Programming Languages and Compilers (CS 421)



Elsa L Gunter

2112 SC, UIUC

http://www.cs.illinois.edu/class/cs421/

Based in part on slides by Mattox Beckman, as updated by Vikram Adve and Gul Agha



Meta-discourse

- Language Syntax and Semantics
- Syntax
  - DFSAs and NDFSAs
  - Grammars
- Semantics
  - Natural Semantics
  - Transition Semantics



Language Syntax

- Syntax is the description of which strings of symbols are meaningful expressions in a language
- It takes more than syntax to understand a language; need meaning (semantics) too
- Syntax is the entry point

Syntax of English Language

- Pattern 1

Subject	Verb
David	*sings*
The dog	*barked*
Susan	*yawned*

- Pattern 2

Subject	Verb	Direct Object
David	*sings*	*ballads*
The professor	*wants*	*to retire*
The jury	*found*	*the defendant guilty*



Elements of Syntax

- Character set – previously always ASCII, now often 64 character sets
- Keywords – usually reserved
- Special constants – cannot be assigned to
- Identifiers – can be assigned to
- Operator symbols
- Delimiters (parenthesis, braces, brackets)
- Blanks (aka white space)



Elements of Syntax

- Expressions

  if ... then begin ... ; ... end else begin ... ; ... end

- Type expressions

  $typexpr_1$ -> $typexpr_2$

- Declarations (in functional languages)

  let $pattern_1$ = $expr_1$ in *expr*

- Statements (in imperative languages)

  a = b + c

- Subprograms

  let $pattern_1$ = let rec inner = … in *expr*



Elements of Syntax

- Modules
- Interfaces
- Classes (for object-oriented languages)



Formal Language Descriptions

- Regular expressions, regular grammars, finite state automata
- Context-free grammars, BNF grammars, syntax diagrams
- Whole family more of grammars and automata – covered in automata theory



Grammars

- Grammars are formal descriptions of which strings over a given character set are in a particular language
- Language designers write grammar
- Language implementers use grammar to know what programs to accept
- Language users use grammar to know how to write legitimate programs



Regular Expressions

- Start with a given character set – **a, b, c**…

- Each character is a regular expression
  - It represents the set of one string containing just that character



Regular Expressions

- If **x** and **y** are regular expressions, then **xy** is a regular expression
  - It represents the set of all strings made from first a string described by **x** then a string described by **y**

If x={a,ab} and y={c,d} then xy ={ac,ad,abc,abd}.

- If **x** and **y** are regular expressions, then **x**v**y** is a regular expression
  - It represents the set of strings described by either **x** or **y**

If x={a,ab} and y={c,d} then x v y={a,ab,c,d}



Regular Expressions

- If **x** is a regular expression, then so is (**x**)
  - It represents the same thing as **x**

- If **x** is a regular expression, then so is **x***
  - It represents strings made from concatenating zero or more strings from **x**

  If x = {a,ab}

  then x* ={"",a,ab,aa,aab,abab,aaa,aaab,…}

- ε
  - It represents {""}, set containing the empty string



Example Regular Expressions

- **(0v1)*1**
  - The set of all strings of **0**'s and **1**'s ending in 1, **{1, 01, 11,…}**
- **a*b(a*)**
  - The set of all strings of a's and b's with exactly one b
- **((01) v(10))***
  - You tell me
- Regular expressions (equivalently, regular grammars) important for lexing, breaking strings into recognized words



Example: Lexing

- Regular expressions good for describing lexemes (words) in a programming language
  - Identifier = (a ∨ b ∨ … ∨ z ∨ A ∨ B ∨ … ∨ Z) (a ∨ b ∨ … ∨ z ∨ A ∨ B ∨ … ∨ Z ∨ 0 ∨ 1 ∨ … ∨ 9)*
  - Digit = (0 ∨ 1 ∨ … ∨ 9)
  - Number = 0 ∨ (1 ∨ … ∨ 9)(0 ∨ … ∨ 9)* ∨ ~ (1 ∨ … ∨ 9)(0 ∨ … ∨ 9)*
  - Keywords: if = if, while = while,…



Implementing Regular Expressions

- Regular expressions reasonable way to generate strings in language
- Not so good for recognizing when a string is in language
- Problems with Regular Expressions
  - which option to choose,
  - how many repetitions to make
- Answer: finite state automata



Finite State Automata

- A finite state automata over an alphabet is:
  - a directed graph
  - a finite set of states defined by the nodes
  - edges are labeled with elements of alphabet, or empty string; they define state transition
  - some nodes (or *states*), marked as final
  - one node marked as start state

- Syntax of FSA

Example FSA





Deterministic FSA's

- If FSA has for every state *exactly one* edge for each letter in alphabet then FSA is *deterministic*
  - No edge labeled with ε
- In general FSA in *non-deterministic*.
  - NFSA also allows edges labeled by ε
- Deterministic FSA special kind of non-deterministic FSA



DFSA Language Recognition

- Think of a DFSA as a board game; DFSA is board
- You have string as a deck of cards; one letter on each card
- Start by placing a disc on the start state



DFSA Language Recognition

- Move the disc from one state to next along the edge labeled the same as top card in deck; discard top card

- When you run out of cards,
  - if you are in final state, you win; string is in language
  - if you are not in a final state, you lose; string is not in language



DFSA Language Recognition -Summary

- Given a string over alphabet
- Start at start state
- Move over edge labeled with first letter to new state
- Remove first letter from string
- Repeat until string gone
- If end in final state then string in language

- Semantics of FSA

Example DFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Deterministic FSA



Example DFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string 0 1 1 0 1



Example DFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string 0 1 1 0 1



Example DFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string ~~Ø~~ 1 1 0 1



Example DFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string 0 1 1 0 1



Example DFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string 0 1 1 0 1



Example DFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string 0 1 1 0 1



Example DFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string 0 1 1 0 1



Non-deterministic FSA's

- NFSA generalize DFSA in two ways:
- Include edges labeled by ε
  - Allows process to non-deterministically change state



Non-deterministic FSA's

- Each state can have zero, one or more edges labeled by each letter
  - Given a letter, non-deterministically choose an edge to use





NFSA Language Recognition

- Play the same game as with DFSA
- Free move: move across an edge with empty string label without discarding card
- When you run out of letters, if you are in final state, you win; string is in language
- You can take one or more moves back and try again
- If have tried all possible paths without success, then you lose; string not in language

Example NFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Non-deterministic FSA



Example NFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string 0 1 1 0 1



Example NFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string 0 1 1 0 1



Example NFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string ~~Ø~~ 1 1 0 1



Example NFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string 0 1 1 0 1
- Guess



Example NFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string 0 1 1 0 1
- Backtrack



Example NFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string 0 1 1 0 1
- Guess again



Example NFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string 0 1 1 0 1
- Guess



Example NFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string 0 1 1 0 1
- Backtrack



Example NFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string ~~0 1 1~~ 0 1
- Guess again



Example NFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string 0 1 1 0 1



Example NFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string 0 1 1 0 1
- Guess (Hurray!!)





Rule Based Execution

- Search
- When stuck backtrack to last point with choices remaining
- Executing the NFSA in last example was example of rule based execution
- FSA's are rule-based programs; transitions between states (labeled edges) are rules; set of all FSA's is programming language



Rule Based Execution

- Search
- When stuck backtrack to last point with choices remaining

- FSA's are rule-based programs; transitions between states (labeled edges) are rules; set of all FSA's is programming language



Where We Are Going

- We want to turn strings (code) into computer instructions
- Done in phases
- Turn strings into abstract syntax trees (parse)
- Translate abstract syntax trees into executable instructions (interpret or compile)



Lexing and Parsing

- Converting strings to abstract syntax trees done in two phases
  - **Lexing:** Converting string (or streams of characters) into lists (or streams) of tokens (the "words" of the language)
  - **Parsing:** Convert a list of tokens into an abstract syntax tree



Lexing

- Different syntactic categories of “words”: tokens

Example:

- Convert sequence of characters into sequence of strings, integers, and floating point numbers.
- "asd 123 jkl 3.14" will become:

  [String "asd"; Int 123; String "jkl"; Float 3.14]



Lexing

- Each category described by regular expression (with extended syntax)
- Words recognized by (encoding of) corresponding finite state automaton
- Problem: we want to pull words out of a string; not just recognize a single word



Lexing

- Modify behavior of DFA
- When we encounter a character in a state for which there is no transaction
  - Stop processing the string
  - If in an accepting state, return the token that corresponds to the state, and the remainder of the string
  - If not, fail
- Add recursive layer to get sequence

Example

- s_1 return a string
- s_2 return an integer
- s_3 return a float





Lex, ocamllex

- Could write the reg exp, then translate to DFA by hand
  - A lot of work
- Better: Write program to take reg exp as input and automatically generates automata
- Lex is such a program
- ocamllex version for ocaml



How to do it

- To use regular expressions to parse our input we need:
  - Some way to identify the input string — call it a lexing buffer
  - Set of regular expressions,
  - Corresponding set of actions to take when they are matched.



How to do it

- The lexer will take the regular expressions and generate a state machine.
- The state machine will take our lexing buffer and apply the transitions...
- If we reach an accepting state from which we can go no further, the machine will perform the appropriate action.



Mechanics

- Put table of reg exp and corresponding actions (written in ocaml) into a file <*filename*>.mll
- Call

  ocamllex <*filename*>.mll
- Produces Ocaml code for a lexical analyzer in file <*filename*>.ml



Sample Input

```
rule main = parse
 ['0'-'9']+ { print_string "Int\n"}
 | ['0'-'9']+'.'['0'-'9']+ { print_string "Float\n"}
 | ['a'-'z']+ { print_string "String\n"}
 | _ { main lexbuf }
 {
 let newlexbuf = (Lexing.from_channel stdin) in
 print_string "Ready to lex.\n";
 main newlexbuf
}
```



General Input

{ *header* }
let *ident* = *regexp* ...
rule *entrypoint* [*arg1*... *argn*] = parse
    regexp { *action* }
  | ...
  | *regexp* { *action* }
and *entrypoint* [*arg1*... *argn*] =
  parse ...and ...
{ *trailer* }



Ocamllex Input

- *header* and *trailer* contain arbitrary ocaml code put at top an bottom of *<filename>*.ml

- let *ident* = *regexp* ... Introduces *ident* for use in later regular expressions



Ocamllex Input

- *<filename>*.ml contains one lexing function per *entrypoint*
  - Name of function is name given for *entrypoint*
  - Each entry point becomes an Ocaml function that takes *n*+1 arguments, the extra implicit last argument being of type Lexing.lexbuf
- *arg1*... a*rgn* are for use in *action*



Ocamllex Regular Expression

- Single quoted characters for letters: 'a'
- _: (underscore) matches any letter
- Eof: special "end_of_file" marker
- Concatenation same as usual
- "*string*": concatenation of sequence of characters
- e_1 / e_2 : choice - what was e_1 v e_2



Ocamllex Regular Expression

- [c_1 - c_2]: choice of any character between first and second inclusive, as determined by character codes
- [^c_1 - c_2]: choice of any character NOT in set
- e*: same as before
- e+: same as e e*
- e?: option - was e_1 v ε



Ocamllex Regular Expression

- e_1 # e_2: the characters in e_1 but not in e_2; e_1 and e_2 must describe just sets of characters
- *ident*: abbreviation for earlier reg exp in let *ident* = *regexp*
- e_1 as *id*: binds the result of e_1 to *id* to be used in the associated *action*



Ocamllex Manual

- More details can be found at

http://caml.inria.fr/pub/docs/manual-ocaml/manual026.html



Example : test.mll

```
{ type result = Int of int | Float of float |
  String of string }
let digit = ['0'-'9']
let digits = digit +
let lower_case = ['a'-'z']
let upper_case = ['A'-'Z']
let letter = upper_case | lower_case
let letters = letter +
```



Example : test.mll

```
rule main = parse
   (digits)'.'digits as f  { Float (float_of_string f) }
 | digits as n             { Int (int_of_string n) }
 | letters as s            { String s}
 | _ { main lexbuf }
 { let newlexbuf = (Lexing.from_channel stdin) in
 print_string "Ready to lex.";
 print_newline ();
 main newlexbuf  }
```



Example

```
# #use "test.ml";;
...
val main : Lexing.lexbuf -> result = <fun>
val __ocaml_lex_main_rec : Lexing.lexbuf -> int ->
   result = <fun>
Ready to lex.
hi there 234 5.2
- : result = String "hi"
```

What happened to the rest?!?



Example

```
# let b = Lexing.from_channel stdin;;
# main b;;
hi 673 there
- : result = String "hi"
# main b;;
- : result = Int 673
# main b;;
- : result = String "there"
```



Problem

- How to get lexer to look at more than the first token at one time?
- Answer: *action* has to tell it to -- recursive calls
- Side Benefit: can add "state" into lexing
- Note: already used this with the _ case



Example

```
rule main = parse
    (digits) '.' digits as f { Float
    (float_of_string f) :: main lexbuf}
  | digits as n              { Int (int_of_string n) ::
    main lexbuf }
  | letters as s             { String s :: main
    lexbuf}
  | eof                       { [] }
  | _                         { main lexbuf }
```



Example Results

```
Ready to lex.
hi there 234 5.2
- : result list = [String "hi"; String "there"; Int
   234; Float 5.2]
#
```

Used Ctrl-d to send the end-of-file signal

Dealing with comments

First Attempt

```
let open_comment = "(*"
let close_comment = "*)"
rule main = parse
    (digits) '.' digits as f { Float (float_of_string
    f) :: main lexbuf}
  | digits as n              { Int (int_of_string n) ::
    main lexbuf }
  | letters as s             { String s :: main lexbuf}
```

Dealing with comments

```
 | open_comment          { comment  lexbuf}
 | eof                   { [] }
 | _ { main lexbuf }
and comment = parse
   close_comment        { main lexbuf }
 | _                    { comment lexbuf }
```



Dealing with nested comments

```
rule main = parse …
 | open_comment          { comment 1 lexbuf}
 | eof                  { [] }
 | _ { main lexbuf }
and comment depth = parse
   open_comment         { comment (depth+1)
   lexbuf }
 | close_comment        { if depth = 1
                        then main lexbuf
                       else comment (depth - 1) lexbuf }
 | _                   { comment depth lexbuf }
```



Dealing with nested comments

```
rule main = parse
   (digits) '.' digits as f { Float (float_of_string f) ::
   main lexbuf}
 | digits as n          { Int (int_of_string n) :: main
   lexbuf }
 | letters as s         { String s :: main lexbuf}
 | open_comment             { (comment 1 lexbuf}
 | eof                  { [] }
 | _ { main lexbuf }
```



Dealing with nested comments

```
and comment depth = parse
   open_comment        { comment (depth+1) lexbuf }
 | close_comment       { if depth = 1
                         then main lexbuf
                         else comment (depth - 1) lexbuf }
 | _                   { comment depth lexbuf }
```