarrow$ BoolV t

(NOT) $!e, \sigma, \pi \Downarrow \text{BoolV} (\text{not } b)$

Subset of MJ for MP 6

MJ programs have the form:

```
class C [extends B] {
      <field declarations>
      <method declarations>
}
// more classes
```

where method declarations have the form:

```
<type> f (< parameter declarations > ) {
    <local variable declarations>
    <statements>
    return <expression> ;
}
```

Subset of MJ for MP 6 (cont.)

- For MP 6, there are syntactic restrictions, and also some significant departures from Java semantics.
- Syntactic restrictions:
 - One class, which must contain a method named main.
 - No fields.
 - Only statements are: assignment (simple and array), if, and block (i.e. statement sequences).
 - Expressions related to objects and arrays new C, this, $e_1[e_2]$, new C[e], e.length are omitted.
 - *Note:* We have left the concrete and abstract syntax alone; we are just ignoring these parts of it (for this week).

Subset of MJ for MP 6 (cont.)

- Semantic differences from Java:
 - No objects or arrays.
 - Type declarations are ignored. (Must be included for syntactic reasons, but have no effect on execution.)
 - Dynamic typing: Types are not checked at assignment; meaning of binary operations is determined by type of value, not declared type of variables. For example, can write x
 = 1; y = x+1; x = "abc"; y = x+1;. First + is integer addition, second is string concatenation.

Statements

• You will also need to write function exec: statement \rightarrow state \rightarrow program \rightarrow state to execute some simple statements:

```
statement = Block of (statement list)
   | If of exp * statement * statement
   | Assignment of id * exp
```

let rec exec s sigma prog = match s with
 Assignment(s, e) ->

| If(e,s1,s2) \rightarrow

SOS for statements

• Will also use SOS to define exec:

- "s, σ, π ⇒ σ′" means that statement s, if it starts in state σ will change it (by assignment statements) to state σ′.
 E.g.
 - x = 10, [(y,3); (x,4)], program(...) \Rightarrow [(y,3); (x,10)]

$${x = 10; y = x}, [(y,3); (x,4)], program(...)$$

 \Rightarrow [(y,10); (x,10)]

Ex: SOS rules for statements

(STMT-LIST) x = $e, \sigma, \pi \Rightarrow \sigma$ with v bound to x

(ASSIGN) { S_1 ; S_2 ; ...; S_n }, σ , $\pi \Rightarrow \sigma_n$

(IF-TRUE) if (e) S_1 else S_2 , σ , $\pi \Rightarrow \sigma'$

(IF-FALSE) if (e) S_1 else S_2 , σ , $\pi \Rightarrow \sigma'$

eval for MJ (cont.)

We return to expressions to consider the one case we skipped:

type value = IntV of int | StringV of string | BoolV of bool | NullV and state = (varname * value) list and varname = string

| MethodCall(_, m, args) ->

Wrap-up

- Today we discussed:
 - "Interpretation" executing a program by traversing its AST
 - Specifying how to interpret programs by giving SOS rules
- We discussed it because:
 - Understanding interpretation is a big step toward understanding dynamically-typed languages. It is also good preparation for compilation.

What to do now:

• MP6. *Start early!* This is a hard MP, and has by far the most complex write-up.