

## Programming Languages and Compilers (CS 421)

Elsa L Gunter  
2112 SC, UIUC

<http://www.cs.illinois.edu/class/cs421/>

Based in part on slides by Mattox Beckman, as updated by Vikram Adve and Gul Agha

12/1/09

1

## Axiomatic Semantics

- Also called Floyd-Hoare Logic
- Based on formal logic (first order predicate calculus)
- Axiomatic Semantics is a logical system built from *axioms* and *inference rules*
- Mainly suited to simple imperative programming languages

12/1/09

2

## Axiomatic Semantics

- Used to formally prove a property (*post-condition*) of the *state* (the values of the program variables) after the execution of program, assuming another property (*pre-condition*) of the state before execution

12/1/09

3

## Axiomatic Semantics

- Goal: Derive statements of form  $\{P\} C \{Q\}$ 
  - P, Q logical statements about state, P precondition, Q postcondition, C program
- Example:  $\{x = 1\} x := x + 1 \{x = 2\}$

12/1/09

4

## Axiomatic Semantics

- *Approach*: For each type of language statement, give an axiom or inference rule stating how to derive assertions of form  $\{P\} C \{Q\}$  where C is a statement of that type
- Compose axioms and inference rules to build proofs for complex programs

12/1/09

5

## Axiomatic Semantics

- An expression  $\{P\} C \{Q\}$  is a *partial correctness* statement
- For *total correctness* must also prove that C terminates (i.e. doesn't run forever)
  - Written:  $[P] C [Q]$

12/1/09

6

## Language

- We will give rules for simple imperative language

<command>

```
 ::= <variable> := <term>
    | <command>; ... ;<command>
    | if <statement> then <command> else
      <command>
    | while <statement> do <command>
```

- Could add more features, like for-loops

12/1/09

7

## Substitution

- Notation:  $P[e/v]$  (sometimes  $P[v \leftarrow e]$ )
- Meaning: Replace every  $v$  in  $P$  by  $e$
- Example:

$$(x + 2) [y-1/x] = ((y - 1) + 2)$$

12/1/09

8

## The Assignment Rule

$$\frac{}{\{P [e/x]\} x := e \{P\}}$$

Example:

$$\frac{}{\{ ? \} x := y \{x = 2\}}$$

12/1/09

9

## The Assignment Rule

$$\frac{}{\{P [e/x]\} x := e \{P\}}$$

Example:

$$\frac{}{\{\_ = 2\} x := y \{x = 2\}}$$

12/1/09

10

## The Assignment Rule

$$\frac{}{\{P [e/x]\} x := e \{P\}}$$

Example:

$$\frac{}{\{y = 2\} x := y \{x = 2\}}$$

12/1/09

11

## The Assignment Rule

$$\frac{}{\{P [e/x]\} x := e \{P\}}$$

Examples:

$$\frac{}{\{y = 2\} x := y \{x = 2\}}$$

$$\frac{}{\{y = 2\} x := 2 \{y = x\}}$$

$$\frac{}{\{x + 1 = n + 1\} x := x + 1 \{x = n + 1\}}$$

$$\frac{}{\{2 = 2\} x := 2 \{x = 2\}}$$

12/1/09

12

## The Assignment Rule – Your Turn

- What is the weakest precondition of  $x := x + y \{x + y = w - x\}$ ?

$$\frac{\{ \quad ? \quad \}}{x := x + y \{x + y = w - x\}}$$

12/1/09

13

## The Assignment Rule – Your Turn

- What is the weakest precondition of  $x := x + y \{x + y = w - x\}$ ?

$$\frac{\{(x + y) + y = w - (x + y)\}}{x := x + y \{x + y = w - x\}}$$

12/1/09

14

## Precondition Strengthening

$$\frac{P \rightarrow P' \quad \{P'\} C \{Q\}}{\{P\} C \{Q\}}$$

- Meaning: If we can show that  $P$  implies  $P'$  ( $P \rightarrow P'$ ) and we can show that  $\{P'\} C \{Q\}$ , then we know that  $\{P\} C \{Q\}$
- $P$  is *stronger* than  $P'$  means  $P \rightarrow P'$

12/1/09

15

## Precondition Strengthening

- Examples:

$$\frac{x = 3 \rightarrow x < 7 \quad \{x < 7\} x := x + 3 \{x < 10\}}{\{x = 3\} x := x + 3 \{x < 10\}}$$

$$\frac{\text{True} \rightarrow 2 = 2 \quad \{2 = 2\} x := 2 \{x = 2\}}{\{\text{True}\} x := 2 \{x = 2\}}$$

$$\frac{x = n \rightarrow x + 1 = n + 1 \quad \{x + 1 = n + 1\} x := x + 1 \{x = n + 1\}}{\{x = n\} x := x + 1 \{x = n + 1\}}$$

12/1/09

16

## Which Inferences Are Correct?

$$\frac{\{x > 0 \ \& \ x < 5\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}{\{x = 3\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}$$

$$\frac{\{x = 3\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \ \& \ x < 5\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}$$

$$\frac{\{x * x < 25\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \ \& \ x < 5\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}$$

12/1/09

17

## Which Inferences Are Correct?

$$\frac{\{x > 0 \ \& \ x < 5\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}{\{x = 3\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}} \checkmark$$

~~$$\frac{\{x = 3\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \ \& \ x < 5\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}$$~~

$$\frac{\{x * x < 25\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \ \& \ x < 5\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}} \checkmark$$

12/1/09

18

## Sequencing

$$\frac{\{P\} C_1 \{Q\} \quad \{Q\} C_2 \{R\}}{\{P\} C_1; C_2 \{R\}}$$

### Example:

$$\frac{\frac{\{z = z \ \& \ z = z\} \ x := z \ \{x = z \ \& \ z = z\}}{\{x = z \ \& \ z = z\} \ y := z \ \{x = z \ \& \ y = z\}}}{\{z = z \ \& \ z = z\} \ x := z; \ y := z \ \{x = z \ \& \ y = z\}}$$

12/1/09

19

## Sequencing

$$\frac{\{P\} C_1 \{Q\} \quad \{Q\} C_2 \{R\}}{\{P\} C_1; C_2 \{R\}}$$

### Example:

$$\frac{\frac{\{z = z \ \& \ z = z\} \ x := z \ \{x = z \ \& \ z = z\}}{\{x = z \ \& \ z = z\} \ y := z \ \{x = z \ \& \ y = z\}}}{\{z = z \ \& \ z = z\} \ x := z; \ y := z \ \{x = z \ \& \ y = z\}}$$

12/1/09

20

## Postcondition Weakening

$$\frac{\{P\} C \{Q\} \quad Q \rightarrow Q'}{\{P\} C \{Q'\}}$$

### Example:

$$\frac{\frac{\{z = z \ \& \ z = z\} \ x := z; \ y := z \ \{x = z \ \& \ y = z\}}{(x = z \ \& \ y = z) \rightarrow (x = y)}}{\{z = z \ \& \ z = z\} \ x := z; \ y := z \ \{x = y\}}$$

12/1/09

21

## Rule of Consequence

$$\frac{P \rightarrow P' \quad \{P\} C \{Q\} \quad Q' \rightarrow Q}{\{P\} C \{Q\}}$$

- Logically equivalent to the combination of Precondition Strengthening and Postcondition Weakening
- Uses  $P \rightarrow P$  and  $Q \rightarrow Q$

12/1/09

22

## If Then Else

$$\frac{\{P \ \& \ B\} C_1 \{Q\} \quad \{P \ \& \ (\text{not } B)\} C_2 \{Q\}}{\{P\} \ \text{if } B \ \text{then } C_1 \ \text{else } C_2 \ \{Q\}}$$

### Example: Want

$$\frac{\{y=a\}}{\text{if } x < 0 \ \text{then } y := y-x \ \text{else } y := y+x \ \{y=a+|x|\}}$$

### Have to show:

- $\{y=a \ \& \ x < 0\} \ y := y-x \ \{y=a+|x|\}$  and
- $\{y=a \ \& \ \text{not}(x < 0)\} \ y := y+x \ \{y=a+|x|\}$

12/1/09

23

$$\{y=a \ \& \ x < 0\} \ y := y-x \ \{y=a+|x|\}$$

- $(y=a \ \& \ x < 0) \rightarrow y-x=a+|x|$
- $\frac{\{y-x=a+|x|\} \ y := y-x \ \{y=a+|x|\}}{\{y=a \ \& \ x < 0\} \ y := y-x \ \{y=a+|x|\}}$
- $\{y=a \ \& \ x < 0\} \ y := y-x \ \{y=a+|x|\}$

- Reduces to (2) and (3) by Precondition Strengthening
- Follows from assignment axiom
- Because  $x < 0 \rightarrow |x| = -x$

12/1/09

24

$\{y=a \wedge \neg(x < 0)\} y:=y+x \{y=a+|x|\}$

- (6)  $(y=a \wedge \neg(x < 0)) \rightarrow (y+x=a+|x|)$
- (5)  $\{y+x=a+|x|\} y:=y+x \{y=a+|x|\}$
- (4)  $\{y=a \wedge \neg(x < 0)\} y:=y+x \{y=a+|x|\}$

- (4) Reduces to (5) and (6) by Precondition Strengthening
- (5) Follows from assignment axiom
- (6) Because  $\neg(x < 0) \rightarrow |x| = x$

12/1/09

25

If then else

- (1)  $\{y=a \wedge x < 0\} y:=y-x \{y=a+|x|\}$
- (4)  $\frac{\{y=a \wedge \neg(x < 0)\} y:=y+x \{y=a+|x|\}}{\{y=a\}}$   
 $\text{if } x < 0 \text{ then } y:=y-x \text{ else } y:=y+x$   
 $\{y=a+|x|\}$

By the if\_then\_else rule

12/1/09

26

While

- We need a rule to be able to make assertions about **while** loops.
  - Inference rule because we can only draw conclusions if we know something about the body
  - Let's start with:

$$\frac{\{ ? \} C \{ ? \}}{\{ ? \} \text{ while } B \text{ do } C \{ P \}}$$

12/1/09

27

While

- The loop may never be executed, so if we want P to hold after, it had better hold before, so let's try:

$$\frac{\{ ? \} C \{ ? \}}{\{ P \} \text{ while } B \text{ do } C \{ P \}}$$

12/1/09

28

While

- If all we know is P when we enter the **while** loop, then we all we know when we enter the body is (P and B)
- If we need to know P when we finish the **while** loop, we had better know it when we finish the loop body:

$$\frac{\{ P \text{ and } B \} C \{ P \}}{\{ P \} \text{ while } B \text{ do } C \{ P \}}$$

12/1/09

29

While

- We can strengthen the previous rule because we also know that when the loop is finished, **not B** also holds
- Final **while** rule:

$$\frac{\{ P \text{ and } B \} C \{ P \}}{\{ P \} \text{ while } B \text{ do } C \{ P \text{ and not } B \}}$$

12/1/09

30

## While

$$\frac{\{P \text{ and } B\} C \{P\}}{\{P\} \text{ while } B \text{ do } C \{P \text{ and not } B\}}$$

- P satisfying this rule is called a *loop invariant* because it must hold before and after the each iteration of the loop

12/1/09

31

## While

- **While** rule generally needs to be used together with precondition strengthening and postcondition weakening
- There is NO algorithm for computing the correct P; it requires intuition and an understanding of why the program works

12/1/09

32

## Example

- Let us prove  
 $\{x \geq 0 \text{ and } x = a\}$   
fact := 1;  
while x > 0 do (fact := fact \* x; x := x - 1)  
{fact = a!}

12/1/09

33

## Example

- We need to find a condition P that is true both before and after the loop is executed, and such that

$$(P \text{ and not } x > 0) \rightarrow \{fact = a!\}$$

12/1/09

34

## Example

- First attempt:  
 $\{a! = \text{fact} * (x!)\}$
- Motivation:
- What we want to compute: **a!**
- What we have computed: **fact**  
which is the sequential product of **a** down through **(x + 1)**
- What we still need to compute: **x!**

12/1/09

35

## Example

- By post-condition strengthening suffices to show
1.  $\{x \geq 0 \text{ and } x = a\}$   
fact := 1;  
while x > 0 do (fact := fact \* x; x := x - 1)  
{a! = fact \* (x!) and not (x > 0)}
- and
2.  $\{a! = \text{fact} * (x!) \text{ and not } (x > 0)\} \rightarrow \{fact = a!\}$

12/1/09

36

## Problem

2.  $\{a! = \text{fact} * (x!) \text{ and not } (x > 0)\} \rightarrow \{\text{fact} = a!\}$ 
  - Don't know this if  $x < 0$
  - Need to know that  $x = 0$  when loop terminates
  - Need a new loop invariant
  - Try adding  $x \geq 0$
  - Then will have  $x = 0$  when loop is done

12/1/09

37

## Example

Second try, combine the two:

$$P = \{a! = \text{fact} * (x!) \text{ and } x \geq 0\}$$

Again, suffices to show

1.  $\{x \geq 0 \text{ and } x = a\}$   
     $\text{fact} := 1;$   
    while  $x > 0$  do  $(\text{fact} := \text{fact} * x; x := x - 1)$   
     $\{P \text{ and not } x > 0\}$   
and
2.  $\{P \text{ and not } x > 0\} \rightarrow \{\text{fact} = a!\}$

12/1/09

38

## Example

- For 2, we need  
 $\{a! = \text{fact} * (x!) \text{ and } x \geq 0 \text{ and not } (x > 0)\} \rightarrow \{\text{fact} = a!\}$   
But  $\{x \geq 0 \text{ and not } (x > 0)\} \rightarrow \{x = 0\}$  so  
     $\text{fact} * (x!) = \text{fact} * (0!) = \text{fact}$   
Therefore  
 $\{a! = \text{fact} * (x!) \text{ and } x \geq 0 \text{ and not } (x > 0)\} \rightarrow \{\text{fact} = a!\}$

12/1/09

39

## Example

- For 1, by the sequencing rule it suffices to show
- 3.  $\{x \geq 0 \text{ and } x = a\}$   
     $\text{fact} := 1$   
     $\{a! = \text{fact} * (x!) \text{ and } x \geq 0\}$   
And
- 4.  $\{a! = \text{fact} * (x!) \text{ and } x \geq 0\}$   
    while  $x > 0$  do  
     $(\text{fact} := \text{fact} * x; x := x - 1)$   
     $\{a! = \text{fact} * (x!) \text{ and } x \geq 0 \text{ and not } (x > 0)\}$

12/1/09

40

## Example

- Suffices to show that  
     $\{a! = \text{fact} * (x!) \text{ and } x \geq 0\}$   
holds before the while loop is entered and that if  
     $\{(a! = \text{fact} * (x!)) \text{ and } x \geq 0 \text{ and } x > 0\}$   
holds before we execute the body of the loop, then  
     $\{(a! = \text{fact} * (x!)) \text{ and } x \geq 0\}$   
holds after we execute the body

12/1/09

41

## Example

By the assignment rule, we have  
     $\{a! = 1 * (x!) \text{ and } x \geq 0\}$

$\text{fact} := 1$

$\{a! = \text{fact} * (x!) \text{ and } x \geq 0\}$

Therefore, to show (3), by precondition strengthening, it suffices to show

$$\begin{aligned} & (x \geq 0 \text{ and } x = a) \rightarrow \\ & (a! = 1 * (x!) \text{ and } x \geq 0) \end{aligned}$$

12/1/09

42

### Example

$(x \geq 0 \text{ and } x = a) \rightarrow$   
 $(a! = 1 * (x!) \text{ and } x \geq 0)$   
holds because  $x = a \rightarrow x! = a!$

Have that  $\{a! = \text{fact} * (x!) \text{ and } x \geq 0\}$   
holds at the start of the while loop

12/1/09

43

### Example

To show (4):

$\{a! = \text{fact} * (x!) \text{ and } x \geq 0\}$   
while  $x > 0$  do  
 $(\text{fact} := \text{fact} * x; x := x - 1)$   
 $\{a! = \text{fact} * (x!) \text{ and } x \geq 0 \text{ and not } (x > 0)\}$

we need to show that

$\{(a! = \text{fact} * (x!)) \text{ and } x \geq 0\}$

is a loop invariant

12/1/09

44

### Example

We need to show:

$\{(a! = \text{fact} * (x!)) \text{ and } x \geq 0 \text{ and } x > 0\}$   
 $(\text{fact} = \text{fact} * x; x := x - 1)$   
 $\{(a! = \text{fact} * (x!)) \text{ and } x \geq 0\}$

We will use assignment rule,  
sequencing rule and precondition  
strengthening

12/1/09

45

### Example

By the assignment rule, we have

$\{(a! = \text{fact} * ((x-1!)) \text{ and } x - 1 \geq 0\}$   
 $x := x - 1$   
 $\{(a! = \text{fact} * (x!)) \text{ and } x \geq 0\}$

By the sequencing rule, it suffices to show

$\{(a! = \text{fact} * (x!)) \text{ and } x \geq 0 \text{ and } x > 0\}$   
 $\text{fact} = \text{fact} * x$   
 $\{(a! = \text{fact} * ((x-1!)) \text{ and } x - 1 \geq 0\}$

12/1/09

46

### Example

By the assignment rule, we have that

$\{(a! = (\text{fact} * x) * ((x-1!)) \text{ and } x - 1 \geq 0\}$   
 $\text{fact} = \text{fact} * x$

$\{(a! = \text{fact} * ((x-1!)) \text{ and } x - 1 \geq 0\}$

By Precondition strengthening, it suffices  
to show that

$((a! = \text{fact} * (x!)) \text{ and } x \geq 0 \text{ and } x > 0) \rightarrow$   
 $((a! = (\text{fact} * x) * ((x-1!)) \text{ and } x - 1 \geq 0)$

12/1/09

47

### Example

However

$\text{fact} * x * (x - 1)! = \text{fact} * x$

and  $(x > 0) \rightarrow x - 1 \geq 0$

since  $x$  is an integer, so

$\{(a! = \text{fact} * (x!)) \text{ and } x \geq 0 \text{ and } x > 0\} \rightarrow$   
 $\{(a! = (\text{fact} * x) * ((x-1!)) \text{ and } x - 1 \geq 0\}$

12/1/09

48





## Example

Therefore, by precondition strengthening

$$\{(a! = \text{fact} * (x!)) \text{ and } x \geq 0 \text{ and } x > 0\}$$
$$\text{fact} = \text{fact} * x$$
$$\{(a! = \text{fact} * ((x-1)!)) \text{ and } x - 1 \geq 0\}$$

This finishes the proof