

Pattern-matching (cont.)

- Pattern-matching allows us to define functions on larger tuples:
 - Ex: `fst_of_3` returns the first member of a triple, e.g. `fst_of_3 (4.0, 3, 2) = 4.0`. Define it in two different ways:

Curried vs. uncurried functions

Consider two similar function definitions:

```
let sum1 x y = x+y;;
let sum2 (x,y) = x+y;;
```

- Show a correct call to each of these functions:
- Give the type of each function:
- What happens if you enter `sum1(3,4)` or `sum2 3 4`?
- `sum1` is in “curried” form, `sum2` in “uncurried” form. Either form can be used, but curried form is more common in OCaml.

Functions on lists

- Pattern-matching is used commonly to define functions on lists.
- E.g. **define** `hd`: `let hd (h::t) = h`
- E.g. **addfirsttwo**: `int list → int` adds first two elements of a list: `let addfirsttwo (h::ht::tt) = h+ht`
- Ex: Define **rev2**, which switches the first two elements of a list: `rev2 [2;3;4;5] = [3;2;4;5]`:

Functions on lists (cont.)

- Ex: **second**: int list → int returns 0 for an empty list, the head of a one-element list, and the second element of any other list. Define it with and without match expressions:

```
let second lis = if lis==[] then
```

```
let second lis = match lis with  
  [] ->
```

Ex: sum

- Define `sum: int list → int` that adds up the elements of a list.
- First: To calculate `sum lis`, suppose s = the sum of the elements in `tl lis`. What is the sum of all the elements in `lis`?
- Second: Define `sum`:

```
let rec sum lis = match lis with
    [] ->
    | h :: t ->
```

Ex: allpos

- Define `allpos: int list → bool` that returns true if all elements of the list are greater than zero, false otherwise.
- First: To calculate `allpos lis`, suppose $a = \text{allpos}(\text{tl lis})$. Calculate `allpos lis` from `hd lis` and a :
- Second: Define `allpos`:

```
let rec allpos lis = match lis with
  [] ->
  | h :: t ->
```

Ex: pairsums

- Define pairsums: $(\text{int} * \text{int}) \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int list}$ that sums the elements of each element of its argument:
- E.g. pairsums $[(3, 4); (5, 6)] = [7; 11]$.
- First: To calculate pairsums lis, suppose $r = \text{pairsums} (\text{tl lis})$. Calculate pairsums lis from hd lis and r:
- Second: Define pairsums:

```
let rec allpos lis = match lis with
  [] ->
  | (i,j)::t ->
```

Ex: revcumulsums

- For this example, the empty list is not the only base case.
- `revcumulsums lis` is the list consisting of the sum of all the elements followed by the sum of the tail, followed by the sum of the tail of the tail, etc.:
- `revcumulsums [1; 2; 3; 4] = [10; 9; 7; 4].`
- First: To calculate `revcumulsums lis`, suppose $r = \text{revcumulsums}(\text{tl } \text{lis})$, and that `tl lis` is not empty. Calculate `revcumulsums lis` from r and `hd lis`:

Ex: revcumulsums (cont.)

- Second: Define revcumulsums lis:

```
let rec revcumulsums lis = match lis with  
  (* handle base cases: *)
```

```
| h::t ->
```

Ex: pairwisesums

- `pairwisesums [1; 2; 3; 4; 5; 6] = [3; 7; 11].`
- **First:** To calculate `pairwisesums` lis, suppose $r = \text{pairwisesums}(\text{tl } (\text{tl } \text{lis}))$, and `tl lis` is not empty. Calculate `pairwisesums` lis from r , `hd lis`, and `hd (tl lis)`.
- **Second:** Define `pairwisesums` lis (assume $|\text{lis}|$ is even):

```
let rec pairwisesums lis = match lis with
  (* handle base cases: *)
  | h :: ht :: tt ->
```

Ex: pairwisesums2

- `pairwisesums2 [1; 2; 3; 4; 5] = [3; 5; 7; 9].`
- **First:** To calculate `pairwisesums2` lis, suppose $r = \text{pairwisesums2}(\text{tl lis})$, and `tl lis` is not empty. Calculate `pairwisesums2` lis from r , `hd lis`, and `hd(tl lis)`.
- **Second:** Define `pairwisesums2` lis:

```
let rec pairwisesums2 lis = match lis with  
  (* handle base cases: *)
```

```
  | h :: ht :: tt ->
```

Ex: pairwiseequal

- `pairwiseequal [1; 2; 3] [1; 4; 3] = [true; false; true].`
- **First:** Suppose $m = \text{pairwiseequal} (\text{tl } \text{lis1}) (\text{tl } \text{lis2})$. Calculate `pairwiseequal lis1 lis2` from m , `hd lis1`, and `hd lis1`.
- **Second:** Define `pairwiseequal lis1 lis2`. This requires a use of `match` that we haven't seen before:

```
let rec pairwiseequal lis1 lis2 = match (lis1, lis2) with
  ([] , []) ->
    ...
  | (h1::t1, h2::t2) ->
    ...
    ...
```

Ex: append

- `append lis1 lis2 = lis1 @ lis2.`
- **First:** Recursion is on `lis1`. To calculate `append lis1 lis2`, suppose $lis' = \text{append}(\text{tl } lis1) \text{ lis2}$. Calculate `append lis1 lis2 from lis'` and `hd lis1`.
- **Second:** Define `append`:

```
let rec append lis1 lis2 = match lis1 with
  [] ->
    lis2
  h::t ->
```

Ex: reverse

- `reverse [1;2;3] = [3;2;1].`
- **First:** To calculate `reverse lis`, suppose `r = reverse (tl lis)`. Calculate `reverse lis` from `r` and `hd lis`. (Hint: you have to use `@`.)
- **Second: Define reverse:**

```
let rec reverse lis = match lis with
  [] ->
    h::t ->
```

Ex: reverse, again

- The problem with the previous version of reverse is that, because running time of $\text{lis1} @ \text{lis2}$ is $O(|\text{lis1}|)$, reverse is: _____. To obtain a more efficient version of reverse, we instead define the function revapp:
- $\text{revapp lis1 lis2} = (\text{reverse lis1}) @ \text{lis2}$. E.g. $\text{revapp [1; 2; 3] [4; 5]} = [3; 2; 1; 4; 5]$.
- First: revapp lis1 lis2 is defined by recursion on the tail of lis1. However, $\text{revapp (tl lis1) lis2}$ is of no help. Instead, find values $\text{lis2}'$ such that you can calculate revapp lis1 lis2 directly from $\text{revapp (tl lis1) lis2}'$.
 - What is $\text{lis2}'$?

- Suppose $r = \text{revap}(\text{tl } \text{lis1}) \text{ lis2}'$. How can you calculate revapp lis1 lis2 from r ?
- Second: Define revapp :

```
let rec revapp lis1 lis2 = match lis1 with
  []  ->
    h :: t  ->
```

- What is the time complexity of rev2 ?
- Define reverse using rev2 :

Ex: unencode

- A simple method of compressing data that is effective on some kinds of data is *run-length encoding*, where a list of values is replaced by a list of pairs, each giving a value and a number of repetitions of that value.
- In OCaml, we could encode a char list as an `(int * char)` list, where each pair gives the number of repetitions of the char. E.g. `[(3, 'a'); (1, 'b'); (2, 'a')]` represents the list `['a'; 'a'; 'a'; 'b'; 'a'; 'a']`.
- `unencode` `enc: (char * int) list → char list` takes an encoded list `enc` and returns its expanded form.

Ex: unencode

- First: Suppose $r = \text{unencode}(\text{tl enc})$. Calculate unencode enc as a function of r and hd enc (hint: you'll need an auxiliary function):
- Second: Define unencode :

Ex: unencode, again

- You can define `unencode` without using the auxiliary function. The trick is that the recursion is not on `tl enc` but on another list `enc'` that is related to `enc`. From `unencode enc'` you can calculate `unencode enc` easily: Suppose $u = \text{unencode } \text{enc}'$; then `unencode enc = hd enc :: u`.
- First: what is `enc'`?
- Second: Define `unencode`:

Ex: encode

- encode is the inverse of unencode. Its type is `char list → (int * char) list`.
- encode lis can be calculated by simple recursion on lis.
- First: Suppose $enc = \text{encode}(\text{tl } lis)$ (assuming lis is non-empty). How can you calculate encode lis from enc and $\text{hd } lis$? (Hint: you'll need a conditional expression here.)
- Second: Define encode: