| Programming Languages and |
| :--- |
| Compilers (CS 421) |
| Elsa L Gunter |
| 2112 SC, UIUC |
| http://www.cs.illinois.edu/class/cs $421 /$ <br> Based in part on slides by Mattox Beckman, as updated <br> by Vikram Adve and Gul Agha <br> 10/13/11 |

## Language Syntax

- Syntax is the description of which strings of symbols are meaningful expressions in a language
- It takes more than syntax to understand a language; need meaning (semantics) too
- Syntax is the entry point


## Elements of Syntax

- Character set - previously always ASCII, now often 64 character sets
- Keywords - usually reserved
- Special constants - cannot be assigned to
- Identifiers - can be assigned to
- Operator symbols
- Delimiters (parenthesis, braces, brackets)
- Blanks (aka white space)


## Elements of Syntax

- Expressions
if ... then begin ... ; ... end else begin ... ; ... end
- Type expressions
typexpr $_{1}->$ typexpr $_{2}$
- Declarations (in functional languages)
let pattern $_{1}=$ expr $_{1}$ in expr
- Statements (in imperative languages)
$a=b+c$
- Subprograms
let pattern $_{1}=$ let rec inner $=\ldots$ in expr
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## Elements of Syntax

- Modules
- Interfaces
- Classes (for object-oriented languages)


## Formal Language Descriptions

- Regular expressions, regular grammars, finite state automata
- Context-free grammars, BNF grammars, syntax diagrams
- Whole family more of grammars and automata - covered in automata theory
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## Regular Expressions

- Start with a given character set a, b, c...
- Each character is a regular expression
- It represents the set of one string containing just that character


## Regular Expressions

- If $\mathbf{x}$ is a regular expression, then so is ( $\mathbf{x}$ )
- It represents the same thing as $\mathbf{x}$
- If $\mathbf{x}$ is a regular expression, then so is $\mathbf{x}^{*}$
- It represents strings made from concatenating zero or more strings from $\mathbf{x}$
If $x=\{a, a b\}$
then $x^{*}=\{" ", a, a b, a a, a a b, a b a b, a a a, a a a b, . .$.
- $\varepsilon$
- It represents $\{" "\}$, set containing the empty string

10/13/11

## Example Regular Expressions

- (0v1)*1
- The set of all strings of $\mathbf{0}$ 's and $\mathbf{1}$ 's ending in 1 , $\{1,01,11, \ldots\}$
- a*b(a*)
- The set of all strings of a's and b's with exactly one $b$
- ((01) v(10))*
- You tell me
- Regular expressions (equivalently, regular grammars) important for lexing, breaking strings into recognized words


## Implementing Regular Expressions

- Regular expressions reasonable way to generate strings in language
- Not so good for recognizing when a string is in language
- Problems with Regular Expressions
- which option to choose,
- how many repetitions to make
- Answer: finite state automata


## Example FSA



## Example: Lexing

- Regular expressions good for describing lexemes (words) in a programming language
- Identifier $=(a \vee b \vee \ldots \vee z \vee A \vee B \vee \ldots \vee Z)(a$ v b v ... $\vee \mathrm{Z} \vee \mathrm{A} \vee \mathrm{B} \vee \ldots \vee \mathrm{Z}$ v $0 \vee 1 \vee \ldots \vee 9)^{*}$
- Digit $=(0 \vee 1 \vee \ldots \vee 9)$
- Number $=0$ v (1 v ... v 9) (0 v ... v 9)* ${ }^{*}$
~ (1 v ... $\vee 9)(0 \vee \ldots \vee 9)^{*}$
- Keywords: if = if, while = while,...


## Finite State Automata

- A finite state automata over an alphabet is:
- a directed graph
- a finite set of states defined by the nodes
- edges are labeled with elements of alphabet, or empty string; they define state transition
- some nodes (or states), marked as final
- one node marked as start state
- Syntax of FSA
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## Deterministic FSA's

- If FSA has for every state exactly one edge for each letter in alphabet then FSA is deterministic
- No edge labeled with $\varepsilon$
- In general FSA in non-deterministic.
- NFSA also allows edges labeled by $\varepsilon$
- Deterministic FSA special kind of nondeterministic FSA


## DFSA Language Recognition

- Think of a DFSA as a board game; DFSA is board
- You have string as a deck of cards; one letter on each card
- Start by placing a disc on the start state


## DFSA Language Recognition -Summary

- Given a string over alphabet
- Start at start state
- Move over edge labeled with first letter to new state
- Remove first letter from string
- Repeat until string gone
- If end in final state then string in language
- Semantics of FSA


## Example DFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string 01101



## DFSA Language Recognition

- Move the disc from one state to next along the edge labeled the same as top card in deck; discard top card
- When you run out of cards,
- if you are in final state, you win; string is in language
- if you are not in a final state, you lose; string is not in language


## Example DFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Deterministic FSA



## Example DFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string 01101



## Example DFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string $\not \varnothing 1101$



## Example DFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string 8九九 01



## Example DFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string סK101



## Example DFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string 8K101
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## Example DFSA

- Regular expression: ( 0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string DK1 $\delta 1$
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Non-deterministic FSA's

- NFSA generalize DFSA in two ways:
- Include edges labeled by $\varepsilon$
- Allows process to non-deterministically change state
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## Non-deterministic FSA's

- Each state can have zero, one or more edges labeled by each letter
- Given a letter, non-deterministically choose an edge to use



## Example NFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Non-deterministic FSA



## Example NFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string 01101



## NFSA Language Recognition

- Play the same game as with DFSA
- Free move: move across an edge with empty string label without discarding card
- When you run out of letters, if you are in final state, you win; string is in language
- You can take one or more moves back and try again
- If have tried all possible paths without success, then you lose; string not in language
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## Example NFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string 01101
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## Example NFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string \& 1101
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Example NFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string 8K101
- Guess



## Example NFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string DK101
- Guess again



## Example NFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string ס/101
- Backtrack



## Example NFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string 01,101
- Backtrack


Example NFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string DKZ 01
- Guess
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## Example NFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string DKA 01
- Guess again
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## Example NFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string $8 \not 1 \not 101$



## Rule Based Execution

- Search
- When stuck backtrack to last point with choices remaining
- Executing the NFSA in last example was example of rule based execution
- FSA's are rule-based programs; transitions between states (labeled edges) are rules; set of all FSA's is programming language


## Where We Are Going

- We want to turn strings (code) into computer instructions
- Done in phases
- Turn strings into abstract syntax trees (parse)
- Translate abstract syntax trees into executable instructions (interpret or compile)


## Example NFSA

- Regular expression: (0 v 1)* 1
- Accepts string 8K18』
- Guess (Hurray!!)
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## Rule Based Execution

- Search
- When stuck backtrack to last point with choices remaining
- FSA's are rule-based programs; transitions between states (labeled edges) are rules; set of all FSA's is programming language


## Lexing and Parsing

- Converting strings to abstract syntax trees done in two phases
- Lexing: Converting string (or streams of characters) into lists (or streams) of tokens (the "words" of the language)
- Parsing: Convert a list of tokens into an abstract syntax tree


## Lexing

- Different syntactic categories of "words": tokens
Example:
- Convert sequence of characters into sequence of strings, integers, and floating point numbers.
- "asd 123 jkl 3.14 " will become:
[String "asd"; Int 123; String "jkl"; Float 3.14]


## Lexing

- Modify behavior of DFA
- When we encounter a character in a state for which there is no transaction
- Stop processing the string
- If in an accepting state, return the token that corresponds to the state, and the remainder of the string
- If not, fail
- Add recursive layer to get sequence


## Lex, ocamllex

- Could write the reg exp, then translate to DFA by hand
- A lot of work
- Better: Write program to take reg exp as input and automatically generates automata
- Lex is such a program
- ocamllex version for ocaml


## Lexing

- Each category described by regular expression (with extended syntax)
- Words recognized by (encoding of) corresponding finite state automaton
- Problem: we want to pull words out of a string; not just recognize a single word


## Example

- $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ return a string
- $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ return an integer
- $S_{3}$ return a float
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## How to do it

- To use regular expressions to parse our input we need:
- Some way to identify the input string - call it a lexing buffer
- Set of regular expressions,
- Corresponding set of actions to take when they are matched.


## How to do it

- The lexer will take the regular expressions and generate a state machine.
- The state machine will take our lexing buffer and apply the transitions...
- If we reach an accepting state from which we can go no further, the machine will perform the appropriate action.


## Sample Input

rule main = parse
['0'-'9']+ \{ print_string "Int\n"\}
| ['0'-'9']+'.'['0'-'9']+ \{ print_string "Float\n"\}
| ['a'-'z']+ \{ print_string "String\n"\}
| _ \{ main lexbuf \}
\{
let newlexbuf = (Lexing.from_channel stdin) in
print_string "Ready to lex.\n";
main newlexbuf
\}

## Ocamllex Input

- header and trailer contain arbitrary ocaml code put at top an bottom of <filename>.ml
- let ident = regexp ... Introduces ident for use in later regular expressions


## Mechanics

- Put table of reg exp and corresponding actions (written in ocaml) into a file <filename>.mll
- Call


## ocamllex <filename>.mll

- Produces Ocaml code for a lexical analyzer in file <filename>.ml


## General Input

\{ header \}
let ident $=$ regexp...
rule entrypoint [arg1... argn] = parse

$$
\text { regexp \{ action \} }
$$

    | ...
    | regexp \{ action \}
    and entrypoint [arg1... argn] =
parse ...and ...
\{ trailer \}
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## Ocamllex Input

- <filename>.ml contains one lexing function per entrypoint
- Name of function is name given for entrypoint
- Each entry point becomes an Ocaml function that takes $n+1$ arguments, the extra implicit last argument being of type Lexing.lexbuf
- arg1... argn are for use in action


## Ocamllex Regular Expression

- Single quoted characters for letters: 'a'
- _: (underscore) matches any letter
- Eof: special "end_of_file" marker
- Concatenation same as usual
- "string": concatenation of sequence of characters
- $e_{1} / e_{2}$ : choice - what was $e_{1} \vee e_{2}$


## Ocamllex Regular Expression

- $e_{1} \# e_{2}$ : the characters in $e_{1}$ but not in $e_{2} ; e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ must describe just sets of characters
- ident: abbreviation for earlier reg exp in let ident = regexp
- $e_{1}$ as id: binds the result of $e_{1}$ to id to be used in the associated action


## Example : test.mll

\{ type result = Int of int | Float of float |
String of string \}
let digit = ['0'-'9']
let digits $=$ digit +
let lower_case = ['a'-'-'z']
let upper_case = ['A'-'Z']
let letter = upper_case | lower_case
let letters = letter +

## Ocamllex Regular Expression

- [ $c_{1}-c_{2}$ ]: choice of any character between first and second inclusive, as determined by character codes
- $\left[{ }^{\wedge} c_{1}-c_{2}\right]$ : choice of any character NOT in set
- $e^{*}$ : same as before
- e+: same as e $e^{*}$
- e?: option - was $e_{1} \vee \varepsilon$


## Ocamllex Manual

- More details can be found at
http://caml.inria.fr/pub/docs/manual-ocaml/ manual026.html

Example : test.mll
rule main = parse
(digits)'.'digits as f \{ Float (float_of_string f) \}
| digits as n $\quad\{$ Int (int_of_string n) \}
| letters as s \{ String s\}
| _ \{ main lexbuf \}
\{ let newlexbuf = (Lexing.from_channel stdin) in print_string "Ready to lex.";
print_newline ();
main newlexbuf \}

## Example

\# \#use "test.ml";;
val main : Lexing.lexbuf -> result = <fun>
val __ocaml_lex_main_rec : Lexing.lexbuf -> int -> result = <fun>
Ready to lex.
hi there 2345.2

- : result = String "hi"

What happened to the rest?!?

## Problem

- How to get lexer to look at more than the first token at one time?
- Answer: action has to tell it to -- recursive calls
- Side Benefit: can add "state" into lexing
- Note: already used this with the _ case


## Example Results

## Ready to lex.

hi there 2345.2

- : result list = [String "hi"; String "there"; Int 234; Float 5.2]
\#
Used Ctrl-d to send the end-of-file signal


## Example

\# let b = Lexing.from_channel stdin;;
\# main b;;
hi 673 there

- : result = String "hi"
\# main b;;
- : result = Int 673
\# main b;;
- : result = String "there"
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Example
rule main $=$ parse
(digits) '.' digits as f \{ Float
(float_of_string f) :: main lexbuf\}
$\mid$ digits as n \{ Int (int_of_string n ):: main lexbuf \}
| letters as s \{ String s :: main lexbuf\}
| eof $\{[]\}$
I_ \{ main lexbuf \}
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## Dealing with comments

## First Attempt

let open_comment = "(*"
let close_comment = "*)"
rule main = parse
(digits) '.' digits as f \{ Float (float_of_string f) :: main lexbuf $\}$
| digits as $\mathrm{n} \quad\{$ Int (int_of_string n ) :: main lexbuf \}
| letters as s \{ String s :: main lexbuf\}

## Dealing with comments

| open_comment \{ comment lexbuf\}
| eof $\{[]\}$
| _ \{ main lexbuf \}
and comment $=$ parse close_comment \{ main lexbuf \}
I _ \{ comment lexbuf \}

## Dealing with nested comments

rule main = parse
(digits) '.' digits as f \{ Float (float_of_string f) :: main lexbuf\}
$\mid$ digits as $\mathrm{n} \quad\{$ Int (int_of_string n ) :: main lexbuf \}
| letters as s \{ String s :: main lexbuf\}
| open_comment \{ (comment 1 lexbuf\}
| eof \{[]\}
| _ \{ main lexbuf \}

## Dealing with nested comments

rule main = parse ...
| open_comment \{ comment 1 lexbuf\}
| eof \{[] \}
| _ \{ main lexbuf \}
and comment depth = parse
open_comment $\{$ comment (depth+1)
lexbuf $\}$
| close_comment $\quad\{$ if depth $=1$ then main lexbuf else comment (depth-1) lexbuf \}
$I_{-} \quad\{$ comment depth lexbuf $\}$
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## Dealing with nested comments

and comment depth = parse
open_comment \{ comment (depth+1) lexbuf \}
| close_comment $\quad\{$ if depth $=1$
then main lexbuf
else comment (depth - 1) lexbuf \}
I _ \{ comment depth lexbuf \}

